# Adam Fried, Administrator Estate of Desmond Franklin,

VS.

Jose Garcia,

In the United States District Court
Northern District of Ohio
Eastern Divison
Case No. 1:22-cv-00061

### **Matthew Noedel**

Thursday, December 7, 2023

Reporter Lauren Shammo





Court Reporting & Videotaping www.tacklacourtreporting.com 216-241-3918 fax: 216-241-3935

> 1020 Ohio Savings Plaza 1801 East 9th Street Cleveland, OH 44114



1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO				
2	EASTERN DIVISION				
3	ADAM FRIED, Administrator, )				
4	Estate of DESMOND FRANKLIN, )				
5	Plaint	iff, ) ) )			
6	Vs.	) No. 1:22-CV-00061			
7	JOSE GARCIA,	) Judge Dan Aaron Polster			
8	Defendant. )				
9					
10					
	REMOTE ZOOM DEPOSITION OF MATTHEW NOEDEL				
11					
12	DATE: I	December 7, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.			
13	PLACE:	All parties appearing via Remote Zoom			
14		Lauren Shammo, RPR, CRR Notary Public			
15					
16					
17					
18		DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT			
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	APPEARANCES:		
2	On behalf of the Plaintiff:		
3	FRIEDMAN, GILBERT & GERHARDSTEIN:		
4	Elizabeth Bonham, Esq.		
5	Terry H. Gilbert, Esq.		
6	50 Public Square, Suite 1900		
7	Cleveland, Ohio 44113		
8	(216) 241-1430		
9	On behalf of the Defendant:		
10	CITY OF CLEVELAND LAW:		
11	J.R. Russell, Esq.		
12	Dylan Ford, Esq.		
13	601 Lakeside Avenue East, Suite 106		
14	Cleveland, Ohio 44114		
15	(216) 664-2800		
16	GALLAGHER SHARP, LLP:		
17	Thomas Cabral, Esq.		
18	1215 Superior Avenue, Seventh Floor		
19	Cleveland, Ohio 44114		
20	(216) 241-5310		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	INDEX					
2	EXHIBITS					
3	Exhibit	Page	Line	Description		
4	PX A	7	5	Notice of Deposition		
5	PX B	7	5	Curriculum Vitae		
6	PX C	7	5	Expert Report		
7	PX D	7	5	Rebuttal Report		
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	THOMAS NOEDEL,					
2	a Witness herein, called by the Plaintiff as if upon					
3	Examination, was by me first duly sworn, as hereinafter					
4	certified, and deposed and said as follows:					
5	EXAMINATION					
6	BY MS. BONHAM:					
7	Q. Good morning, everyone. Good morning,					
8	Mr. Noedel, I am Elizabeth Bonham, I'm counsel for the					
9	plaintiff in this matter. Could you state and spell your					
10	name for the record?					
11	A. My name is Matthew Noedel, M-a-t-t-h-e-w,					
12	N-o-e-d-e-l.					
13	Q. Nice to meet you, Mr. Noedel.					
14	A. Same here.					
15	Q. For the record, we are conducting the					
16	deposition by Zoom but it is only being recorded					
17	stenographically and the witness is here pursuant to					
18	notice. Mr. Noedel, I know this isn't your first					
19	deposition, correct?					
20	A. That's right.					
21	Q. How many depositions do you think you've given					
22	in your career?					
23	A. If I refer to my my CV, I've got about 38					
24	depositions in the last 18 years or so since I've been a					

25

private consultant.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. So this is not your first rodeo, so I won't belabor the basics, I just want to let you know we're going to -- we won't be here all day, I expect to be here for a few hours. If you do need a break, just let me know anytime, okay?
  - A. Okay.
- Q. I just ask that we don't take a break while a question is pending, is that fair?
  - A. Sure, yes.
- Q. And if I ask you a question I will assume, if you answer, that you've understood it, is that fair?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Otherwise, if you feel that any question is unclear or if you need further clarification, just ask me anytime and I'll be happy to clarify, okay?
    - A. Sure. That's fine.
- Q. Okay. Are you experiencing any circumstances
  that would prevent you from testifying truthfully or
  accurately this morning?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Okay. I know it's earlier where you are than it is here, isn't it?
    - A. That's correct. It's just after 7:00 a.m.
- Q. Okay. Well, I wouldn't be prepared to testify at that time in the morning, but I appreciate you being

- here. Okay. So let's get into it. So I have sent your counsel four marked exhibits that I want to use during the deposition. Were they able to get those over to you? I just sent them.
- A. I -- I believe I have them. I have the CV and my two reports, I'm not sure what the fourth exhibit is.
- Q. The only other exhibit I want to use is the notice of deposition. Did your counsel send that over to you?
  - A. Yes, they did.
- Q. Okay. So what I would like to do, unless anyone objects, because the screen share on Zoom gets a little unwieldily for me, I would just like to enter into the record the way I've marked those and then if you have them with you, can you just refer to your copy rather than a screen share?
  - A. That's absolutely fine. Yes.
    - MS. BONHAM: Okay. Is that fine with you, J.R.?
      - MR. RUSSELL: Yes. If we get in a pinch though, let me know and I can -- I think I can pull them up, so, but he has everything.
        - MS. BONHAM: Okay. Great.
- Q. So I'm going to enter, the notice of deposition is going to be Exhibit A to the deposition.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Matthew Noedel's CV is going to be Exhibit B.
preliminary report of Matthew Noedel is going to be Exhibit
    And then the rebuttal report of Matthew Noedel is going
to be Exhibit D.
                    (At this time Plaintiff's Exhibits A,
             B, C and D were marked for identification
             purposes.)
       Q.
             So when I refer to those exhibits or vice
versa, when I refer to the title, can we all -- do you
understand what I'm going to be referring to, Mr. Noedel?
             Yes, I do.
       Α.
                    Great. And you have those available to
       0.
             Okav.
you at the moment?
       Α.
             I do.
             Okay. Fantastic. So let's take a look at
       0.
```

- Q. Okay. Fantastic. So let's take a look at

  Exhibit A, the notice of deposition, briefly to start with.

  I have a -- do you have that in front of you?
- A. I do.
  - Q. Okay. I have appended a request for production of documents to this notice and I had previously propounded a request for production of documents early in the case on your counsel with respect to some of these. I understand, you know, I didn't ask that you produce these things today, but I'm going to ask that some of them be produced, you know, eventually in the expert discovery

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- period. So I just want to run down these, and they start in the middle of the first page and they're numbered at 1. Do you see where I'm looking?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. The first is a complete copy of your file in this case. Do you -- did you keep a file in this case?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Can you give me a summary of what's included in that file?
- So I -- what I typically do not reproduce all Α. of the data that's provided, I work from the original data that's -- that's provided. So in my case file are handwritten notes where I extract information from the -the data that's provided, diagrams, various -- various notations and things that I use or refer to later on, notes about the physical evidence examinations I conducted, so I have those kinds of written notes. I also have some extracts of key areas of some of the data from statements, for example, of players in this event. So that's what's in my -- in my bench notes. I also have -- those are my handwritten notes essentially. I also keep track of a lot of data and sort photographs using PowerPoint, but not as a traditional venue to present a show but simply to organize concepts and photographs, and when I find physical evidence

and data that supports a particular concept I can accumulate that in a PowerPoint. So I have the PowerPoint organization of data, which is similar to my bench notes.

And then I do have, I did do some 3D scanning of a replica surrogate vehicle, I have the computer files of that 3D data in my -- in my notes or my folder. That's basically what -- what I have maintained in there. My agreement letter from counsel, what I have is called a client contact sheet that records when I was contacted and then billing -- billing records. That's essentially everything that I have in my -- in my file, along with my reports.

## Q. Thank you. Do you keep that file electronically?

- A. It's a combination of both. There is an electronic file and then there are, the handwritten objects are in a manila type folder that I scan, when requested to provide I'll scan those into the -- into a computer data and then I can Dropbox or provide all of that data at once. So I have both computer files and handwritten physical notes.
- Q. Do you keep billing records as a part of that file in this case?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you keep correspondence with counsel who hired you as a part of the file in this case?

- A. I -- I typically keep a lot of e-mails that are correspondence between myself and the client that's hired me, yes.
  - Q. Who did retain you in this case?
- A. My recollection was that I was originally contacted by Elena Boop as counsel for the City of Cleveland or representing an insurance agency, but I think that's the actual attorney who -- who made initial contact and then I wound up meeting with other members of that team, some of whom are on this call.
- Q. At what time did Ms. Boop first contact you, if you know?
- A. It was, I was retained on May 13th of 2022. Typically that's not the first contact, so I'm not sure when she may have reached out. Usually I receive an e-mail or a phone call describing the case, am I available, do I have time to work on a particular case. Sometimes those go forward, sometimes they disappear, so I usually don't track the initial contact, it's more along the lines of when I'm retained on a case that I begin tracking that, and that was May 13th of 2022.
- Q. Are there any other data that you reviewed in coming to your opinions in this case that are not contained in the present copy of your file?
  - A. Not that I can think of, no, I'm not aware of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 any -- any additional data that's not in the file.
  - Q. The CV that we have from you in this case has on the bottom of it, and that's **Exhibit B**, if you have that.
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Has on the bottom of it -- each page the words revision 2023 July 14.
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Is that copy of the CV that we have still current?
  - A. It is, it is a couple of months behind, there are some additional testimonies and activities that are not yet recorded on that CV, but that is the current one that's been -- that's been updated as -- as of today.
  - Q. Can I ask that you provide an updated copy through counsel?
  - A. Sure, yeah, I can -- I can get -- get the CV updated when I have time and glad to provide the most current copy when it's available.
  - Q. Sure thing. As you sit there, do you know which testimonies in which cases would be not yet listed in the copy that we have of the CV?
  - A. I suspect, I don't know specifically, but I know I've had a couple of more depositions, I believe, that will be reflected on that between, I think you mentioned

- July and November, I would have to go back through my calendar, but I would anticipate two or three more depositions and/or testimonies. And I don't think there's any new -- I'm trying to think of, I don't think there's any new training updates or presentations or publications in that time frame. So I think it would be primarily updating the last couple of months' worth of depositions or testimonies is all I can think of right now.
  - Q. Are any of the testimonies that you would be updating arising out of cases in Ohio, whether in state or federal court?
    - A. No.
  - Q. Have you ever been hired as an expert witness by the City of Cleveland before?
    - A. No.
  - Q. Have you been hired as an expert witness by a government or municipality in the state of Ohio before?
    - A. Yes, I have.
  - Q. Can you tell me about the instances where you've been hired by a government in the state of Ohio?
  - A. Yes, I can -- I can think of three, I think there are three cases that -- that I've been retained on and worked on in the past in Ohio. Testified in Columbus, Ohio in federal court, I think there were two different, there maybe was only two, two different officer involved

shooting incidents where I was retained by the attorneys representing the defendants in those cases. And there's -- there's two that I can -- that I can recall and there might be a third, I would have to -- I would have to check my -- some of those cases drug on for quite a long time.

## Q. Can you tell me about the two cases that you're presently recalling?

A. Yeah, sure. One of them was in Columbus, involved a police officer who shot a young man after an armed robbery, there was a pursuit, the suspect was running across a parking lot and produced a firearm and a police officer shot and killed the suspect. That was one of them. Another was in Columbus, again in Columbus, Ohio, police officers on patrol in a community observed a man brandish a firearm, confronted the man and a shootout between the officers and the man with the firearm ensued and that resulted in that man's death. Both of those were fatalities that were caused by law enforcement in Columbus.

# Q. In both of those cases, was it the City of Columbus Law Department that hired you?

A. I don't recall if it was the City, I think in one instance, in the first instance I think it was the City that retained me. And the other one may have been an insurance company that was associated with the law enforcement agency, something like that, without digging

- out the actual contracts, I'm not sure.
  - Q. Fair enough. In the first case that you just described, do you recall the name of that case?
  - A. No, but if I refer to my -- it might be on my

    CV even -- even the one you have. Would you want me to see

    if I can --
  - Q. Sure, we can, yeah, take a quick look at the CV, Exhibit B. Testimonies begin on page 19 of 36 of that document.
  - A. So one of them is -- was called Adrienne Hood versus City of Columbus, that's how I've recorded it.
  - Q. And the Hood case was the second case that you just described, is that correct?
  - A. It could be, I would have to look up all the names and the data connected to them. The other one, I don't recall the name of that case and I'm not sure I ever -- I may have been deposed but not testified, I don't see it referenced here. I want to say maybe King, an officer involved shooting that involved the name King, but I'm not sure, I would have to check. If you want, I can look up what those cases were.
  - Q. That would be great, but we don't need to do that right now as we sit here, but I would appreciate that. And I do want to ask about the testimony that you provided in those cases, so if maybe later on a break if you want to

- refresh your memory it would be a more appropriate time to
  do that.
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. Would that work? Okay. We'll come back to that. The CV that we do have, Exhibit B to the deposition, also lists your publications and presentations. Is that a complete list to date?
  - A. Yeah, as I sit here right now I don't recall any new presentations or publications coming out in -- since July. So I think that the listing there is up to date.
  - Q. Are there any publications that are listed there that you specifically relied upon to arrive at any of your opinions in this case?
    - A. Not -- not specifically for this case, no.
  - Q. Are there any other publications not produced by you that you specifically relied upon as references to produce your opinions in this case?
  - A. Well, I may have referred to textbooks on shooting incident reconstruction, for example, the book by Lucien Haag, H-a-a-g, shooting reconstruction textbooks, shooting scene reconstruction textbooks, I may have referenced those in looking up some of the data. But other than -- other than the general knowledge that might be in those, I didn't rely on any of those or any published

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 | material to specifically evaluate this case.
  - Q. Understood. Do you consider Haag -- never mind, let's move on. So I'm going to put away for now Exhibits A and B, the notice of deposition and the CV and, like I said, we can come back later to discuss those two Ohio cases that you recall, if you end up recalling whether there was a third or whether there are any others, will you just let me know?
    - A. Sure.
    - Q. Okay. Thank you. So what I would like to do now, I have, like I said, marked your preliminary report

      Exhibit C and the rebuttal report that you produced Exhibit

      D and I'm really just going to march through those and talk with you about them.
- 15 A. Okay.
  - Q. So if you want to -- if you're able to pull up Exhibit C first.
    - A. Yes, I have a hard copy in front of me.
  - Q. Okay. Great. Looking first at the page 1 of 17 of this report, there are a couple of paragraphs of text before the subsections start with subsection background. Do you see where I'm looking, those initial paragraphs of text?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Does the second paragraph there express

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### your methodology?

- A. In -- in a very minor way I would say it describes the methodology by using the phrase commonly accepted forensic methods, so that, again, ties back to the various education and training and background that I have, so I'm using those methods as long -- as well as the scientific method to evaluate the various aspects of this event.
- Q. Can you explain in a little more detail what the particular methodology that you used here was?
- Sure. So in approaching a shooting incident Α. reconstruction I try to break down the events into basically questions that may be useful in evaluating the In the scientific form the questions would be considered a hypothesis, so make a statement and then find data to support or refute that statement, and in going through that process, that's where I begin to organize things in a PowerPoint. I can find -- I look for and see if I can find data to substantiate the hypothesis or reject the hypothesis or is the result inconclusive or does the process, does the question need to be reworked. And so basically that's the methodology in going through, so I start by reviewing what's being said about an event, I catalog what kind of evidence, what pieces we have, I sometimes call them landmarks that have to be met in order

for the entire event to play out, so those are the physical aspects of a -- of a scene. And so in going through that process I then begin to test various scenarios as -- as are posed in either interviews or statements or anything that claims a witness statement or, for example, Officer Garcia's interview information, and test what's being said and that forms the basis of what I -- what I ultimately can report on and how I generate the report. So that's -- that's the method I follow in doing a shooting incident reconstruction and generally follows the scientific method for discovery.

- Q. Is what you've done to express your opinions in this case what you're referring to as a shooting reconstruction, shooting scene reconstruction?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Is that what you were asked to do by counsel that retained you in this case?
- A. Yes, the question was, what -- can I do an incident reconstruction or scene reconstruction or, in this case, it's a shooting, so a shooting scene reconstruction, yes.
- Q. What does a shooting scene reconstruction typically involve?
- A. Guns. So part of my process, part of my methodology is looking for some of these key things that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are common to all shooting incident reconstructions. it's a shooting incident such as this, there's gun, a gun or guns involved, so one of the things I start to look for is cataloging what the year -- the make and model of firearms that are present, how they're loaded, how they function, I may not have to physically write down things like how a Glock pistol functions, but I want to know what brands of guns, what types of ammunition are employed in this, and so I begin to catalog those types of things. My method then goes from, once I know what kind of guns and ammunition are involved, can I track a discharge of a qun from the time the trigger is pulled through the end point of that single discharge, so that's going to involve pulling a trigger to cause the gun -- the round, the cartridge to fire, the bullet goes down the barrel on its way and just after that, in semiautomatic pistols, such are represented here, the cartridge case is expelled. So I'm looking at each one of those elements and everything that occurs to cause those elements to see what, if anything, I can figure out from that. So for each cartridge case there should be an expelled bullet and the path that the bullet traveled, and so that's what I try to research and find out in my methodology, can I track a particular shot, and if there's multiple shots, can I do that for each shot that is known to have been delivered or that I have evidence of.

And in doing that, once I catalog the -- which firearms are available, the performance of each firearm and what the physical, again, I call them landmarks are in the scene, then I can begin to use that data to test various statements or theories about the case. And so that's -- that's kind of how I -- how I proceed in a shooting reconstruction by defining those landmarks, figuring out what I can from that data and then further testing any questions that may come up in the statements or witness information.

- Q. That kind of shooting scene reconstruction that you just described, about how many times have you performed such a reconstruction in your career?
- A. I would estimate about 4 or 500 times, I work about -- I work about 40 or 50 cases a year, I've been an independent consultant for 18 years and I was with the state patrol for 15 years prior to that. The state patrol was fewer reconstructions, now as a private consultant I do more reconstructions, so ballpark it, that's 4 or 500 I would say easily in going through that process and trying to track the performance of bullets and firearms and ballistic components in a scene. And of course every -- not every case I work winds up going to court or being deposed, and so many cases are resolved prior to that, so I've worked a lot of cases, somewhere in the order of that

3

4

5

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 1 | I think is reasonable, 4 or 500 certainly in my career.
  - Q. In your career outside of law enforcement, since you've been a contractor, that's been since, is it 2009?
    - A. 2000 and, wait a second here, 2005.
    - Q. That's right, 2005.
    - A. Yeah.
  - Q. Has that been all you've done professionally since 2005?
- 10 A. That's correct, the only -- the only
  11 profession and the only -- the only career that I have been
  12 working as.
  - Q. So in the course of that career, since 2005, you've done hundreds of these shooting scene reconstructions, right?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Is the shooting scene reconstruction the main thing that you do as a consultant?
- A. Yes, I would say that's my primary specialty,
  and I still maintain expertise in the mechanical
  examination of bullets, cartridge cases and firearms
  through my professional organizations, but the mechanical
  second opinion, for example, through the microscope
  comparison is a lesser part of my business, although I
  still provide that. And the other big area that I maintain

PH: 216.241.3918

Page: 21

pattern analysis.

- expertise in is in blood stain pattern analysis, and that's
  because most of the shootings that I get involved with
  reconstructing also have a component of blood letting or
  blood stains, and so I consider those my two strongest,
  most requested areas is shooting incident and blood stain
  - Q. Okay. Shooting incident, blood stain pattern analysis are the two key areas that you provide consultation on, and then mechanical analysis is the third?
  - A. Yes, lab, I would call, I'm sorry to interrupt, I apologize, I would call it laboratory ballistics, you know, the physical microscopic comparison of bullets, cartridge cases and the evaluation of those components. I also do a fair amount of teaching various topics in shooting incident reconstruction, primarily shooting incident reconstruction.
  - Q. Are there any other areas besides laboratory ballistics, shooting reconstruction and blood stain patterns in which you are qualified in your view to provide expert testimony?
  - A. I do some work in general crime scene reconstruction, for example, a stabbing that might have a component of blood stain pattern analysis might be something I get involved with, but that's relatively rare, I do a couple of non-shooting events a year maybe, two or

PH: 216.241.3918

- three scene reconstructions that do not involve the discharge of a firearm, so that's a relatively minor component, but I will -- I will provide opinion about other -- other scenes to the extent that I can provide reconstruction value to them.
- Q. Understood. Anything else that we've missed, any other type of expertise or consultation that you supply?
- A. No, I think we've mentioned everything that I do in my business.
- Q. Between that laboratory ballistics, scene reconstruction and blood stain patterns sort of fields, which of these have you applied in this case?
- A. I would say this case has components of all of those elements, the main component is the shooting incident reconstruction, but in this case there is also a component of blood stain pattern assessment and there's also a component of ballistics, looking at the performance projectiles and documenting how projectiles performed in this environment. So it -- it basically encompasses three of the four, if I take out general scene reconstruction, I didn't really consider it in terms of a -- of a general scene, I considered it in terms of a shooting scene that also had elements of blood stain pattern, and in my shooting scenes I often like to evaluate the projectiles

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- and the physical evidence to look at the performance of projectiles, not only that they've been fired, but what did they hit, what is the interpretation of how the bullets performed, interpretation of how the cartridge cases landed, so this has components of those three topics.
- Q. In terms of how -- how the mechanics of how the bullets, you say, performed bear upon your opinions for the shooting scene reconstruction, generally speaking, not just with respect to this case?
- So bullet performance is, in my view, a very underdeveloped, underutilized, it's not underdeveloped, but it's certainly underutilized component of accident reconstruction, and by examining how a bullet performs on impact can tell you quite a bit about what that bullet's history was. So, for example, a bullet that goes through glass often retains glass embedded into the surface, sometimes you'll have a hollow point bullet designed to expand on impact that does not expand, so then that leads to more investigative questions, why did this not expand, was this a ricochet, was the bullet under powdered with not enough gunpowder or something along those lines. So by examining the bullets it informs something about the history of that bullet and then that can be applied, as the reconstruction builds you can apply the performance of a bullet with bullet paths that you know exist and then try

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to organize that data among others. Sometimes a bullet examination, just the performance of a bullet will allow you to eliminate it as causing some other event, for example, a bullet, I'll use this case as an example, a bullet that strikes but does not exit cannot go on and hit another object, so if you have objects that are struck you can take the bullet that is -- that cannot go any further because it's embedded in a surface or in a person and take that out of the -- out of the equation, and then you have the other bullets to consider, which ones performed to create these holes. Bullets often retain angles and dimensions and shapes that are commensurate with what they struck and how they hit a surface, so that's what I mean by bullet performance, did it collect trace evidence, did it perform as designed, is it a complete bullet or a partial bullet. Oftentimes if we're looking at a portion of a bullet the obvious question becomes where did the rest of it go and so that's part of the -- the triage process in reconstruction, understanding how the projectiles perform to be able to backtrack them through the gun and then evaluate ultimately the entire path that that bullet traveled.

Q. What about blood stain pattern evidence, how does that generally bear upon or help you evaluate a shooting scene reconstruction?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Α. So using the commonly accepted nomenclature and processes in evaluating blood stains, you can catalog different shapes and patterns of blood stains and that can assist, along with the other information, the reconstruction efforts, for example, there is a category of blood that is connected to high energy spatter, small collection of multiple blood droplets, depending on where that spatter is located might inform you if a person was standing when a gunshot arrived or lowered when a gunshot There's a form of blood stains called drip trails, so, for example, if a person is injured and then they continue to travel, you may be able to track the drip trail. So it becomes another component that's often connected to a wound, it's caused by a wound of some sort and we know that ballistic events, firearm related events often create blood producing wounds, and so it becomes a result of a bullet path that may inform additional information about the circumstances of the case.
  - Q. Looking back to your report, Exhibit C, also in those first couple of paragraphs here you say that the evidence -- I'm sorry, the opinions are provided to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty, correct?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. So this is scientific expertise that you are -- scientific opinions that you're expressing in this case?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Looking down to where the subsections begin at the bolded text background, the two paragraphs under background looks like contain the factual assumptions that you're using for the -- as the bases for the report, am I correct?
- A. Yes, what I try to include in the background is uncontested information just to set the table of what the reconstruction is going to involve, it identifies things like the names of people, that a car is involved or multiple cars, in this case two cars are involved, and that it's a -- it provides the context for the setting in which this occurs, so. I try to keep -- I try not to include any kind of opinion or interpretation of it, just physically what is undisputed and is known to have occurred.
- Q. Okay. In your view the background section is based only upon undisputed facts?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. What is the source material that you use to base that section upon?
- A. So typically this information comes from the initial police reports and things like the event case number, the date that it occurred, some of the mechanical situations are presented in police reports, summaries of the initial event, and then typically it's supplemented

PH: 216.241.3918

Page: 27

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- with photographs, someone reports that there's a red Ford
  and then sure enough there's photographs to support that
  that's a red Ford in this event, so reports and photographs
  are the primary source of obtaining the background
  - Q. And that's what you used in this case as well?
- 7 A. Yes.

information.

- Q. Is everything that you referred to as source material for the report listed on page, beginning on page 15 of the report?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Is there anything else, as you look at this from page 15 to 17, that you consulted but that is omitted from this list?
- A. No, that's a comprehensive list from the information that I relied upon.
- Q. Looking now to the subsection entitled in bold examination and results beginning on page 1 and coming over to page 2, you, it looks like, viewed what you call replicas of the two vehicles at issue in this case, is that right?
  - A. One of the vehicles, the --
- Q. One, I'm sorry.
- A. I found a surrogate or a replica, same year,
  make and model of the Ford that had the bullet -- the

- bullet impacts documented to it, on October 8th I located a replica car and then I made a 3D model of that car by using a terrestrial laser scanner and capturing all the dimensions of that. By using that surrogate car of the same year, make, and model, it gives me some of the dimensional aspects of that vehicle which typically are useful in reconstruction to have something to scale that represents the actual vehicle.
  - Q. So you looked at and created this approximation of a replica car of my client's car, right, Desmond Franklin's car in the case?
  - A. Correct, just the Ford Taurus is the only car that I -- that I scanned, that I documented.
  - Q. Why didn't you create a replica or document the other car, the car that the officer was driving?
  - A. Because it only had one bullet defect in it.

    I could have tried to do that but I wanted to try to model
    the Ford that received the bullet impacts and then I can
    always find a model to represent -- the dimensions of the
    -- of Garcia's vehicle are less important to me in the
    reconstruction then the car receiving them, the Ford, from
    Franklin's Ford because we know the shots originated from
    the Garcia vehicle and went to the Ford vehicle, so whether
    the replica car was a little higher, little lower is not
    going to matter, I'm more interested in what was impacted

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- by Garcia's bullets, so that's why, that's why I did the
  Ford and not -- didn't create a 3D model of the Garcia

  Honda.
  - Q. And you had to do the modeling because neither vehicle was available to you for actual inspection, correct?
    - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Would you rather have had the vehicles available to you for inspection?
  - A. Yes, I would -- I would always prefer the actual exhibit than a surrogate replica.
  - Q. Did you understand when you were evaluating this case what happened to the actual vehicles in the case?
  - A. It's my understanding that they were -- were released or destroyed, I don't know which, or released then destroyed, I only recall being told that they were not available for further examination.
  - Q. Did you do anything to try to replicate or evaluate the bullet strike that did go through the mirror of Garcia's car?
    - A. Yes.
      - Q. Can you tell me about that?
  - A. So once I have the Ford modeled and I can evaluate the bullet strikes that were documented in the photographs and the reports from the original processing

that was done, I can extend those bullet tracks and then put a surrogate Honda adjacent to those and evaluate how those two line up such that a projectile can travel from the Garcia model into the Franklin model vehicles. And so in doing that process, I can align the angle that was photographed through Garcia's mirror with the continuation of bullet tracks that I know struck the Ford, and so then I can move the cars forward and backwards in the 3D environment on the computer until I find a position that approximates where the angle through the mirror also matches the angle represented in the car door, so that was one of the exercises that I did using 3D data.

- Q. And, sorry, it's obvious I'm not a scientist, how did you get the Honda model then if you didn't go and take the replica, how did you --
- A. I simply incorporated -- fair question, I incorporated the dimensions of the Honda and then took a generic sedan from the 3D -- from the 3D tools that are available, there's all kinds of drop-downs, you can add another car, I just found a model and I scaled it to the proper size of a Honda Accord or, I've forgotten if this is -- Accord, scaled it so that the length and width are appropriate for that -- for that model and then move that alongside the 3D scan to scale that I took and aligned them that way. So it was a surrogate model of a Honda, it was

- just not the same -- it may not have been the same year, make and model, but in the 3D environment I can scale it to the right length of the same year, make and model.
- Q. And you used those approximations of those vehicles in the -- when you say 3D environment, that's inside a computer program that you use, is that correct?
- A. That's correct. It's within the 3D environment I can do that from my office so that -- that is work that I can do without having to actually be on scene and troubleshoot the various angles and bullet paths that are represented.
  - Q. Is there a name for that program?
- A. The program I use is called Scene, S-c-e-n-e, and it's a proprietary program that comes with the 3D scanner that I use, and so I work -- I work within the program. So the scan data is acquired by the instrument and Scene is the software that runs the 3D modeling.
- Q. What kind of instrument is that, does that have a manufacturer?
- A. Yeah, Faro, F-a-r-o, X330, and generically it's considered a terrestrial scanner, so it's a 3D laser scanner called Faro, F-a-r-o.
  - Q. Cool.
  - A. Yeah, it is very cool.
  - Q. As part of that analysis, did you scan the

- exterior of the surrogate for Desmond's car, or, I'm sorry, the interior of the surrogate for Desmond's car?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you approximate the dimensions for the interior of the surrogate you made for Garcia's car?
- A. Not -- not for the interior, no. The model -the model that I used for the Honda I simply made the
  length, width, and height the appropriate size for a Honda
  of that year, but I didn't -- it's an opaque model so I
  can't even see inside the Honda model, it's simply a shape
  of a vehicle that has the dimensions of the Garcia vehicle.
- Q. So in looking at the model of the Garcia vehicle surrogate, you didn't evaluate, for example, where the driver's seat is with respect to the other items inside the car?
- A. Correct, that -- I didn't make an effort to try to replicate the position of the seat or the position of the steering wheel or anything like that in the Garcia vehicle.
- Q. Did you consider whether that vehicle was a manual shift vehicle?
- A. I don't recall thinking or considering anything about that.
- Q. For the model that you used to approximate

  Desmond's car, what, if anything, was relevant to you about

#### the dimensions of the inside of the vehicle?

- A. Nothing in particular. Nothing -- nothing stood out, it was a typical Ford -- a Ford interior vehicle.
- Q. So you just -- you got the data for the inside of the vehicle just because you were there, it wasn't necessarily because it was --
- Α. Correct, I had one opportunity at the surrogate vehicle so I scanned the entire exterior and then I also took scans of the interior to try to fill in, in part so that it looks like a complete vehicle without blank spots, if I don't scan the interior then you can see right through the floor and into the ground underneath and it's a distracting view, so I document the interior as well so that we know the alignment of the steering wheel, what the dash looks like. But I didn't -- I didn't do any measurements or anything specifically to try to figure out how far it is from the -- for example, I could measure from the center console to the side door, all of those measurements would be -- are captured in the 3D data, so that's one of the values of the surrogate model is I don't know if those values are going to come into play and be used by me, and in this case generally they were not, physical measurements were not important in my assessment, but when I had an opportunity to capture those measurements

PH: 216.241.3918

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and dimensions, I grabbed them.

- Q. For your purposes in making your report, what was the point of creating these approximations of these two vehicles?
- So one of the things that I like to include in Α. my reports, I like to include visuals, some of the physical descriptions of ballistic events and bullet trajectories and horizontal and vertical angles are hard to follow when it's just a written report, so if I have a model that I can overlay bullet paths, a model that I can cut the roof off of or something like that, now I can discuss the properties of the bullet paths or the bullet performance and show the model to scale of what that looks like, where that would be and so I'm preparing that in the event that I may need to describe this or, of course, I assume, which is why I keep a case file, I assume every case I work is going to ultimately wind up in court somewhere and so that's -that's part of this, I prepare those kinds of models and diagrams so that they are ready if they're ever needed.
- Q. Okay. So these models that you created of these vehicles, you did that to create a visual aid?
- A. Correct, correct, yeah. They were not used, for example, to measure an angle, the angles were derived from other data and then applied to the model, the model was not -- it wasn't the reverse where I used the model and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said, therefore the angle must be this or that. But where the model is useful, for example, on the Ford that has multiple bullet strikes, I can position, based on the photographs and the physical measurements that were captured, I can reposition those bullet holes in the model and then I know that those are accurate to where a Ford Taurus Limited, where the door seams are and how the pillars are built and the dimensions of a window, for example, I've captured all that data to scale so that I can track the bullets into it and that's -- that's part of -part of it too, so it's to document demonstratives in the future and to be able to visualize this, when I put the bullet paths all together and I can move the model all around in different orientations, what does it show me, what do I see, what interpretation and value does that have, that's kind of my end game in conducting that kind of a modeling and that kind of an assessment. I don't 3D scan every scene, but I use it quite frequently and most -nowadays a lot of law enforcement uses that, it's really gained popularity in the last four or five years, 3D modeling of a scene.

Q. Had you had access to the actual vehicles in this case, you could have evaluated the bullet trajectories in person using those vehicles?

PH: 216.241.3918

A. That's true.

- Q. Here the modeling that you used is not the basis for the opinions you reached, correct?
- A. No, the basis of the opinion is generated first based on the documentation and the photographs that are recorded about the actual vehicle, then that information is translated on to my surrogate vehicle so that I can observe it, so the process is identify the bullet tracks first and then apply them on the model so that I can look at that in different orientation.
- Q. You also, turning back to page 2 of 17 of the report at the top, viewed the scene of the shooting, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. What, if anything, did that do for you to form a basis for your opinions?
- A. So what I like about visiting the actual scene is it gives me a realtime, a real spatial dimensions of how far things are apart and how busy, for example, the road is, things that are not necessarily captured in a static map. And so, for example, in this event, of course when I was there the fence had been repaired, but you could find some of these landmarks, the signs that were still there when I visited that are also captured in the original scene photographs, and so it gives me an idea of the scale and dimension, just the environment, the traffic that's around,

certainly distances, I walked from the convenience store almost not quite to the highway but found the place where the fence was repaired and it just enables me to see the environment. Photographs and maps often don't capture the scale or perspective that a scene has, sometimes it's a lot bigger than it seems or smaller than it seems. I don't recall my impression of this, but when I had the opportunity as I was in Cleveland I just wanted to be able to say I had seen the location where the shooting occurred.

- Q. So you came to look at the evidence in the case and you also went and looked at the scene?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. It gave you some context?
  - A. Absolutely, yes.
- Q. Do you remember what time of day you went and looked at the scene on Pearl Road?
- A. My recollection was it was prior to my appointment to view the physical evidence, so I'm estimating that I was on that scene maybe at 9:00 or 10:00 a.m.
- Q. A different time of day than the shooting, but still a daytime?
- A. Yes, it was daylight, it was daytime, and, again, it was not to measure the width of the road or anything like that, it was just to visualize the actual

PH: 216.241.3918

environment.

- Q. Do you remember the traffic on Pearl Road?
- A. A little bit, sure.
- Q. What kind of traffic was it, if you recall?
- A. It was -- I would -- I would estimate it as very average, there were no real backups, you know, at the stoplights in the area, cars would stack two or three deep maybe before they would get the light and move on, so I don't consider that heavy, I consider that normal traffic flow, so it was not rush hour or there was not any kind of backup that I was aware of. I recall it being a four lane road, so as a four lane road there's -- it's prepared to handle some volume, so, but it was very average.
- Q. There's construction right now so there's a huge jam up right there on Pearl Road, it's really horrible. But it wasn't like that that day, it was normal?
- A. I don't recall any construction anywhere between the convenience store and the highway, there might have been something on the on ramp, starting on the highway, but it was not backed up when I was there that I recall.
- Q. So we're still in this section under examination/results, that's the subheading where it starts on page 1 and goes through page 2 of the report, and is that, you know, when you say examination/results as the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# subheading, what is contained in this portion of the report?

- So the reason that's a dual heading is because Α. with the evaluation of -- I find that with the evaluation of ballistic events and shooting reconstruction events, disengaging the result from the -- from the examination is sometimes more confusing then including them together. So, for example, a gun that was loaded in a particular way, well, the result is how it was loaded, the exam is there's a -- a Glock pistol, and so rather than separating that I combine the whole heading and then I try to use subheadings to describe each of the -- each of the, again, I'll use the word landmarks or elements that I want to establish so that I can then do, troubleshoot the scene further. So I combine those two to make the report -- I think makes the report clear by including some of the results of the observations or documentations, for example, about a gun, about a car or something like that.
- Q. And when you say results in this context, what does that mean for you?
- A. Well, a result could be the position of fired cartridge cases, that a collection of cartridge cases, for example in this case, five fired cartridge cases were found inside the Garcia's vehicle. That's an observation, that's an examination observation, but the result is that the gun

- was discharged in and around -- in or around that vehicle, which, of course, fits with the rest of the reconstruction. So a result is that the physical evidence supports being -- the gun being discharged from a position near the driver's seat. The exam is that there are five cartridge cases in there. So marrying those two together, an exam and a result, allows me to digest, just as cartridge cases, those make sense for what's being claimed in this event.
- Q. I see. And in this section you express those two things, the examination and the result, as to each landmark or important element that you, you know, view as important for the scene reconstruction, is that fair?
- A. Correct, yes. I'm trying to establish landmarks that I may or may not draw, you know, be able to draw information from later to support or refute a particular concept.
- Q. Understood. So we spoke about the vehicle reconstruction and the scene viewing. Now we're moving on to the subheading in italics, Officer Garcia data. What is the basis that you use as source material for the findings under Officer Garcia data?
  - A. Police reports and photographs.
- Q. Okay. So under the first bullet point here, there are two bullet points, first bullet point you say, this supports or you make a finding that Officer Garcia

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- fired five times. That's not really in dispute, right?
  - A. Correct, I don't believe that's in dispute.
- Q. Right under that, the second bullet point, you say, "This supports all five of his fired cartridge cases ejected into the interior of the vehicle he was driving."

  Can you tell me the specific basis for that conclusion?
- Yeah, so I mentioned earlier that in part of my -- my method, my process is to try to track the performance from the time a trigger is pulled all the way through the entire performance of that particular shot In a semiautomatic pistol, like what Officer Garcia cvcle. had, some of the energy of each individual shot extracts and ejects the cartridge case, and ejection pattern analysis is one subdiscipline within shooting reconstruction that I study and consider in this event. So that all the cartridge cases ejected into the car where they were ultimately recovered, according to police reports and scene photographs, tells me that the ejection port of his pistol is in a location or a position such that the cartridge cases can come back into the car, that is, they're not going over the top of his car as if the gun was extended out of the window a long way at the time it was fired, it's they're being ejected back into the car, and so that is one aspect, and I can marry the ejection pattern with the path the bullet takes and kind of trap that gun in

various positions between ejecting into the car. Now ejection patterns into vehicles are difficult because they will almost certainly be intercepted by the roof and the dashboard and the chairs, they can fall off of chairs, they can bounce off of a seat on to a floor, they can subsequently roll under, so inside a vehicle you can't really position things on the -- from the ejection pattern itself, but certainly all five of his cartridge cases wound up inside his vehicle, so he has a shooting profile that enables that ejection, that type of ejection from his gun.

- Q. Assuming that, that all five car triages are found inside of the vehicle, what exactly does that tell you about the position of the gun when he was shooting it, if anything?
- A. It's that it was near -- that it was inside or near the vehicle, near an opening to the vehicle for them to be inside the vehicle means that they were either discharged within the confines of the vehicle or the gun was close enough to the exterior of the vehicle that the cartridge cases flew back inside the vehicle. So it gives me some limitation as to that he was, in fact, shooting from -- from the driving position, as was claimed, and it's -- that is, I would say that concept is supported by the physical evidence, because the ejection pattern is predictably to back toward the position of the shooter, and

- of course, I -- I'm also marrying, it's not that observation alone that I consider, I'm marrying that to the path that the bullets took. So I'm evaluating the position of the gun based on bullets going one direction and cases going the other, and it's that process that enables me to localize the gun in a particular area.
- Q. Based upon the ejection pattern and the bullet paths, you believe that Officer Garcia was, in fact, in the driver's seat driving the car when he was shooting, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you believe that the gun, as it was being shot, was either inside or somewhere close to the vehicle, correct?
- A. Correct, and to further combine that with him operating the vehicle in the driver's seat, we can still limit his -- the position that he can achieve, his arm's length and, of course I don't -- I don't know his arm's length, but if he's an anatomically normal human, it's just within a couple of feet of the side of the door, so that is an area that he could eject from. So they're -- not that there were any claims that he got out and shot outside of the car or anything like that, but that supports that aspect of the shooting, that the ejected cartridge cases and the angles of the shots into the Ford are consistent

- with him operating the vehicle while he's shooting.
  - Q. Based upon this data, the gun could have been sticking some way out of the window or out of the car, right?
  - A. Yes, yes, ejection -- ejection from a semiautomatic pistol, such as a Glock that he's carrying, tries to eject to the right and rear, so if he's extending his arm out of the -- out of the driver's window and pointing it in the direction where his bullets struck, I expect the cartridge cases to eject back toward him, so the gun can physically be out of the window and still eject back into the car.
  - Q. Okay. So the gun could be partially outside or all the way outside of the car, just not very far away as though he ran out of the car, correct?
    - A. Correct.
  - Q. In this case did you see any police reports indicating that only four cartridges were found inside of his car?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Can you tell me what you made of that, if anything?
  - A. So in the -- in the initial scene when the car was still physically on the road and the road was blocked off, of course, the initial investigator located four of

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- the fired cartridge cases. Ultimately the car was towed to
  the garage in a controlled environment, that's when the
  examiner found the fifth one. So initial reports they only
  found the four and then when they secured the vehicle and
  put it in a confined garage is when they found the fifth.
- 6 So that's all I make of that accounting.
  - Q. Moving forward to the area under Desmond, the italicized subheading, Desmond Franklin data. In the first sort of subsection you find that the -- the pistol in Desmond's car was recovered at the feet of Mr. Franklin Ford of the driver's seat, is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. So you don't have any disputes with where that gun was recovered?
  - A. No, that, I think, is well supported by the body camera video of the first person who actually recovered or reported recovering the gun is in that area near his feet.
  - Q. Okay. Moving down to the bullet points below that, you find that the pistol had the safety lever on the left side in the on safe position, correct?
    - A. Correct.
  - Q. So you don't have any disputes with that, you find that the safety was on that firearm?
    - A. Again, I'm making an assumption there that the

- photographs that captured this gun were not altered in any way, that they simply recovered the gun and then, when time permitted, photographed it as is. So it was documented with the safety in a position that would be on safe when they photographed it.
- Q. Okay. And what does it mean for that pistol to be in the on safe position as you've put it?
- A. So there's an external safety lever, it's called an ambidextrous because the lever is actually on the left and the right side, when you move that lever downward, so it's pointing downward, that's the on safe position, and what that does is it locks out the ability for a pull of the trigger to cock the hammer and fire the gun or to be able to discharge the gun, the gun is mechanically locked and unable to discharge when the safety is applied as it was photographed.
- Q. If you are holding that pistol -- have you physically held a pistol like this one?
  - A. I've held this pistol, yes.
- Q. Yeah, this particular pistol, if you're holding that particular pistol and the safety is on or on safe as you've just described, how does the trigger feel, can you --
- A. That I don't recall, I would have to -- I would have to check if -- if it has a disconnect.

- Sometimes when the safety is on safe the trigger flops and sometimes the trigger is physically blocked. I think this trigger simply just doesn't pick up, which I think you would meet very little resistance in pulling the trigger, but for a deposition I would want to check the mechanics of that specifically. So I'm going from memory, I think the trigger simply just can move but it doesn't have any tension, any real tension on it.
- Q. Fair enough. In either case, if you're holding that gun, you know how to use that gun and you put your finger on the trigger when it's in the on safe position, would you know that you can't fire the gun and shoot a bullet out of it?
- A. Only once you pull the trigger, you would have to complete the action of pulling the trigger to the rear and then you might recognize that you have this slack and that the trigger is not engaging properly, but it would require you to pull the trigger to the rear, you wouldn't -- you wouldn't recognize it just by touching the trigger, it would require you to be pulling the trigger.
- Q. Then what about visually, if you look at that pistol and the safety is in the on safe position, can you visually tell that it's -- that it's on safe?
- A. If you know the gun the answer would be yes, if you know how the gun functions, you could either

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recognize that the lever is not parallel to the frame but it's pointed downward, that would be one visual. And then when it's on fire there's a little dot that is exposed, a little red dot, red means hot, fire, and you might be able to see that dot when the lever is in fire. So it's conditional if you know the gun and know the 7 characteristics of the qun, but it has a visible downward tilt to the safety lever and when it's on safe it covers up that red dot.

- And with this pistol, how -- how physically do 0. you put the gun into on safe or off safe?
- Either side lever, you simply, typically you Α. would use your thumb to push the lever upward, it rotates maybe a quarter-inch from on safe to ready to fire.
- The third bullet point under the subparagraph 0. saying Desmond Franklin data, you talk about pulverized glass and blood on the surface of Desmond's pistol, is that right?
  - Α. Yes.
- 0. I want to ask you about the pulverized glass, you viewed that physically when you looked at that gun, is that correct?
  - Α. The glass?
  - Q. Yes. The pulverized glass on the pistol.
  - No, when I -- when I did my direct viewing of Α.

- the physical evidence in this case the gun had changed its appearance quite a bit, the blood had degraded, the blood that was on the surface had degraded and created some rusty areas, and because I think the gun had been test fired and examined by other examiners prior to me, there was not nearly as much glass contained on the surface of the gun. I would have to zoom in on the pictures I took at that time, but I deferred to the original scene photographs because I think they captured the appearance as it was right after collection, and in my opinion the trace evidence like this blood and glass had changed by the time I did direct viewing.
  - Q. Okay. So the meaningful photographs of the glass for you were the ones taken at the scene, is that -- am I getting that right?
  - A. Correct, yes, in the original photographs when they first put the gun in a package, a storage box they took photos of both sides of the gun.
  - Q. That's what you're using here on page 3 of your report as figure 1?
    - A. That's correct.
  - Q. What, if anything, does that glass, and I see the arrows you put in pointing to it on figure 1, what, if anything, does that tell about you this crime scene?

PH: 216.241.3918

A. So one of the things I know about this event

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is that a fired bullet perforated, went through and through the passenger side window glass, and that's a type of glass called tempered glass, causes the entire window to shatter when it's struck by a projectile. With the high energy of a projectile it creates a lot of very tiny shards, what I've called the pulverized glass, and so this gun, what this tells me forensically about this reconstruction is that this qun in some capacity was exposed to this pulverized glass, whether it arrived at the exact time that the glass was pulverized or that pulverized glass landed on the qun, I can't say for sure, but it was definitely not hidden or protected from pulverized glass arriving to it, so that's the -- that's the gist of the observation that I included here, because I know I have pulverized glass, one source of pulverized glass that combines with the rest of the reconstruction.

- Q. Was the shattered passenger side window from the bullet the only source of pulverized glass that could have resulted in these glass drops?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. The windshield crashing against the fence could not have done it in your view?
- A. No, a couple reasons, the windshield glass is a different design, it's called laminated glass, and so it doesn't pulverize and then, albeit a significant impact,

it's still considerably less energy then the focused bullet perforating the glass. So the windshield cracking and being hit with the head or the -- or a body part or something is not going to create the kind of pulverized glass that we see here, it requires the higher energy connected to something like the discharge of a firearm.

- Q. In your view the pulverized glass then that went on to this gun shattered at the moment that Garcia's bullet hit it, correct so far?
- A. The glass did, the glass shattered, and if we look at high speed video of tempered glass being fired with handgun rounds, you see an enormous plume of pulverized glass, it's everything from little shards like what we see here to almost dust-like fragments of glass, and that pulverized glass is propelled forward along the path of the bullet, the bullet is kind of hidden inside that plume of pulverized glass, the glass is relatively small so it falls out and the bullet keeps going, and that's what I recognize happening here.
- Q. Here after that glass shattered, that was from what you expect to be the -- either first or second bullet that was fired, correct?

PH: 216.241.3918

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And we'll talk about that in a minute.
- A. Sure.

- Q. So the glass shattered from either the first or second bullet and then went all over the inside of the car?
- A. Yeah, there's -- there is a lot of, both photographically and then practically my knowledge about glass breaks, there's a lot of pulverized glass in and around the front seat areas across in the car. So, yeah, that pulverized glass is blasted into the -- into the car and can land on all the -- on any surfaces inside the car.
- Q. And then from the point that the glass shatters in this case all the way up to the point where the car crashes and comes to a resting position, that glass is still going all over the inside of the car, is that correct?
- A. I wouldn't say that, I think the -- the pulverized glass dissipates pretty quickly, it doesn't float like chalk dust or something like that, at least not the shards that are as large as what I've identified in this photograph, those will settle fairly quickly, so I don't expect those shards to be flying around the car, but they would be on surfaces. I think by the time the car crashes, that pulverized glass would have landed and been sitting on whatever surface it landed on.
- Q. Okay. So that -- that pulverized glass, even though there's a plume, it doesn't remain in the air

# really?

- A. Correct, for particles the size that are represented in this image, I expect them to fall out of the air pretty quickly. I don't have a quantitative time frame, I've never considered that, but they are physical entities, they have mass, and so they will settle under gravity pretty quickly in the environment of this shot being delivered.
- Q. Okay. So the glass shards fall pretty quickly and then after they fall, as the car is in motion, that matter is shifting around until the car comes to --
- A. It can, correct, glass shards, fragmentation patterns that are inside the car settle down and they can be dislodged, they're not necessarily stuck to the surface that they land on.
- Q. And similarly, they could, you know, if there's glass that has fallen onto the seat, for example, then it could be smushed up against another object or come into contact with another object with the car as it moves?
- A. Correct, we would call that a secondary transfer, and, yeah, that would be glass that has already shattered and landed and then secondarily transferred onto another object.
- Q. If you had had access to the actual vehicle in this case, could you better tell the extent and location of

### the glass in that car?

- A. Possibly, it's -- I would -- I would generally lean towards that it wouldn't be valuable because that plume of glass, that high energy dissipation of glass doesn't necessarily land in a predictable cone shape thing that I could then trace back and say, oh, this traveled this far or whatever, but there's always value in observing the direct piece of physical evidence, in this case the car. So I stopped short of saying it would have no value, I don't know that it would have no value, but it would be something that could be considered if we had had the car and look for transfers of glass in other conspicuous areas in the car.
- Q. Can you tell here whether the glass fell onto the gun or struck the gun from a particular direction or how it got there?
- A. No, I -- I stopped short of trying to figure out how the glass actually arrived to the surfaces on this gun because of what we've discussed, we know that glass, a lot of small shards were generated, so the best that I can do with the reconstructive aspect is to say that the gun was not protected from glass arriving to it. When the glass actually arrived to it, I can't say.
- Q. Okay. And when you say the gun was not protected, in this case that means the gun wasn't wholly

### shut away somewhere?

- A. Correct, it wasn't -- it wasn't protected.

  The glass will only go in to spaces that it can fly into.

  So if -- if the gun were protected, say, between two seats or in a pocket or something like that, it would be physically blocked from the glass arriving to it. So that's not indicated in this -- in this event, so somehow the gun is exposed enough to be able to intercept the glass, whether it's from the primary bullet strike or secondarily being set into preexisting pulverized glass, I can't tell the difference between those two.
- Q. I see. Now, at -- going back to the bottom of page 2 of the report, the final paragraph, unbulleted paragraph, the autopsy report shows a single gunshot entry wound to Mr. Franklin's right temple with no associated exit and that the bullet entry is irregular in shape, which supports that the bullet struck an intervening object prior to arriving to Mr. Franklin. Can you explain to me the significance of those two findings?
- A. So, again, in tracking a bullet path, trying to complete the track, I have a bullet that left Garcia's gun, impacted and pulverized glass, and my question then becomes is that the bullet that also crossed the interior of the Ford and struck Franklin in the head. So one of the things I'm going to look at is the wound pathology or the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wound ballistics that are associated with the autopsy, I'm not a pathologist, I'll admit, but I study wound impacts and bullet impacts into bodies in virtually every case I examine, so looking for cues, if the bullet were stable and stationary, that is if the glass had already broken and a bullet comes through open space, I expect the entry wound to be symmetrical and reasonably round or oval because the bullet that's causing it would be round or oval. But when a bullet strikes an intervening object, like the glass in this event, the bullet begins to tumble, it becomes irregular in shape and it changes from its normal, straight on profile to a tumbling and wobbling bullet, so the bullet is both physically damaged by hitting a hard surface, such as the glass, and it's unstable. When that -- when a bullet is performing in that manner and it hits soft tissue, hits a human being, it doesn't leave a nice round hole, it leaves an irregular hole, and in this case the entry wound described at autopsy and photographed is more triangular than oval, and so it suggests that the bullet that arrived to him was destabilized or not -- not stable, not whole nose first type of bullet. Then when I examined the actual bullet in Cleveland I could see microscopically that there are shards of glass embedded in the nose of that bullet. So now I have a bullet in his body, irregular entry with shards of glass, backtracking those observations

- allows me to complete essentially that bullet track, which is what I then use for one of my five tasks, that basically completes one bullet path analysis and I move on to the next one.
- Q. So based upon, if I'm getting this right, the glass shards, which you would expect to be and observed in the bullet and the position and shape of how the bullet went into the temple, you conclude that the same bullet that killed Mr. Franklin in his head was the same bullet that came through that and shattered that glass window?
- A. That, I agree, that's my opinion based on the collection of evidence that we've talked about so far.
- Q. And we'll speak about this more later, and I know you get to it, but it's also your conclusion that that was probably the first or maybe the first or second bullet fired?
- A. Yes, yes, it's one of the first two, and then we begin to rely on some of the data from the video documentation as well, so it's not simply the performance of the bullet but the angles and the reconstruction in totality helps me identify that that has to be one of the first two projectiles delivered.
- Q. There was no exit wound, so that bullet was available to you because it was recovered at autopsy, correct?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 A. That's right.
  - Q. And looking at page 4 of 17 of the report, there are, you find two fired bullets which were never recovered?
    - A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. Do you have any -- does that do anything to your opinions in the case or your ability to evaluate the reconstruction?
  - It -- it does to the extent that, as I've been Α. describing, I like to track everything from the time it leaves the gun until it comes to rest, so if we don't have the final end point of a bullet because it's lost in the environment, that I would like to see those two missing bullets, look at the performance, look at the shape, look at the damage that they encountered, did they pick up trace paint or metal or something like that. However, I do have the bullet holes that were caused by those, so I can track those to the point where they impacted the vehicle, created the damage that was documented, but then of course I don't know where they go. So would I like to know where those two are, sure, I don't consider them essential to reconstructing the tracks of the bullet, the paths that the bullets took.
  - Q. And when you say you do have the bullet holes, you're relying on photographs, right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Correct, the photographs, body worn camera, the documentation of the vehicle from the time it was first approached by law enforcement was the only one recording the dynamic, so body camera and then the physical examinations that they did on the -- on the vehicle.
- Q. So when you say in the report, and I'm looking at page 4 of 17, the second full paragraph, no specific horizontal or vertical bullet path details were defined in the data so approximations were made, can you explain that to me?
- So in the process of evaluating a bullet Α. Yes. path, every bullet path is comprised of two values, horizontal, that's like the left/right or the compass bearing north, south, east or west is measured on the flat plane, so that's, horizontal is one aspect, and then the vertical is the up and down, and you can, in some instances, use techniques to determine those horizontal and vertical angles, and so in the report here I noted in my data, well, of course we know I don't have the car and the data did not record specific horizontal and vertical angles, so, again, that would be information that would have been useful to further plot, again, when I go all the way forward to my 3D diagrams, I would love to be able to put a physical number to each of these bullet tracks, but I have to estimate that number because I don't have those

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 | horizontal/vertical measurements.
  - Q. Would you typically expect to have those horizontal/vertical measurements from the people who process the crime scene or processed it?
  - Α. That's typically where it comes from when it's When processing a scene not everyone knows those techniques of how to measure those and not every bullet path is easy to determine those, for example, in this case where we have very short bullet strikes through the door and to the A frame, some people are uncomfortable trying to marry those two positions and backtrack that as a horizontal and vertical value. So why they didn't do it here, I don't know, but they didn't -- they didn't attempt to put numeric values to those angles. And then, of course, in the condition of the window glass, we know where the bullet went through the glass, but Mr. Franklin would have mobility in the vehicle, so you don't have a fixed second point and that can affect, of course, the horizontal and vertical angles, how Mr. Franklin was oriented while in the car is -- is a variable rather than a fixed point, so it may be with the variables that they were faced with they decided not to try to use physical hard measurements, or they simply just didn't -- didn't think to do that for this particular event.
    - Q. Desmond's position in the car would only be a

Deposition of Matthew Noedel

# variable as to the bullet path that struck him, right?

- A. Desmond, yes, yes, yeah, his orientation is, I often talk about it as, I've been calling them landmarks, but a snapshot. So the bullet arrives to him, that is a one one-thousandth of a second impact time, so that's his position that's documented for that one one-thousandth of a second, but it doesn't necessarily inform me how he was a thousand milliseconds ahead of that or a thousand milliseconds after that, he has just the one impact, at the time of that impact we can lock him into position because we can connect wherever he was in the driver's seat, we can limit that range and then backtrack, has to come through the glass and then if we continue that approximate wedge that ultimately comes to where Garcia would have been located, that's how we backtrack those trajectories.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. So the limit is on Mr. Franklin because we know he's in the driver's seat.
- Q. And as to the bullet that struck him, another data point for your findings about that bullet's trajectory would be the piece of glass still sticking out of the passenger side window with the circle around it pictured in figure 2 of your report, is that correct?
- A. Yeah, yeah, that small corner, the way that that glass breaks points back to the position where the

bullet went through. And so we know where the bullet entered that lower window and then it goes, continues, of course, across toward the driver's position and strikes Franklin in the -- in the side of the head. Whether Franklin was leaning more towards the steering wheel or pushing back against the back of the seat, that we don't know, that's the variable that we don't know, but we know that he is operating the vehicle and so that puts him in that localized area, so we connect that area through that bullet hole and continue that backtracking across to where Garcia would have been to deliver that shot.

- Q. And that also -- is the location at which the bullet entered Desmond's right temple also an important data point for that path?
- A. Yes, yes, that is the point that you connect, because he is not fixed in space like a pillar or a window, he can be leaning forward or back, but he can only lean so far forward and back as the confines of the driver's compartment dictate. So when we backtrack that path from head through the glass back toward Garcia, we get a limit, we get a range of where that -- where that bullet path was traveling, and that's how we -- that's also how I applied sequencing in this event determining that to be the first or -- first or possibly the second shot delivered.
  - Q. Okay. And that data puts Desmond for sure in

# the driver's seat, right?

- A. Yes, well, that and the other physical evidence that he's physically strapped in the seat, so we know he's in the driver's seat and that part of his body has to be facing the arrival of the bullet, that being the right side of his head, he's -- he can't be in the back seat and he can't be in the passenger seat, so that leaves, that leaves him in the driver's seat, and connecting that general area back through that bullet hole is how I established the approximation of that bullet track.
- Q. And, in fact, all that evidence also means that at the moment that the bullet entered Desmond's head he was looking forward and the bullet entered through the glass window to the temple?
- A. Correct, the right side of his face is facing the gun, so it actually, the track through his -- through his skull through his head actually goes rearward a little bit, which would indicate a little bit of rightward turn, but the right side of his head has to be exposed to the gun and since this came through the forward portion, this bullet came through the forward portion of the car he's generally facing forward, his head is generally facing forward, the track of the bullet indicates he may have been slightly turned to the right because it doesn't go straight across his head, it lands -- it ends a little bit behind,

- Q. The tumbling of the bullet and it being interrupted by going through the glass affect its angle into the head, is that what you're saying?
- Yes, it can. The irregular shape of the Α. bullet as well as now the bullet is decelerating, slowing down, slowing down to the point that it does not exit the other side of his head, so it loses all the rest of its energy in traveling across his head. So the slower the bullet is, it's more susceptible to deviation from a straight line. So that's why I consider him an area, this part of his face where the -- head where the entry is has to be facing the gun wherever it was, so it's not going to be a mathematically linear line that you can draw, it's going to be more conical or a cone-shaped path to describe an area that would accommodate the glass, the entry wound to the head and backtracking to where Garcia would be.
- Q. And the -- you're also surmising that his head could have been tilted to some angle, can you conclude what

PH: 216.241.3918

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the firearm.

# angle, how much?

- A. No, it's not going to be a quantitative analysis, it's not going to be possible with that, how much angle head tilt, head twist because of those variables, the deformation of the bullet and the deceleration of the bullet. The range that he could achieve is within the range that we know the error that that bullet can deviate from a straight line, so those -- the movement of his head is basically offset by the predictable error in that slowing down bullet, so that's why we can't say he was turned 4 degrees to the right or 8 degrees to the right, the variables of the performance of the bullet don't allow that level of discrimination.
- Q. And so you're not making a biomechanical analysis of his head angle, correct?
  - A. No, no.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. Other than -- other than the bullet has to arrive, which means it has to be pointed toward the position of the gun at that instant.
  - Q. The temple has to be pointed towards --
  - A. Yeah, the right side of his temple, yeah.
- Q. Got it. Also based upon the data about this bullet path, where can you place Garcia's car with respect to Desmond Franklin's car?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α. So for this bullet path he doesn't hit the pillars behind the passenger door or the frame below the passenger door, so there's a physical limit with where the car seats are. He doesn't go through the car seats either to achieve this, he goes through the glass and through open space, so that -- that wedge of going through the glass and then ending, I'm indicating with my hand an expanding wedge, it starts at the hole through the glass and then the range expands. And so I may have lost the question, but that's -- that's what dictates why I think it's one of the first shots because it occurs when they're -- when they're parallel, cars side by side that is, I'm sorry, when the cars are side by side, because once the Ford accelerates past Garcia, that shot no longer -- the shot to the glass and head no longer becomes possible, the bullet would have hit either the back window, the pillars, which were struck, but it would hit -- it would have hit somewhere else and there's no bullet path that could accommodate that. So it has to occur when Garcia and his -- when his gun is forward of the, what we call the B pillar or the back of the passenger door, it's got to be forward of that, and it has to continue across the cab to strike a position in the driver's area. So that only occurs when the cars are side by side, nearly window to window essentially at that level or somewhere in that range, so that's what I'm using to

determine that that's one of the first shots. The video data never shows a cat and mouse where one car accelerates and another car passes it and one car slows down, it's a continuous action of the Ford passing Garcia's vehicle and Garcia never again goes -- goes forward of the Ford, so the progression of gunshots he delivers fit with the acceleration of the Ford, maybe the deceleration of Garcia or some combination of both to accommodate a shot that goes across and by then the Ford moves forward and the remaining shots are diagonal into the side of the car and the back doors.

- Q. Okay. So at -- so let's break that down a little bit. At all times during this interaction Desmond Franklin's car is going past Garcia's car?
- A. Correct, they -- well, it comes from behind him first and then during the shooting event when what I believe is the first shot, but possibly the second shot, the glass shot, which is the fatal, the cars are almost side by side where the fronts of the cars are essentially in the same relative position. In that orientation a shot through the glass can continue across and hit an object somewhere in the driver's seat between the steering wheel and the back of the driver's seat, which is where Franklin was occupying. The remaining shots all have a little bit of back -- a little bit to a lot of back to front, so to

PH: 216.241.3918

- achieve a back to front either Garcia is slowing down creating a greater angle, so now the cars are not nose, you know, front plane to front plane, one car, the Ford, Franklin is ahead of Garcia and the shot is delivered in that manner. Those bullet tracks are diagonal and then as the -- as the shooting continues additional shots are even more diagonal. So the cars are not moving at the same rate when all five shots are delivered, it starts when they're essentially side by side and continues as the Ford passes forward of the Honda, of Garcia's car.
  - Q. After that first shot, which you believe is the fatal shot, Desmond Franklin's car speeds up and continues to move forward?
  - A. I don't know if it speeds up, but it does continue to move forward.
  - Q. And that first shot, which you believe is the fatal shot, it -- the position that's circled on figure 2 on page 4 of your report where you can see the piece of window glass still sticking out of the passenger side window?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. So it's all the way to the rear of that passenger side window, that's the place at which the bullet struck that window?

PH: 216.241.3918

A. Yes, and if I can refer you to figure 3 on

- page 5, I've incorporated one of the original photos and there's a close-up of the shattered glass and you can see where the -- where the glass is -- comes to kind of a circular point or a cone shaped point, that's where the bullet perforated that glass. So on figure 2 you can see the overall profile of the car with the forward circle incorporating that glass and figure 3 is a closer up view of that.
  - Q. Okay. And so between figures 2 and 3 you can see that bullet strike and its position is all the way to the rear of that passenger side window, correct?
    - A. That's correct, yes.
  - Q. So there are no shots fired anywhere to the -that struck anywhere to the front of Desmond's car from
    that point?
  - A. That's correct. By front you mean like the hood or headlights?
    - O. Yeah.
  - A. No evidence documented in that, this is the farthest forward impact that I'm aware of on Franklin's vehicle.
  - Q. And based upon this and the other data that you've discussed, is your conclusion that the cars had to be exactly parallel --

PH: 216.241.3918

A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### Q. -- at the time the shooting happened?

No, and I'll -- I'll include that when you ask Α. a scientist exactly parallel would mean that I could put a -- a level across the fronts of the car and I don't know that it's that. They are approximately parallel to where Garcia can deliver a shot that's more side to side from his driver's side into the passenger side at toward the rear side of this window and have it continue across to strike Franklin in the head. And it's not quantitative, that is, I don't have a number of how many inches forward or rearward of the window he was, but I have a qualitative kind of an area that can be delivered that has to meet these landmarks, and that's why in the totality of this event I think that this is the first or possibly the first or second shot. The next one described is just behind this one and it does not exhibit a lot of angle to it either, so these two have very similar profiles, the one through the glass and the one immediately behind the glass, so that's why I can't say if they are exactly one or two. time we get to three, four and five we've increased the angle so much that they can't be side by side as in shots one and two, they have to be forward forward of Garcia by a certain amount.

Q. For shots one and two, could Garcia's car have been somewhat behind Desmond Franklin's car when the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### bullets were fired?

- Yes, his window, the front part, for example, Α. the front part of Garcia's Honda's window could be aligned with the rear part of Franklin's so they are not exactly bumper to bumper dead parallel, but where the Garcia vehicle is slightly behind the front plane of Franklin's vehicle. He is limited by if he gets too far behind adjacent he can't deliver this shot, he would hit the pillar, which is what happened later on. So for this first shot and then first and -- I'll group the first and second shots together, they have to be close, now, it can be the front part of Garcia's window aligning with the back part of Franklin's window, I think that's the orientation you're questioning me about, that is a possibility, they do not have to be back window to back window, front window to front window, they can be offset a little bit up to the point where the shot becomes impossible.
- Q. You also conclude that the passenger side window in Desmond's car was fully closed when Garcia's bullet struck it, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Can you explain the basis for that finding?
- A. So, again, it gets back to the performance of tempered glass, which is his side window, when a projectile, a high energy to tempered glass shatters that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

entire window from that single impact where the impact goes, hits, the fractures radiate outward, that's why when some glass remains we can backtrack those fractures and figure out where the bullet came through. Part of that is a lot of glass fell in ultimately, probably from the collision, because once the glass shatters from this bullet impact it's very weak and it can fall in. So when it falls in though there is weather-stripping all the way around the edge of the window to keep it sealed up, when it falls in, glass that's trapped in the weather-stripping doesn't always fall in because it's physically compressed in the weather-stripping. This car, Franklin's car had small particles, small fragments of glass still in the upper weather-stripping, which means glass had to be in that position, glass will never fly up into that weather-stripping, so that's how I know his was up for the shot that perforated that window.

- Q. Moving forward to page 5, I guess we've been looking at figure 3, but page 5 at the top above figure 3 in your report, you have a finding that Devin Badley was not in line with the bullet trajectory, but it's -- it sounds like you conclude that he was very near the bullet trajectory, is that fair?
  - A. Yes, I think that's true.
  - Q. Can you explain that finding -- those findings

to me?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So it's back to the pulverized glass and the, Α. I quess I'll call it an assumption that Badley was in the passenger seat during this, during the shooting event. So first he cannot be in the path of this bullet because he doesn't have a qunshot wound, so he either has to be ahead of, below, or behind the track that connects the glass to Franklin's gunshot wound. When I examined his outer jacket that was recovered from this event, he has pulverized glass collected on his right shoulder and across his back, that would tend to support, for me, that I think he's more likely leaning forward, maybe chest against the knees, and if you look at how low that perforation is to the glass, he has to essentially be below the plane of the window or he would have been struck by the bullet, so I think the best orientation is with him leaning forward and below the plane having the bullet cross over him, pulverized glass is shattered into his shoulder and back. I cannot eliminate that he's leaning back, and that leaning back and maybe twisted such that he exposes his shoulder and back to the pulverized glass that snags his outer jacket and is still embedded into the fabric that he was wearing. So he does not have a passage of a bullet, he is not struck by the bullet so he has to be ahead of it or behind it, and so he received the pulverized glass as well. But his exact

- orientation, whether he was below the plane of the window or pressed back, he can achieve either one of those positions and still get glass, but not get a bullet.
- Q. Did you consider any blood drop evidence with respect to Devin Badley's position or his clothing or his orientation?
- A. No, he was -- he was much too complicated, from what I could see and what I was able to do in the -- in the exam room. The type of blood and the secondary transfer and movement of the jacket didn't really reveal an analysis where it was something that was diagnostic from a blood stain perspective on him or on his seat. I looked at the photographs of where he had been seated, of the objects in and around his feet, you know, he had the soda cartons were toward his feet, they intercepted some blood, but to me that -- none of the patterns that I observed on any of those were diagnostic of a particular location or event of how he got the blood to those positions.
- Q. Okay. So he had blood on his clothes and on his seat, but it didn't really tell you anything meaningful, am I getting that right?
- A. Correct, for blood stain patterns to have meaning they need to be able to be cataloged and categorized, and these were very irregular, random types of stains, some were secondarily disturbed from movement

car.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- through them, so they weren't diagnostic of any particular

  type of pattern or specific event, and certainly no -
  nothing diagnostic enough to position his body or his

  jacket in the -- in the environment of that confines of the
  - Q. Okay. But based upon the photos and the glass in the jacket that you viewed, you put him, at the time of the shooting, either ducking down forward or potentially twisted back, but in the passenger seat, is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
    - MS. BONHAM: Okay. Guys, can we take a five-minute break, get a cup of coffee and come back just really quick?
      - THE WITNESS: That's fine.
      - MR. CABRAL: Yeah, that would be great.
      - (Brief recess was had.)
  - Q. Looking again at pages 3 through 5 of the report, are there any other conclusions that you made that aren't captured here about that first bullet strike, besides what we've discussed?
  - A. No, I think we've -- I think we've covered all the aspects of that, of that bullet path.
  - Q. Okay. I think we'll go through the others a little bit more quickly. Turning to page 6 of 17, under the subheading bullet path B, now, sequentially you say

this shot is indicated to be one of the first two shots but you believe it to be the second, am I getting that right?

- A. Yeah, I think it better fits with the second, but I tend to try to be conservative in reporting that.

  It's so close to the perforation of the glass that I didn't want to commit to it being second, but it is physically behind the first one and it is -- it impacted the pillar underneath the forward edge of the door. So it's based primarily on its location that I think it's second, again, because I have better data on the other impacts that show there's a progression from the side that continues angular to where the shots become diagonal, and so when I continue that progression, this fits best with a second impact.
- Q. So based upon all the data that you're looking at, it seems to you like if you look at Desmond's car, the bullet strikes on Desmond's car, they go sequentially from front to back, one, two, three, four, five?
- A. Yes, generally speaking I think that's true.

  And, again, there's, one and two are close together,

  there's not a big separation, and then three, four, and

  five are similar angles but in different positions, so

  combining all of that, I think the best explanation is a

  sequence front to back, but I can't -- I can't conclusively

  prove that based on the data that I have.

PH: 216.241.3918

Q. Based upon looking at that sequence of bullet

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

strikes in Desmond Franklin's car, can you make any conclusions about how long between shots, you know, if there was any space in time between the sequence of shots?

No, I can't make that determination looking at Α. the -- at the bullet holes. The best I can consider with that is data that describes how -- the fastest that people can deliver shots from a semiautomatic pistol. The process could be slower, it's not likely dramatically slower because there is limits of distance of travel and a limited amount of time when the cars are juxtaposed to allow the paths, but physically documentation would show that a shooter of a semiautomatic pistol can usually deliver three or four shots in a second, so that makes this between, you know, a second and a quarter if he's shooting as fast as he can, to maybe 1.75 seconds if there's a slower cadence to Ultimately, again, it's not a quantitative assessment but a visual assessment, the cars do not continue side by side, Garcia stops before the Ford continues going and crashing, so the shots have to fit in that little window, and shooting as fast as he can would encompass that timing, but independently looking just at the bullet strikes and the distance between them, I can't assess velocity of the cars or velocity of the separate shots.

Q. Is there anything to suggest that some shots had more time in between them than others or that there was

### any kind of pause between the series of shots?

- A. No, I don't think so, I don't think they're far enough apart to really generate that kind of an assessment. Again, variables that I have to consider in trying to assess that include the velocity of the cars and we don't know, I don't know, sorry, I don't know if the cars were both accelerating, one accelerating, one decelerating, both decelerating, I don't know in that course of the delivery of the five shots the absolute ratio of velocities, so that precludes me from saying there was two and a pause and then three, or that there was three that hit near the B pillar and then two that hit farther to the rear, they're still too close together given those variables of car velocity for me to differentiate.
- Q. On the bottom of page 6 in your report in figure 4 you talk about your bullet path B, that you identify as B, being identified by the original police processing as impact number 3, is there any significance for you to that original police processing numbering system?
- A. No, it appeared to me that they simply numbered them one through five by using that yellow chalk or yellow crayon on the side. If they meant them to be some form of a sequential, I didn't take it like that, I just considered it the nomenclature the way that they

- described them, so the -- their nomenclature is of no reconstructive value to me.
  - Q. And you didn't take the police processing numbering system to mean that they had drawn conclusions about the sequence of the bullets?
  - A. Correct, I did not see any data that they recorded that they thought that this was the order, and in my experience typically that's not something that would be numbered in a manner like this against a car because you have to name them something, they get these names and numbers, which may or may not reflect the actual sequence.
  - Q. So moving forward, we talked a lot about what you called bullet path A and then B, C, D and E on the subsequent pages of your report, your report fully captures your opinions about those bullet trajectories, am I getting that right?
  - A. Yeah, that would be correct based on the assessments in totality, those are the complete descriptions of the path as much as I could reconstruct.
  - Q. Under bullet path C on page 7 when you're discussing that, you say, the angle indicated by this bullet strike supports that the Ford had moved ahead of the driver's position of Garcia's Honda at the time of this shot. So by the time you get to the third, fourth and fifth bullet strikes to Desmond's car, your conclusion is

- that Desmond's car is already moving ahead of Garcia's, is that correct?
- A. Yes, and, again, I try to be careful, either

  -- either Desmond is accelerating ahead or Garcia is

  slowing down, but the relative position between the two

  cars, an angle is being introduced where Desmond's car is

  ahead of, for three, four and five, ahead of the driver's

  position of Garcia's vehicle, so the angles are beginning

  to increase and get steeper as documented in the impacts to

  the car.
- Q. And part of the data that you reviewed for the basis of your report includes data showing that ultimately Desmond's car continued down the street and crashed into the gate, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So can you conclude that while Desmond's car is still being shot at it is moving forward?
- A. I'm not sure I can say that conclusively, I -I don't have any data to suggest that the car was -- was
  shot, for example, after the crash or I know where Garcia's
  vehicle stopped and did not advance, so there are limits to
  where the shots can be delivered, but, I mean, you could
  deliver the shots when the car was stopped but then the
  rest of the scene doesn't work, the ejected cartridge
  cases, the movement of people through the scene, so, yeah,

- I may have lost the question in there.
- Q. That's okay. I was asking, can you conclude that Desmond's car is moving forward the whole time it's being shot at?
- A. I believe that to be true based on the video record and the other characteristics of where cars ended, I think that's true, I'll say it this way, in my opinion, that is true.
- Q. Okay. So the later shots, what you label as paths C, D, and E, those did not have a human target, those are just striking the back of a car?
- A. I don't know what the target was, but that's where they're hitting, they're hitting the frame and the back door of the car and they're on a diagonal line that if we continue -- they didn't continue into the driver's position but they're on a diagonal that if you were to continue, if they could have got through the door they would continue generally toward the driver's seat, and by the time you get to D and E, David and Edward, you would probably be hitting the back of the driver's seat if it were to get through and go that far.
- Q. I see. Okay. Looking at page 8 of 17 of the report where you're discussing bullet path D, this is the bullet that you conclude may have struck Officer Garcia's side mirror on the way out, is that correct?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Can you explain to me the basis for that conclusion?
- Α. Yes. So, again, evaluating the appearance of the impact site and the side of the car, this damage is pointed at one end and oval and irregular and it's diagonally pointing downward, which to me suggests it's a bullet that's destabilized, one of these tumbling bullets, and for a bullet to destabilize it has to hit something first, otherwise it's a perfect cylinder arriving to the side of the car. So when we look at the other impacts to the car they have a cylindrical appearance, noting that the side mirror of Garcia's car was stuck and and looking at the profile of this bullet hole I think best fits with the continuation of that strike to his mirror, it's -- I believe it's occurring at a time when the bullet is traveling generally diagonally forward but it's a destabilized bullet tumbling and it's giving us this irregular slice in the side of the car rather than this nice cylindrical profile.
  - Q. Did you do anything to evaluate the bullet strike through the mirror, the side mirror?
    - A. I -- no, I -- you mean physically?
- Q. Yeah.
  - A. I didn't, yeah, I didn't, I never touched that

car and I didn't -- I didn't, for example, examine a surrogate car for that, I used the documentation that was included. They did, in the original documentation, insert a trajectory rod, which gives you a visual representation of the track the bullet took through the mirror, and so I evaluated that bullet path, it shows a diagonal profile, and then if we continue that diagonal profile into position D, that is how I began to further support that the two cars are changing their position relative to each other and the shots are coming in more and more angled.

- Q. Looking at figure 7 on your report, that -- is that the trajectory rod through that side-view mirror picture that you're referring to?
- A. Yes, I've added a dotted line that's a yellow arrow if you're in color, I added the arrow, but next to the arrow is the rod that they positioned through the mirror surface and they took some additional photos. So when you look at the appearance of that photo you can see that the rod is not going straight front to back or side to side, it's going diagonally through that mirror, and so that bullet would be available, came out of the mirror and it would be available to impact the side of the car, can't be one of the front shots because the bullet will not make a left hand turn in midair, so it has to be a bullet strike that shares a similar angle into the side of the car, and I

- think that's bullet path D, David, that best fits, this would be a bullet that's destabilized and impacts at an angled bullet path.
- Q. That trajectory rod that's pictured that was placed by the original investigators, do you have confidence of the placement of that rod being accurate to where the bullet path was?
- A. Yes, I think it's accurate, that process of connecting an entry and an exit is not a tricky one or a difficult one and there are other images that support the damage to the front side of the mirror and show other views of this. So I'm confident that that's where it went through and the track that the bullet took at that point.
- Q. What, if anything, does that trajectory tell you about where Garcia's gun was at the time he shot that bullet?
- A. So it is certainly somewhere, I'll say rearward or behind, sort of the back of the mirror surface on the external side mirror, and if we continue that yellow on the rod back into his car, his gun is on that rod, essentially somewhere straight back onto that rod, so somewhere between the about steering wheel position but out toward the side window and diagonal. So the limits of him, of course he occupies physical space, so this -- we can't extend this rod all the way through the back of his seat

- because he's physically there, so, but he can have the gun positioned anywhere in front of his body but behind the mirror along that line and we can basically hang his gun somewhere in that area, and that's the best we would be able to do with that, with that track, forward of his body and diagonal, including through the window to the back of the -- of the mirror to the mirror surface of the mirror.
- Q. And do you know, in forming this opinion, did you know whether Garcia is right or left-handed?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Did you know whether he was holding the gun in the right or left hand?
- A. I know his holster is on his right -- on his right hip, which indicates to me he's a right-handed shooter, so I never really considered past that, I assumed that he was shooting right-handed, but I don't know that for sure.
- Q. Did you consider, as part of forming this opinion, where his seat was or how the seat was oriented in the car?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. And so his -- the position of that gun has to be somewhere along that line and you can't say precisely where?
  - A. Correct, I don't know how forward --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

### Q. Within the range?

- A. Yeah, it's going to be a range that points generally back to the driver's position of the Honda and adjacent to the driver's door of the Honda somewhere along the continuation of that line.
- Q. And on the bottom of page 8 in the report when you were discussing this, you conclude that the adjacent window had to have been lower than the elevation of that bullet path, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Can you explain what that means?
- 12 So Garcia's driver's window was not shattered Α. 13 and if it had been struck by a bullet it would have 14 absolutely had shattered, but it was not shattered which 15 means that it was not in the way of this bullet, of this 16 particular bullet path, which means it has to be lowered. 17 The -- the bullet cannot do a turn after it's been delivered and this shot is generally a level -- a level 18 19 type of a shot, so when we backtrack this, if we hang the 20 qun on -- if we track this yellow rod into the vehicle and hang his gun on it, the muzzle end of his gun has to be 21 22 above the level of the window, so the window has to be 23 lowered enough to let this bullet and/or the gun go by, I'm 24 sorry, must be below -- the window must be lowered to the 25 level of the gun or to the level that he can reach and not

- hit his own window. So it has to be lowered, he didn't shoot through his own glass window, and it has to be low enough to let this bullet come through when he delivers this particular shot.
- Q. For this particular shot, how -- how low does the window on this particular car have to be?
- A. I would estimate, I don't know inches wise without -- I would have to measure a replica car, but qualitative, just in general, I would say that the window has to be down to -- the edge of the window is about at the same level as the top of the mirror, if you lower the window to the point where the top edge of the glass matches the top edge of the mirror, I think you could deliver this shot. So qualitatively speaking I would say maybe three-quarters of the way down from completely submerged or lower, of course it can be lower than that, but it can't be much higher than that, so somewhere from three-quarters of the way down or farther at the time of this shot.
- Q. And then looking to page 9 of the report, back to page 9 at figure 7, right above that figure, the location and angle fired by this bullet you say supports that it was one of the last two shots fired. So you're labeling this shot D, but, again, this is one of the last two, am I getting that right?

PH: 216.241.3918

A. Correct, similar to A and B that are a similar

- profile, D and E have very similar angles indicated by the damage that was photographed, and so a very slight adjustment of the gun position can mean you hit under the handle or forward of the handle, it's a very minor adjustment. I can't tell those two apart with the data that I have, so one consideration is the progression goes straight from front to back, but physically with the angles indicated by D and E they could be reversed, they don't necessarily have to be four and five, they could be five and four because the angles are so similar.
- Q. Understood. Looking at the very bottom of page 9 of 17 of the report when you're discussing what you label bullet path E and you're talking about that bullet path, you say, "This fired bullet path," and then on the next page, "has the general properties that can produce a fired bullet as seen in item 23." Can you just explain to me what that means?
- A. Yes, so three of the five shots the projectile was actually recovered or a substantial portion of it, one was the one we discussed in his head. One of the projectiles that was recovered was smashed, I'll say symmetrically, so that is with the nose being pushed straight back and equally on all sides, generally speaking, that's a bullet that hits more straight on, and so the bullet that I'm talking about here in E is not smashed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

straight back, it's smashed back, it's smashed, but it's smashed at an angle. And so given my, the very qualitative points of is it a bullet, is bullet at an angle or is it straight on, I have the bullet in the head we've resolved, the other two bullets, one indicates an angle and one indicates a straight on. So I have bullets that account for that angle, so D and E are angled and so this projectile fits better with shot D or E, and because of its angled profile the bullet that's flattened nose first fits better with position B, very similar to something straight into the side of the car. So that's a function of where the examination of the projectile can help resolve something about the path the bullet took, the performance of that bullet and the angular nature that it didn't hit straight on, this bullet, it hit at an angle. So that's what I'm trying to incorporate by that description of what they called item 23, which is one of the recovered bullets.

- Q. So you're -- here you're matching the recovered bullets to the bullet entries, am I getting that right?
- A. Correct, the bullet path indicates an angle on D and E, a pretty steep back to front angle diagonal and one of the bullets I have came from somewhere in the car, came from the back seat, but the bullet from the car, if I try to match it with the other impacts, it best fits with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an angular shot. There's another bullet that's found in the front seat area and that bullet is smashed almost straight on, but it doesn't show me that angle. So it's hard -- it does not fit with the reconstruction for the smashed straight bullet to be from an angled shot, it's more from a straight shot. My position B fits well with a shot straight into the car metal that cause it to smash and then get trapped in the door where it was -- where it was ultimately documented.

- Q. Does it have any impact on your conclusions to match which bullet matches which bullet strike?
- Α. It depends on how you -- how you It can. consider it, bullet E -- bullet path E actually made it into the interior of the back seat and so that bullet is at an angle that I would have to consider, could it have come through the glass or through the opening created by the open glass when the glass fell in, so that's just part of the reconstruction. Again, it doesn't -- it doesn't inform me a whole lot about this, it doesn't really matter a whole lot what projectile caused it, but it did -- it does fill in what the appearance of that bullet is and it resolves other questions that, you know, so what bullets are we missing, we're missing probably bullet C and D or E, one of those two, those are the two that we're missing. So it just goes to my process of bullet accounting, bullet

tracking, can I track a bullet from the time it leaves the gun until the time it comes to rest, so that's more of the exercise there. And, again, you don't always know in reconstruction what the questions are going to become, so that's -- that's part of why this process is documented in the way I do it, the way I did it.

# Q. So this is more a matter of complete -- a complete data set?

- A. Yes, I call it bullet accounting, I try to account for every projectile that was delivered in this event, of course here we only have three recovered and I've accounted for one, it doesn't -- it doesn't change the fact or the angles that were delivered, it doesn't create a big aha moment, which I like, because if it did create an uh-oh moment or an aha moment, that might affect the reconstruction, it's like, this bullet doesn't fit with this track, how did this bullet come to this, but it fits with the rest of the reconstruction, so it's a completeness thing in how I approach the methods of reconstructing.
- Q. And there's no question here that all five bullets were fired from Garcia's gun and hit somewhere in Desmond or his car?
- A. That's correct, the bullet profiles that I did see are consistent with Glocks, although I wasn't -- I would have to do more additional work to see if I could

- identify his specific gun, the fired cartridge cases are all Glock style cartridge cases, so that would be microscopy work that could be done. But in the confines of this, they're certainly not from Franklin's 45-caliber gun, the only other gun known to be in this limited universe is the 9-millimeter from Garcia, so I'm willing to make that assumption in the universe of this scene.
- Q. Sure. Moving now on page 11 of 17 of your report under the subheading reconstruction, we've spoken about the bullet trajectories, now it looks like you're speaking about the videos, the video evidence, correct?
- A. Yes. So in the reconstruction is the section where I'm attempting to use my landmarks, my previously documented physical evidence and apply that to the interpretation of the event, the actual reconstruction or what does this all mean that bullets struck here and struck there, so, yeah, a lot of that relies on the video documentation and does it fit with the physical angles and measurements and views that I've already documented or is it -- is it different, do I need to revisit my hypothesis, change, reject my original ideas and accept new ones or not. So that's what this reconstruction is about and that's why it relies on the video record showing where the cars were in relation to each other.
  - Q. And in this case did you find that the

- physical evidence that you looked at fit with the video evidence that you looked at?
- A. Yeah, I think it all -- it all agrees, I didn't find any conflicts that could not be explained by the landmarks and the physical results that I found with the bullets, the bullet tracks and the performance of the projectiles.
- Q. There are a number of videos and photos in the record in this case. Which videos in particular did you rely upon for this section of the report?
- A. Boy, I might have to -- I might have to research that if I didn't mention it specifically here. I called it kind of generically on the top of page 11 video data captured from the Midnight Smoke Shop, and I think there was more than one camera angle on the Midnight Smoke Shop, so which specific angle, obviously this is the one that can see the intersection and the area just to the left of the intersection, but I don't know the official name of that without looking up the name in the discovery.
- Q. Do you know if you viewed more than one different video angle or if you just used one video to arrive at this -- these conclusions?
- A. Ultimately I used just this video from this single camera, but I did view other -- other camera angles and in doing so I'm looking for other -- other data that

might be -- that might support or refute something that I can say about the shooting aspect of this. So I look for that other -- look through that other data and information, but I found this to be the most useful in describing how the shooting went down and the relative positions of the vehicles and how the -- how do I get these angular shots in this dynamic action. So I viewed other videos, there were definitely other videos provided, but I found that this one view best identified the conclusions that I found about this event.

- Q. Do you remember whether this video that you primarily relied upon was sort of a mash-up video where there were spotlights, you know, pointed at the cars at certain places? There was a video like that that was made and I'm just trying to figure out if that's the one you used.
- A. No, the video I used, my recollection is that it was essentially a raw video, it was -- there was a lot of -- a lot of video prior to the cars even arriving into view of this camera, so nobody had edited parts of this out or I don't recall anyone highlighting. I recall seeing that video that somebody did an analysis of highlighting various positions of it, but that, I used the raw -- my recollection is I used the raw video because I had to -- I had to eliminate the front, you know, 20 minutes of that

PH: 216.241.3918

Page: 95

- video in order to get to just one section that shows the positions of the cars.
  - Q. Understood. Thank you. If there's a way that you can look and identify for me the actual file name or some identifier for the video that you did use at some point, I would appreciate getting that information.
  - A. All right. I jotted it down with the other Ohio cases and so I'll be able to provide that. I'll probably provide it to the attorneys and they can provide it to you.
  - Q. Great. Thank you. When you viewed this video in the course of arriving at these opinions, did you do anything to magnify or otherwise alter the video when you were looking at it?
  - A. Yes to magnification. The format of the video that I was working with here wasn't a common file format and my recollection was I had to use the proprietary software that captured the video, in other words, I couldn't just bring it into any MP4, it wasn't an MP4 in that I could just manipulate. In that proprietary program, which I don't remember what the name of it was, it had zoom capabilities and slow down and speed up capabilities. And so in capturing these frames I was using both, in some cases the zoom to try to see if I could see anything more in it as well as stepping frame by frame forward and

- backward to move through and look at the relative positions of cars and then try to marry that to my -- to my reconstructed angles and such. So I did use the zoom, the onboard tools that are with the viewer that came with that video.
  - Q. Did you run this video through any other kind of software or specialized program in order to come to these conclusions?
  - A. No, I didn't export it or enhance it or alter the colors or anything like that, I didn't have the capability for that. So it was simply using the -- the onboard tools to -- which had a zoom feature and it had a frame by frame feature and that's -- that's the only manipulation I did to the video.
  - Q. You used the same tools, in other words, that would be available to any person using this software to view this video?
  - A. Correct, it was provided with the video itself and my impression was it was proprietary to the camera type that recorded it, so it was not a common file format so I had to use their viewer.
  - Q. Do you have any particular expertise in video analysis that you're bringing to the analysis of the video here?

PH: 216.241.3918

A. I would say not to technical video assessment,

- that is timing and frame rates and how video fills in and connects rolling movement. I do use the video, as I've identified in some of these diagrams, to isolate observations, in this case to try to figure out where the car was in relation to the roadway, one of my diagrams here points out three bushes that are still there, and so in combining those it's like, okay, so now I know where -- I can then go and view where those bushes are and say, okay, the cars had advanced to this point. So using it analytically like that I do -- I used in this case and do that frequently for assessment, but enhancing, determining the timing and the properties of the -- of the exposures and frame rates, I don't do any kind of analysis in that regard.
- Q. Okay. So you're viewing the video as any layperson would, but your analysis is in service of your expertise in reconstruction, is that fair?
  - A. I think that's accurate, yes.
- Q. Looking down below this subheading reconstruction at some of the assumptions that you're making from viewing the video, in the second subbullet point you find that the -- the first shots from Officer Garcia could generally align with the fatal shot and the shot you've labeled B, that's -- that's correct?

PH: 216.241.3918

A. Yes.

- Q. So this fits with what we discussed earlier, that this substantiates your conclusion that these were the first two shots and one of them was the fatal shot?
  - A. Yes, that's true.
- Q. Looking down below that, the third main bullet point, you find as the progression continues the Ford passes the Honda, the perspective shows Officer Garcia possibly with the firearm pointed at a forward angle towards the Ford?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. What is the basis for that conclusion, the possibly with the firearm pointed?
- A. When you look at, and I've isolated that as my figure 11, page 13, when you look at that view there is a, I'll call it a bright spot that shows up in those -- in that frame, the one I isolated in my report, and the frames around it, and that appears, and I think I've seen other images that were enhanced by somebody, that appears that that may be a firearm that is positioned adjacent to the mirror there. Now, it's not so clear that I can physically make out the firearm, but knowing that these shots are occurring at this angle and that I have a shot that goes through the mirror, for example, would fit with this alignment and the appearance of this -- of this frame capture in the video that's rolling from the Smoke Shop, so

that's why I say I'm not certain it's a gun, I've seen a lot of videos that there's -- there can be tricks of the light, somebody's watch can reflect at a particular time and it's -- it's not -- it's not a muzzle flash or it's not a bullet impact impacting and sparking, so I try to be careful and conservative with what that is. But I certainly could see the alignment, the position and the object appearing in those frames certainly could be a position when the two cars are aligned and fits with the latter shots, D and E, could be -- could be approximately the time one of those two shots was delivered.

- Q. Is this why you qualify that finding with the word possibly?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You can't say for sure that that's what's pictured?
  - A. Correct, correct.
- Q. Moving down, right below that you say,

  "Collectively these videos indicate the following," and

  under the first subpoint there, "The Ford had to catch up

  to Garcia's Honda and both were moving forward as they

  crossed through the intersection." What do you mean when

  you say catch up to?
- A. The documentation in the videos, including other views, show that the -- the Ford was behind, it came

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from a position behind Garcia's Honda, and so the Ford, none of these shots were from front to back, they were all sideways or diagonally forward, so for those shots to be even available the Ford has to catch up and at some point become parallel to the Honda. Some of the statements offered, particularly by Badley, indicate that they were stopped side by side at the stoplight and they started shooting, and so I don't think that's supported by the physical evidence and the appearance of these videos, it clearly shows movement and no qunshots, the cars are not aligned in a position where a gunshot could be delivered until they begin to move through the -- the intersection. So that's the -- the purpose of that kind of statement, it's testing a witness statement, Badley's statement, the shooting began as the car pulled up side by side. I say catch up, the Honda had to catch up because it was physically behind, it came from behind the Garcia vehicle and then came up alongside of it, so it -- it had to catch up to Garcia. So the video, first of all, the video 0.

Q. Okay. So the video, first of all, the video that you viewed you believe matches with your conclusions that we've already discussed, that when Garcia started shooting the cars were approximately parallel and then continued shooting and Desmond's car continued moving forward, that's right?

- 1 A. Correct, yes.
  - Q. So the cars, you believe, were not fully stationary at the moment of the shooting, in other words?
  - A. Correct, I -- that is not consistent with the physical evidence.
  - Q. And when you say catch up, is what you mean is Desmond's car started from behind Garcia's car and ended up in front of Garcia's car?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And as you say, later the cars are generally aligned side by side when the shooting begins?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Moving to the next page, page 12, the second bullet point on that page, when you say the Ford had pulled ahead of the Honda just north of Riverside Cemetery, what do you mean when you say pulled ahead?
  - A. So the relative position between the Ford and Garcia's vehicle, by the time they arrived to the cemetery sign, which was a sign that was -- that was still there, I think it's still there now, the video documentation shows that the Ford is physically ahead of the Honda, and so what I'm trying to describe there is that the, again, whether it's both cars were moving forward, so whether it's one car slowing while the other continues, one car accelerating, or a combination of both, I can't say, but by the time

Adam Fried, Administrator Estate of Desmond Franklin, vs. Jose Garcia, Deposition of Matthew Noedel 1 Garcia's car is aligned with that cemetery sign in that figure 11, page 13, clearly the Ford, Franklin's car, is 2 3 ahead of his vehicle and the two vehicles -- there's no evidence that would suggest the two vehicles ever caught up 4 5 to each other past that point because Garcia stops shortly 6 after this sign. So this figure 11, page 13 kind of 7 represents the end of a shooting sequence. So I have an 8 image of the start of the shooting sequences when they're 9 generally side by side, I depicted that in figure 10, and 10 then the cars' relative positions change to where the Ford 11 is ahead of the Honda and that's by figure 11, those 12 landmarks, the bushes and the cemetery sign give me a distance, a position. Now, I don't know how fast these 13 cars were actually moving, but it does give me a limit as 14 15 to the location where the qunshots are occurring -- had 16 occurred, and then of course there are some photos that I 17 didn't rely heavily on of physical evidence in the street,

So when you say, in this section, caught up 0. and pulled ahead, all you're referring to is the position of the cars relative to one another?

PH: 216.241.3918

the broken pieces of mirror and so forth that also helped

of the reconstruction I'm trying to define where the

shooting occurs and then using that to consider what

primarily Badley and Garcia are saying about the event.

define some of the elements of this. So in using this part

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Correct, yes. I -- I do not have any independent data or any analytical data that would talk about the velocity of each car or if one was slowing while the other was accelerating, all I know is that the -- the Ford advanced faster than the Honda, that's the only way in this scene to get the diagonal, both the side shots and the diagonal shots, and that passing event occurred between the bushes and the cemetery sign.
- Q. Then you do say right above figure 9 on page 12, "A reasonable average time to deliver five shots without delay between shots is between 1.25 and 1.65 seconds." And what was your basis for that?
- A. There is a -- there is some published literature on that, I've also conducted a series of personal experiments, I've been tracking how fast different people, different age groups and different abilities can shoot, and so personal knowledge as well as some of the published literature, and that value that I cited earlier, somewhere between four -- three or four shots per second is a very common average for a semiautomatic pistol, for discharging a semiautomatic pistol. So it represents a minimum time, but, of course, given the distance between the three bushes and the sign, all the shots have to occur in that zone because then the cars are out of position and so five shots have to be delivered in that time frame, and

- watching the progression of the video would indicate that it's probably on the order of what I've cited here, somewhere between one-and-a-quarter up to, I'll say two seconds to deliver those five.
  - Q. I'm going to flip to page 14 of 17 of the report which lists what you call your conclusions.
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Before we discuss those, is there anything -anything else, any other findings that haven't been
    captured here, that we haven't already discussed that you
    used to inform these conclusions?
  - A. No, no, that's the totality of the -- of the data analysis I conducted, and this is meant to be a summary of the findings earlier in the report.
  - Q. The conclusion section is meant to be a summary of the earlier findings?
  - A. Yeah, they're -- I try, maybe you'll correct me, I try not to introduce any new concepts in the conclusions, so they are a summary where I may have taken a couple of photographs and paragraphs to discuss them in the results section, these are meant to just summarize very quickly and reference you back to those -- the body of the report.
  - Q. I see. So these are not necessarily independent opinions, rather, these are summaries of your

## prior findings?

- A. Correct, these should all be supported by the data that's in the rest of the report in the earlier portion of the report.
- Q. Okay. So the first three subparagraphs under conclusions, these have to do with what happened prior to the shooting, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And what is the basis for those, that you discussed earlier in the report?
- A. So of course the -- the record described by Badley and Garcia talk about this -- this confrontation that occurred in the theft of the convenience store, I include that here, not because I have an independent test that I conducted, but because it sets -- it sets up that they did have an encounter, there is some connection between these two prior to shots ever being established, so that's why I add those descriptors. I also want to describe for the reader of this how did these guys even come to be on the same road at the same time. So this first three are a progression of how we get to the meat of the physical evidence that I evaluated.
- Q. Okay. And these -- these sort of three photographs about the background, these are based upon what you consider to be undisputed facts?

A. Yes, these are common to all the descriptions that I was aware of.

- Q. These are not based upon evaluating the credibility of any statement?
- Α. No, no, they don't speak to the credibility of any statements and they're statements that I feel are supported by the totality of the evidence, whether it's the video evidence showing the progression of vehicles, and there is some evidence that shows the arrival of Garcia in the area of the convenience store, so starting way back there, there's a connection between these two people or these two cars starting back from there. Of course evidence by there are two cases of soda in the vehicle, Garcia claimed that he witnessed the theft of those two objects which caused him to utter a statement or something like that. So that makes sense that that interaction occurred back by the convenience store and that put these quys on this path that resulted with the rest of the analysis.
- Q. And the significance of these facts for your purposes is to set the background context for the reader?
- A. Correct, how did these cars even come to be adjacent to each other for the quashots to be delivered.
- Q. Then moving down to the fourth subparagraph, we've already discussed your finding that the two cars when

PH: 216.241.3918

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- the shooting began were aligned generally side by side, but you can't say exactly the assignment, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Next you say, "Officer Garcia reported seeing a firearm pointed at him which caused him to acquire and shoot his firearm." What is the significance to you of including that?
- A. Because something -- because Officer Garcia is not -- does not -- there's no evidence that he's randomly shooting in this environment, so something caused Garcia to go from driving to driving and shooting, and so what Garcia says is that he saw a firearm and when he saw the firearm he drew his firearm and fired at the, what he perceived as a threat. So I include that because that's what he's -- he said he saw, that's why he started shooting. That is -- so in evaluating that -- that statement, there is a firearm in the Ford, so if a firearm, he could have seen that firearm, and that's why I include it here that that's what he reports causing him to initiate the gunshots that he delivered, so that's -- that's all that's meant by that statement.
- Q. Are you crediting or discrediting that statement by Garcia one way or another in coming to any of your opinions?

PH: 216.241.3918

A. I think that there is evidence to support that

he did see a firearm, I think I speak to it more in the rebuttal report, but he, after the car -- after the Ford crashes and he advanced and he's on 911 he does make the utterance about where's -- where's the gun, so somehow he knows there's a gun involved in this, in this event, so if he didn't see it, it's conceivable that he's just guessing there's a gun, I mean, that's a possibility, but that there is a physical gun in the Ford would suggest to me that he did, in fact, see a gun and knew that there was a gun in the Ford.

- Q. Okay. So that -- the 911 call that he made and the presence, the ultimate presence of the gun in the Ford, those two things suggest to you that Officer Garcia did, in fact, see a gun before he -- before he acquired and shot his gun?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do those things suggest to you that he saw a gun in the car at some point before he reported seeing the gun pointed at him?
- A. That I don't know. I don't know when he -other than when he described seeing the gun, and of course
  Badley describes providing the gun to Franklin, so at what
  point if he saw during that transaction the gun being
  handed over, I don't know. Garcia only recalls seeing the
  gun pointed in his direction and he claims that's the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- stimulus that caused the reaction of him firing. Whether that was the actual stimulus that caused him to fire or he just began firing, I don't think I can say the difference, I don't think I would be able to determine the difference based on the bullet paths.
- Q. So what is the significance of including this fact, Officer Garcia's report about seeing the firearm, to your conclusions about the shooting reconstruction?
- Because some stimulus, in my opinion, some Α. stimulus caused Garcia to shoot his qun and it caused him to shoot his gun at a point in time when the two cars were parallel to each other, he did not shoot at the convenience store and he didn't shoot rearward at the vehicle, it was at a time when the qunshots, the physical paths of the initial qunshots are at a time when the two cars were side by side. So it fits with the physical evidence in my view, and the reason I include this -- this statement is because I feel that there has to be some stimulus for Garcia to start shooting at the point in time when they were both cars aligned by the three bushes, not at the stop sign, not forward of the stop sign, and no shots were delivered before or after this, that sequence. So what I quess the investigative question, the hypothesis, the hypothesis is Garcia saw a gun and reacted by shooting, and then the examination would be is that supported or refuted by the

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

- 1 physical evidence, well, there is a gun, if he didn't see a qun then he's just shooting into -- into a car adjacent to 2 3 him, I'm not aware of him shooting any other cars adjacent 4 to him, so that statement of Garcia seeing a gun is 5 supported by some of the physical evidence, the presence of 6 a gun, Badley providing a gun to Franklin for reasons 7 unknown, so that's why -- that's why that's included, I'm 8 looking for position as to why would Garcia start shooting 9 at that time on that highway and he says it's because he 10 saw a firearm.
  - Q. So you believe, based upon your own expertise, that there had to have been a stimulus at that moment that would cause Garcia to shoot his gun?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And you don't know what that stimulus was independently based on the evidence?
    - A. That's correct, that's correct.
  - Q. So if you assume Officer Garcia's report as true, you can make some assumptions about what that stimulus was?
- A. Yes, yes, you -- well, you have to test
  Garcia.
  - Q. Uh-huh.
- A. Garcia's statement is not -- is not -- is not -- is never accepted on face value, Garcia's statement has to be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

accepted or refuted based on physical evidence, so he says he saw a gun, first question for my data section of my scientific method, is there a gun to be seen, the answer is yes, there is a qun to be seen. Did he fire at any other point in time other than when the cars were generally aligned side by side and then continuing while the cars advance, no, there's no evidence of him firing randomly at other cars or in another situation. So in testing Garcia's statement you would say -- I would say that there are physical evidence elements of the scene that supported Officer Garcia's statement, so that's why it's included here. Badley, I can test Badley, Badley says, I never saw a gun pointed, okay, so you didn't see a gun pointed, let's test that, where were you looking, were you bent over forward or leaning significantly backward, were you looking over at Garcia, I don't know any of that, all I know is that you provided a gun to Mr. Franklin at his request and then gunshots came into your car, you recall them happening at the stop sign, that's not supported by the physical evidence, and so collectively I begin to go through that The statements that are provided I try to test against the physical evidence, and so Officer Garcia says he saw a firearm, he described a firearm being in the car before it was discovered, so he either guessed that there was a gun in the car or he actually had seen a gun in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- car. If he -- I can't eliminate that he just simply guessed that there's a gun in the car, so maybe that's -- maybe that's an aspect of the -- of the test that's not clear in that statement.
- Q. Did you test any of Badley's statements in coming to any of your opinions that are captured in this report?
- Α. Yes, I considered some of what Badley said, I don't know that they all -- that they -- sometimes they have to have some bearing in the -- in the report. Typically when people don't see something it's not a test, you can't test that, somebody says, I didn't see a qun, well, I can't test what somebody saw or didn't see or what somebody remembers or heard, but I can test some of the elements of what Badley says. Badley says he provided a firearm, there is a firearm. Badley says that the shooting started when they were at the stop sign, the stop line, the stoplight, but that's not supported by the physical evidence, but I don't know that it's all that relevant in assessing him. And I want to be clear that in doing this I'm not trying to say that Garcia is right about everything he said and Badley is wrong, it's simply the elements that I can test I try to put some significance to in the report. So that's the purpose of the conclusion points as they're outlined here.

- Q. Can you test whether Desmond was pointing his gun at Garcia at the time that Desmond was shot?
  - A. No.
- Q. Looking down on page 14 of 17 to your report, the conclusion section, in the sixth paragraph down you say, "Officer Garcia accessed and fired his weapon." When you say accessed, can you explain what that means and whether you have any -- drew any conclusions based upon that?
- A. It's simply meant to be a descriptive term, the gun was, I'll say it this way, the gun was accessible to him, so he accessed the gun and he had to -- he had to acquire the gun is all I really mean by that. So I don't know if he drew it or had it sitting out or kept it on the seat adjacent, I don't know any of that, but however he got it in his hand, he accessed it, because he can't deliver those shots without pulling the trigger, and so that's all I meant by accessed his firearm, and I'm not trying to indicate where the gun was or in what capacity he stored the gun.
- Q. Okay. So you didn't, in your evaluation of the crime scene, you didn't form any opinions about where his gun was before he started shooting it?
- A. No, like Franklin's gun, a gun in open space or in a position prior to the discharge of it or the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

- revelation of it can't be determined, so where it was
  before Garcia accessed it, I don't know, and I'm not -- I'm
  not trying to evaluate that.
  - Q. Moving down to the final three paragraphs under conclusions, you believe that the best fitting bullet for the one that killed Desmond Franklin was the first bullet that Garcia shot, is that correct?
    - A. Yes, that's true.
  - Q. You believe that Devin Badley was right there in the passenger seat but did not interfere with that bullet path when the fatal shot came?
  - A. That's correct, he was not in line with that shot.
    - Q. And you conclude that the gun that was in Desmond Franklin's car was found at his feet on the driver's side of the car, correct?
      - A. Yes.
    - Q. And that's the only position that you can place the gun in at any point based on the physical evidence?
- A. Correct, correct. The end position of the gun is all we can deduce with any certainty.
  - Q. Outside of the rebuttal opinions, which we'll get to, do you have any other opinions to express in this case that were not covered in this report or in our

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## discussion of it just now?

- A. I can't -- I can't think of any other topics or areas that I would introduce beyond what we've discussed.
  - MS. BONHAM: Okay. Can you guys give me another two-minute break, we'll go through the rebuttal report and then I won't have too much left for you today.

THE WITNESS: Okay. That's fine.
(Brief recess was had.)

- Q. I'm looking now at what I marked for the deposition as Exhibit D, the rebuttal report that you dated 9/14/2023. Do you have that pulled up?
  - A. Yes, I have a hard copy in front of me.
- Q. Okay. Were you asked to provide the rebuttal report as to the opinions of both of plaintiff's experts in the case?
- A. Yes, I was provided their -- the two expert reports and asked if there was anything that I would rebut by the attorney that hired me.
- Q. And this is a consolidated rebuttal as to both of those reports?
- A. Yes, just in formatting them, because there was some overlap between the two reports, I found it easier to just combine them where there was an opinion that I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 | wanted to express.

- Q. And is this rebuttal report based upon the same methodology that you described using for your preliminary report?
- A. Yes, the statements here are basically opinions offered and I use that as the basis of my test or the hypothesis and then I consider and test whether or not those are supported or refuted by the physical evidence in the event. So same process as the mechanical reconstruction, the original reconstruction.
- Q. Your rebuttal opinions are also based on the scope of your expertise in, as a scientist in forensic and shooting event reconstruction, is that correct?
- A. Yes, and in this event, since blood stain pattern analysis was also opined, I do rely on my experience in blood stain patterns as well.
- Q. I want to look briefly through these rebuttals, and these are numbered, is that correct, 1 through, I believe, 7?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And this is the totality of your rebuttal opinions in the case, correct?
- A. Yes, based on -- based on my review of the
  experts, these are the key points that I felt I needed to
  address.

Q. Looking at number 1 on page 1 of 7 of the rebuttal report, you rebut that you call the implication that Desmond Franklin cannot be looking forward and pointing a gun at Garcia at the same time, correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. So as we've already discussed, you -- you yourself conclude that Desmond Franklin, when he was shot, he was shot in the right temple, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And that that means that, based upon your expertise, the right temple had to be facing the gun that shot him at the moment he was shot?
  - A. That's true.
- Q. So you have no dispute with that, that's not in dispute among the experts in the case?
- A. No, that -- that has to be accepted that the head -- the head position as you just described has to be the approximate position for that bullet to arrive and hit the way it did.
- Q. Your issue is with an inference that someone has to be looking at the target when they shoot, am I getting that right?
- A. Yes, my interpretation of multiple positions in the Balash and Tucker reports, and I've italicized them as quotes from their report, they talk about he could not

have been pointing a gun in the direction of Garcia causing that, what I called a stimulus earlier, because he was looking forward, and from an analytical perspective those are mutually, what we call mutually exclusive events, you can point your arm to the right and continue to look forward and it also, it can speak to the instant the bullet arrives he's looking forward, but of course there might be seconds before the decision to shoot and the first bullet is actually delivered where he was looking right and then had adjusted his head back to forward again. So the concept that they both seem to embrace that he couldn't have shot, he couldn't have pointed a gun because he was looking forward is a fallacy in my view.

- Q. Your only conclusion as to where Desmond

  Franklin was looking is based upon the bullet entrance to
  his head, is that correct?
- A. Correct, and I don't know what he was looking at, but I know his head position has to have the right side of his head facing the gun, the source gun, Garcia's gun. So that, the right side of his head has to be generally facing forward, his head has to be facing forward approximately for the bullet to cross the interior and strike him in the side of the head.
- Q. So in this situation that means his forehead had to be pointed across the steering wheel towards the

## road, looking out at the road?

- A. Correct, his head position is generally in that orientation.
- Q. So your position is if he's looking towards the road he could still be pointing his arms in any direction?
- A. Of course, that captures my criticism of those statements.
- Q. And that's a conclusion that you are drawing based upon analytical sense, that's your basis for that conclusion?
- A. Correct, the hypothesis they proposed was that you could not point a gun while looking forward, and when I test that with my common sense to include 35 years of driving experience, knowing how to operate a car, and informs me that that is not a true statement, that hypothesis that they both presented is not supported by the evidence.
- Q. You, based upon the physical evidence and your expertise, you can't put Desmond's hands in any particular position at the moment he was shot, is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. We spoke before about -- a little bit about the angle of the head and you indicated that you don't have biomechanical expertise that would allow you to express the

- angle that Desmond's head would have been at when it was shot, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So the figure 1 to the rebuttal report, which shows some computer rendered drawings of a person, what do these purport to express?
- A. So these are computer models that I generated to simply show what was described in the written portion of the rebuttal on page 1, and so the diagrams are used, again, I find that I do better with a visual support, a demonstrative exhibit in conjunction with the words and descriptions, and so this is my attempt to model a mannequin and show how the head can be facing forward or even slightly twisted toward the right, which is what the autopsy indicates, and still have your arm pointed in a direction that would be visible to a viewer from an adjacent car, so that's what these models are meant to show. The opposition experts said you can't do this, that this is not possible and I would argue that these images show that it is possible.
- Q. These images don't purport to express the actual angle of Desmond's head, right?
- A. Correct, I can't determine his actual angle other than his right side of his head was facing the gun.

PH: 216.241.3918

Q. These images don't purport to express anything

## about Desmond's actual physical position actually, right?

- A. Correct, these were -- these were only generated to demonstrate that the opinions by Balash and Tucker are not supported. That these are normal, reasonable anatomical positions that could be achieved by a reasonably -- an anatomically reasonable human being would have the ability to make -- to render these, to achieve these positions. So it is merely meant to show that the Tucker and Balash opinion that you have to be looking in the direction you're pointing is not supported by the physical evidence. Whether he was actually holding a gun at this angle at that moment before the bullet arrived, that I don't know.
- Q. Okay. These renderings, in other words, just express that a person can do one thing with his head and a different thing with his arms?
- A. Correct, correct, I wanted to demonstrate visually why that is a reasonable opinion.
- Q. Looking at rebuttal opinion number 2, what did you evaluate in order to reach this rebuttal opinion, what data did you look at?
- A. So on page 3 I included a figure, again, the opinion that Balash stated was that he opined that he could determine how Garcia wore his jacket while seated in his vehicle and as I -- as I review the data I don't see any

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

data to support that, there's no in-car cameras that would support that. To demonstrate then, similar to the last figure, to demonstrate how -- certainly how the jacket could have been considered or positioned I used a screen capture from a body camera video of Garcia as he was captured by somebody else's video and show that, in fact, in that position he's got the jacket tucked behind the gun and holster. Again, when an expert reports in their expert report that something is not possible or not likely, there needs to be data to support that, so I think that even this image that's included with the text proves that we don't know how Garcia carried or wore or sat in his car with his jacket position, so it's certainly a reflection on what Balash, how he may sit in his car, but it has nothing to do with Garcia.

- Q. This photo of -- screen grab of the jacket position you took comes from after, you know, the shooting, after Garcia is on scene and he's wearing this in this position, correct?
- A. Correct, yeah, this is after the crash when, at some point after when arriving police officers come and have activated body cameras, so this is a frame grab from one of the body cams that captured Garcia walking through the scene that happens to also show his jacket position.

PH: 216.241.3918

Q. So this shows the jacket position from after

- the shooting. Is there any other basis that you use for the rebuttal opinion, number 2?
  - A. No.
- Q. Is there a scientific basis for the rebuttal opinion number 2?
- A. A scientific basis, it is not the result of any test, so I would say there was no test performed as to the position of Garcia's jacket prior to the shooting, so the answer would be no, there is no scientific test, it's simply a statement offered by Balash that is not supported by physical evidence, and so I wanted to rebut that rather than let it go.
- Q. With respect to rebuttal opinion number 3, this talks about the end location of the firearm, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. What exactly is your basis for this rebuttal opinion number 3?
- A. So for an expert report I need to see the data, the underlying data that would support that opinion, and so knowing the physical evidence that was provided to me and knowing that I could not find any physical evidence, I was looking in their report for what physical evidence are they relying on to say that the end position of the gun represents that it couldn't have been pointed earlier, and I couldn't find any data that would support that, so while

- they're certainly entitled to write anything they want in their expert report, but in an expert report you need the data or the experience or something to demonstrate that your statement is true, I could not find any data to support what they're saying that where the gun ended identifies where it was when the shots began, that's simply not supported by physical evidence. So, again, in the rebuttal that's part of -- part of what -- what I'm trying to do, I'm trying to point out things that I don't feel are supported by any evidence or anything more than pure speculation on their part.
- Q. Based upon your experience in shooting scene reconstruction, can you make any conclusions about where the gun was in Desmond Franklin's car prior to its -- where it was finally discovered?
- A. No, no, I can't position that gun in three dimensional space in the moments before and through the shooting, how it may have moved during the course of the delivery of shots or its potential movement after the collision and car wreck at the end. So I can't position Franklin's pistol in space prior to where it ended up at his feet.
- Q. Based upon your experience in shooting scene reconstruction, can you exclude any possibilities about where that gun would have been in the car prior to when the

## car crashed?

- A. Yes, it has to be -- it has to enable -- be able to have access to blood arriving on both sides of the -- of the firearm and it has to be able to intercept shattered glass which means to me it can't be protected, it can't be under somebody sitting on it or in a pocket, those would protect those areas from receiving the type of -- of appearance, the glass and blood that's on the gun itself, so that's -- that's what I would eliminate from this scene, protected areas that the gun could not have accessed or did not access because it was exposed to glass and blood. And so the actual exact mechanism of how it was exposed to glass and blood, I can't say the specific mechanism, there's insufficient data for that.
- Q. You can't say how the gun was exposed to glass or blood, that's what you're saying?
- A. Correct, yes, I don't know the orientation of the gun based on those deposits or the timing of exactly when all those -- when those pieces of evidence arrived to the gun, but it can't arrive if the gun's protected, so the gun has to be exposed enough to receive those pieces of evidence.
- Q. And the gun has to be exposed enough to receive blood and glass at some point before it was discovered, correct?

- A. Correct, after -- after the initial shot shattering the glass and before it's recovered at his -- at the footwell of his driver's seat.
- Q. But you don't believe you can say when or where that gun got blood on it?
- A. No, there was bleeding secondary to the crash as Franklin was positioned in the seat and so depending on, now, there is blood on both sides of the gun, which would indicate that the gun had moved and, but I don't know at what point it moved to expose one side as well as the other side. In other words, it can't just be against the ground or blood would just be coming from above from Franklin's bleeding wounds, so the gun position moved at some point, I expect that in the type of collision that was experienced, it appears to be a significant collision, enough to cause the airbags to go and the front end to crush. So how it actually moved and where it was in the moments before it ended at his feet, I can't speak to.
- Q. You can't say whether or when the gun got glass on it?
- A. Correct, I can't -- I cannot tell you the orientation or position of the gun when glass arrived.
- Q. You can exclude the possibility that the entire time the gun was put away because otherwise it wouldn't have blood or glass on it, right?

A. Correct.

- Q. Are there any other possibilities about the location of the gun that you can exclude based upon the physical evidence?
- A. Well, I mean, it does not appear to me that the gun was in the back seat, I don't expect it to end at his feet, I mean, it does not appear to have been in the glove compartment, doesn't appear to have been in a pocket, does not appear to have been in the center console or tucked between, for example, between two seats there's a space where the french fries fall, I would consider that a protected area of the car, and if the gun were stuffed in there I would not expect it to have the appearance, so I think it has to be exposed enough, whether it was physically pointed in the moments before the car wreck or pointed upwards, brandished, I don't know, but it ultimately was not hidden, but I can't tell you where the gun was in open space prior to the gunshots.
- Q. Why would you not expect it to be in the back seat?
- A. Because of where it was, there's a lot of restriction under the seats and if the gun were placed in the back seat and the collision occurs to the front of the car, which means things from the back can lurch forward, but it would have had to have lurched under the seat,

there's a lot of restrictions under the car seats, humps and levers that control the seat mechanism, so I don't expect it to lurch forward and get around those barriers to end up at his feet. So I think it's -- I think it's something that's landed at his feet in the commotion of the collision after the collision.

- Q. And what is that conclusion based upon, what experience that you have is that conclusion based upon?
- A. Gravity, in part, the gun will try to fall to its lowest stable point based just on gravity. Inertia and momentum, which are two ballistic principles, but they would also apply to the mass of a firearm in that if you give forward momentum to an object, it will try to carry that momentum forward as well. Reaction to gunshots, I've seen people pull their hands in after receiving a gunshot, those are the kinds of events that I would draw on to say that I think the gun fell from some area around the front, around the front seat to his feet ultimately. It's, again, not a quantitative thing, I can't measure velocity of the car based on where the gun ended, it's a qualitative observation based on the presence of a gun at his feet.
- Q. And you think the gun fell from gravity to his feet?
- A. Yes, I think ultimately wherever it was it ultimately got displaced by gravity to reach that low point

1 on the floor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And you've held that gun that Desmond had in the car yourself, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So that's a heavy object, it's not like a feather or a piece of paper, right?
- A. Correct, it's approximately two to two-and-a-half pounds the way it was loaded, so the gun magazine and I think there were three or four cartridges with the gun, so collectively that represents about 2 pounds, 2-pound, two-and-a-half pound weight.
- Q. So, for example, if it was in the -- in the back of the car it couldn't be, you know -- you wouldn't expect it to be knocked so far that it fell up to Desmond's feet, it's a heavy object, it would encounter a barrier?
- A. That's correct, that's my expectation, although I've never tested that specific property.
- Q. And you didn't perform any tests independently to evaluate where the firearm may have been at any point inside the car?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Looking down to rebuttal opinion 4, you do say that some of the pistol received more blood than other parts of the pistol, is that correct?

PH: 216.241.3918

A. Yes.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 Q. The one in Desmond's car?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. So your conclusion is that it couldn't -- the pistol couldn't have been wholly put away because it got some blood and glass on it, right?
      - A. Correct, yes.
    - Q. Okay. But the blood and glass wasn't completely all over it?
    - No, there was more blood on the top and sides of what's called the slide area of the qun, which is the top, the top and the upper left and right side. There was some blood on the grip area but less blood on the grip area and less glass on the grip area then on the top of the gun. So there is, based on the original photographs, preferential depositions of blood in those particular areas on the tops and sides, same with the glass, preferentially deposited on the right side of the upper slide, but, again, those are difficult to interpret why those specific areas were saturated or deposited on in preference to other areas and why some others receive less blood, so it's not a uniform event. And, again, these are aspects that I didn't address in my initial report because I think they were too complicated to address, but when the opposing experts begin to opine about blood stain patterns then I'm compelled to rebut that in my opinion and identify what the limits are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and where they've overstated the limits to the best of my In this rebuttal opinion the descriptions ability. provided are not common blood stain pattern terms, I included some terms at the end, so I had to try to understand first, what was -- what were they trying to say about the blood deposits, because they're not following normal descriptions and nomenclature, so propelled blood, I knew that Badley described a fountain of blood, or a warm liquid, which I presume to be blood emanating from the -what has to be the entry wound of Franklin, and so blood, I expect blood to squirt out of that entry wound and Badley described that, I would say that's a supported observation, and if there was a gun in the -- in the interim space it could receive that back spatter of blood from the entry wound, it got to Badley, so blood is being propelled through the cabin of the car.

- Q. And when you say interim space, you mean the space between Desmond and Devin?
  - A. Correct, yes.
- Q. Then your ultimate conclusion, looking at page 4 on this, is that you can't identify where, quote, "Where the gun was pointed in the moments before Garcia delivered the gunshots"?
  - A. Correct, the blood will not inform that.
  - Q. If it was pointed anywhere, I mean, that's --

A. Correct, that's --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. You don't have an assumption that it was?
- A. Yes, I agree, the position of the firearm in the instant or the moments before the first shot arrived into the vehicle, into the Ford can't be determined, we don't have data to identify that.
- Q. Okay. Looking at rebuttal opinion 5, can you explain to me what is the significance of this -- of this rebuttal opinion, what does it mean?
- So in the -- in the Balash report he included a fairly lengthy description about, I think he was speaking to the lack of collection of the holster and he implied that the presence of the holster could have had significant bearing on his interpretation, but then he goes on to say that he has enough data to provide his interpretation, so to me I wanted to point that out in a rebuttal, because you either are limited by that or you have enough. If your opinion is, I don't have the holster so I can't evaluate it, that's fine, but to say that I don't have the holster but I can evaluate it anyway is -- there's no point to that other than to try to diminish what was collected in this So, again, in a rebuttal report such as this I have to decide, do I want to address a particular issue or something that I see is, in this case, I would say frivolous, or leave it alone. And so I thought it was

important enough to mention that the implications in his report that without the holster he can't do anything are not true, he did all kinds of evaluation with the holster and ultimately opined that the type of holster that Garcia had would perform in a particular way, I don't claim to be a use of force or a holster expert so I don't know the mechanical advantage or disadvantage of Garcia's holster, but if he doesn't have sufficient to opine then he shouldn't opine. That was the point of my rebuttal number 5.

- Q. So on number 5 you're not disagreeing on a scientific basis or a scientific finding with Balash, you're -- correct?
- A. Correct, I don't -- I don't have an opinion about the holster's -- Garcia's holster role in the overall event, I don't have any -- any opinion whatsoever. I do look for, in expert reports, some of -- the last term I mentioned here where I quote him, Balash opines that the investigators were not concerned or interested in an aspect of this, well, I don't know if that's true or not, I don't know if they cared or not, and he doesn't know if they cared or not, his interpretation might be that they don't care but you can't say that somebody else didn't care about this crime scene because they didn't collect a holster for you, it's an inappropriate statement because it can't be

PH: 216.241.3918

- substantiated with any physical evidence or facts or data, it's just his opinion, he wishes -- he felt that the examiners were not concerned or interested in processing this scene, well, they did what they did and that's what we can evaluate, so that's part of that substance 5 as well.
- Q. All right. So you don't have a scientific basis to differ from him with respect to number 5, it's a -- you don't like his analysis basically or his style on this one?
- A. Yes, he's -- as in the other points, he's made statements that are not supported by any data, they're simply criticisms of what he sees in this report.
- Q. Moving to rebuttal opinion 6, this has to do with the trigger disconnect or the safety on the gun that was in Desmond Franklin's car. I think you started explaining this to me before, but can you explain the significance of how you know -- how a user of that gun knows that the gun is in the on safe position?
- A. So visually if you -- if you have time and you inspect the position of the lever itself, that would be one thing, if you know the gun and you know the arrangement of the gun, you know what the angle lever is in the on safe position, so that would be the first thing. What Balash implies here is that Franklin would have known right away that he was in an on safe condition because the trigger of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the trigger disconnect, and that is what I referred to earlier as the slack in the trigger, but to know that you have to pull the trigger, so if it is Balash's opinion that Franklin would have known that he was on safe, then that means Franklin pulled the trigger by his description of the -- of the trigger disconnect. So if Franklin is pulling the trigger of a loaded gun inside of his vehicle, I assume he's intending to fire but did not fire because his safety was on, but the only way he can know from the trigger disconnect is to fully pull the trigger to the rear and realizes it's not firing the gun. So Balash has opined that he would have known because of the trigger disconnect, I rebut that by saying he would have had to pull the trigger to know and if he's pulling the trigger then he's intending -- you don't pull the trigger of a gun that you don't intend to shoot, so he's intending to try to -- if he's pulling the -- if he's pulling the trigger then he's trying to discharge the gun, there is no process in this environment where you would pull the trigger and hope that your gun doesn't fire, that doesn't make any sense. And he would if he knows the gun, recognize, after he's pulled the trigger, this trigger has slack, it's on safe, and I think if that occurred, if that thought process occurred to Franklin, I think that he was then subsequently shot before he could flip the switch and make the gun work properly, if

- 1 -- if he recognizes what Balash has -- has given him credit
  2 for.
  - Q. The hypothetical that you just posed is based upon an assumption that Desmond's playing with the trigger at the same moment he's trying to shoot a gun, right?
  - A. Not playing with the trigger, you would have to pull the trigger.
  - Q. Pulling the trigger at the same moment he's going to shoot the gun, the hypothetical that you just posed is based upon that assumption, also hypothetical?
  - A. Correct, in my experience people do not pull the trigger of a gun until they're trying to initiate a gunshot. It's not a reasonable way to check whether your safety is on or off by pulling the trigger, because if it's off, his gun was loaded with a round in the chamber, if the gun was off, wherever it was pointed, a bullet would have come out at 900 feet per second and hit whatever was in front of it, so it is not an effective way to check whether a safety is on or off. But if he, as Balash -- Balash proposed that's what he did or that's what he would have done in his quote there, if that's what he did then he was trying to discharge the firearm by pulling the trigger, in my opinion.
  - Q. Okay. You conclude, just to make sure I get this right, your opinion is that if Desmond had pulled the

on safe trigger of his gun while in the car, the only thing he could be doing is trying to shoot someone?

- A. That is my opinion, yes. Well, I don't know if he's trying to shoot someone, he's trying to discharge his gun.
- Q. Okay. The only thing he could be doing is trying to discharge the gun?
- A. Correct, because it is not an appropriate way to check if you're on safe or not on a pistol that is loaded with a round in the chamber, because if it's off safe you just fired the gun.
- Q. If the gun -- this particular gun is on safe you can visually tell, someone can visually tell, as you've described to me before, that it's on safe, correct?
- A. Yes, there are the external levers, what I referred to earlier, ambidextrous safety, a lever on each side of the back of the gun, so if you visually inspect the gun and you know the properties of the gun, you could recognize that, hey, this gun, the safety is angled downward, which means it's on safe, you can see that visually if you inspect the position of the gun.
- Q. And you're familiar with this type of gun, not even just this particular gun, right?
  - A. Yes, yes.
  - Q. Is that -- that safety feature that you can

visually see, is that commonly used for gun users to make sure the safety is on or off?

- A. Yes, the safety is -- the purpose of the safety is so that you can carry the gun and not have an inadvertent pull of the trigger, so if somebody does access your trigger or if you accidentally access your trigger the gun won't discharge, and the visual safety, if you learn what the safety, because some guns up is fire, or, I'm sorry, up is safe, down is fire, this gun down is safe, up is fire. So if you know your gun you might be able to visually check simply by looking at it and say, it's on safe, okay, no problems here, so there -- you can visually, if you know the gun, you can visually assess the position of that lever and determine whether or not the safety is on or off.
- Q. Okay. So if you use this gun that was in Desmond's car you can tell visually, okay, my gun's on safe and then you can have it safely?
- A. Yes, if you know the gun, I mean, if you know what the operations are on the gun. It has an external lever that's a physical piece of metal that flips up or down and so you can feel and see that lever, so it is a visual -- there is a visual -- a potential to visually assess whether your gun is on safe or off safe.

PH: 216.241.3918

Q. Visual and you can feel it?

- A. Then if you pull the trigger that would be, independent of the visual, you would feel slack trigger and you would -- you would realize that, hey, the gun is not discharging, so it's loaded, so if it's not discharging that must mean it's on safe or mechanically flawed, which I don't think is an element here, but --
- Q. So that safety lever that you can visually see and feel and the slack trigger, those are both indicia of the gun being on safe on this gun?
- A. Yes, visually with the lever and by pulling the trigger on what's called a disconnect.
- Q. Moving to rebuttal opinion 7, explain to me the significance for you of the 911 call here.
- A. So this is something that I -- I began to address in the initial report and it's in context to testing whether or not Garcia saw a gun prior to this event. And so we have the very obvious things, there is a gun in the car that's revealed, but this is an utterance made before he's done any -- before there's been any time to do an inspection of the car, and he's referencing that, he's asking where's the gun, you know, some gun, so this implies to me that he knows there's a gun in this car. He could be guessing and hopefully guess correctly or it could be that he's seen the gun or seen a gun in the car and be reacting to that. The reason I included it in the rebuttal

here is because they imply that him saying this means he didn't see a gun and that he has to ask Badley where is a gun, where is the gun, what did you do with the gun, so they, I quess there's two interpretations of the same data, they interpreted it as he doesn't know that there's a gun and he has to, I quess, I assume quess that there's a qun in this car by asking Badley where's the gun or he already knows. My position is he already knows there's a gun in the car but Badley is running around, he needs to know, Officer Garcia wants to know where is the gun, and to my interpretation he -- he's aware of a gun in the car. One reason that he's aware -- that he could be aware of the gun in the car is that he saw it pointed at him before he discharged it or he could guess and say, a car in this neighborhood probably has a gun in it and I hope that it's something that's not in the trunk, or he -- he's more along the lines of what Tucker reports, which is that he doesn't know there's any qun, he's unaware of any qun and he's trying to fabricate it in making these utterances captured on the 911 call. So I wanted to just point out that the interpretation that this means that Garcia did not -- was not aware of any qun at the time after the car wreck and after he ran up to the scene I don't think is supported by the evidence. I think he -- I think the evidence supports that there was a qun and he could have seen it or he could

PH: 216.241.3918

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be guessing that there's a gun.

Q. Rebuttal opinion 7 then, this is your -you're rebutting your interpretation of Tucker's
interpretation of the 911 call?

MR. CABRAL: Objection.

- A. I'm going to read the paragraph real quick.
- Q. Yeah.
- A. Yeah, so generally speaking my interpretation of what he says is that Garcia was not aware of a gun in the car until he saw it at Franklin's feet, and I interpret the utterance another way, a different way in that he uttered that because he knew there was a gun in the car, not because he didn't know there was a gun in the car. So, again, a test as to what Garcia meant by uttering that in the 911 car, I'm not aware of a test that was done to evaluate or refute which of those interpretations are best or correct.
- Q. Then what is the -- what is the scientific basis for your interpretation of what Garcia's utterance was?
- A. So the test is Garcia makes a statement, proposed a hypothesis that he saw a gun pointed at him inside the car, that provides a testable -- a situation that we want to try to test, and then we look for the evidence of that. There was, in fact, a gun in the car and

he, in my interpretation of his utterance, knew there was a gun in the car, and he could only know that there was a gun in the car for his utterance if he had seen it earlier in the -- in the event. So that's -- that's the information that I combined to test Garcia's statement, that he saw a gun in the car or, I'm sorry, that he saw a gun pointed at him earlier in the incident. He was aware of a gun in the car that if -- that if the gun was put away at all times he could not have seen it, he would never have known that there's a gun in the car and he would have to be guessing that there's a gun in the car.

- Q. That's your evaluation of Garcia's statement?
- A. Correct.
  - Q. Did you read Garcia's deposition testimony --
- A. Yes.
- Q. -- in order to prepare? Besides these rebuttal opinions 1 through 7, do you have any other rebuttal opinions that you want to express with either the Balash or the Tucker report?
  - A. No, nothing that comes to mind.
- Q. Have you had your expert witness testimony excluded in whole or in part based upon a Daubert challenge in any police involved shooting case that you are aware of?
- A. I'm not aware of any Daubert restrictions or restrictions of my testimony.

1 Q. That's all I have. If you could just follow 2 up on those few things that we discussed, the two prior 3 cases and identifying the video that you had used, as well 4 as I'll follow up with your counsel on getting those 5 document productions that I had propounded to the extent we 6 don't already have those materials. 7 Okay. Yeah, I'll follow up on that. Believe Α. 8 it or not, I have another trial going this afternoon so I 9 want to get back and land in my office. I'll organize that 10 and get it to counsel. 11 MR. CABRAL: Mr. Noedel, I presume you 12 want to read the transcript in the event that 13 it's transcribed. 14 THE WITNESS: That's correct, read and sign, please. 15 16 (Deposition concluded at 2:15 p.m.) 17 (Signature reserved.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

PH: 216.241.3918

## Case: 1:22-cv-00061-DAP Doc #: 44-10 Filed: 01/31/24 146 of 167. PageID #: 958 an of Matthew Noedel Adam Fried, Administrator Estate of Desmond Franklin, vs. Jose Garcia,

Deposition of Matthew Noedel

SIGNATURE PAGE
Date of Deposition: December 7, 2023
Correction page(s) enclosed? Yes No
How many correction pages?
MATTHEW NOEDEL Date
Please return this signed signature page along
with correction page(s) to:
TACKLA COURT REPORTING
1020 Ohio Savings Plaza 1801 East Ninth Street
Cleveland, Ohio 44114 (216) 241-3918

PH: 216.241.3918

CERTIFICATE

I, Lauren Shammo, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the within-named witness was by me first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given was by me reduced to stenotype in the presence of said witness and afterwards transcribed; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the testimony so given as aforesaid.

I do further certify that this deposition was taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, employee of or attorney for any of the parties in this action; that I am not a relative or employee of an attorney of any of the parties in this action; that I am not financially interested in this action, nor am I or the court reporting firm with which I am affiliated under a contract as defined in the applicable civil rule.

1	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
2	and affixed my seal of office at Cleveland, Ohio on this
3	21st day of December, 2023.
4	$\bigcap$
5	Aller Allema
6	LAUREN SHAMMO, RPR, CRR
7	Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio
8	My Commission expires December 5, 2025.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## WORD INDEX

<1> **1** 8:2 16:19 28:18 39:24 50:20, 23 117:18 118:1 121:4, 9 143:17 **1.25** 104:*11* **1.65** 104:12 **1.75** 78:*15* 1:22-CV-00061 1:5 **10** 103:9 **10:00** 1:12 38:20 **1020** 145:20 **106** 2:8 **11** 93:8 94:*13* 99:*14* 103:2, 6, 11 **12** 102:13 104:10 **1215** 2:8 **13** 99:14 103:2, 6 **13th** 10:13, 21 **14** 11:7 105:5 114:4 **15** 20:17 28:10, 13 **17** 16:20 28:13 37:10 59:2 60:7 76:24 82:22 89:12 93:8 105:5 114:4 **18** 4:24 20:16 **1801** 145:21 **19** 14:8 **1900** 2:6

<2>
2 28:19 37:10 39:24
56:13 62:23 69:17 70:5,
9 122:19 124:2, 5 130:11
2:15 144:16
20 95:25
2000 21:5
2005 21:5, 6, 9, 13
2009 21:4
2022 10:13, 21
2023 1:12 11:7 145:2
147:3
2025 147:8
216 2:8 145:22
21st 147:3

**23** 89:16 90:17

**241-3918** 145:22

**2-pound** 130:*11* 

**241-1430** 2:8

**241-5310** 2:8

<3>
3 50:19 69:25 70:7, 9
73:19 76:17 79:18
122:22 124:13, 17
35 120:14
36 14:8
38 4:23
3D 9:4, 5 29:2 30:2

14, 17, 21 34:20 36:17, 20 60:23

<4>
4 20:14, 19 21:1 59:2
60:7 66:11 69:18 79:16
130:22 132:21
40 20:15
44113 2:7
44114 2:8 145:21
45-caliber 93:4

<5>
5 3:4, 5, 6, 7 70:1 73:18, 19 76:17 133:7 134:10, 11 135:5, 7 147:8
50 2:6 20:15
500 20:14, 19 21:1

<6>
6 76:24 79:15 135:13
601 2:8
664-2800 2:8

<7>
7 1:12 3:4, 5, 6, 7 80:20
84:11 88:20 117:19
118:1 140:12 142:2
143:17 145:2
7:00 5:23

<8> 8 66:11 82:22 87:6 8th 29:1

<9>
9 88:19, 20 89:12 104:9
9/14/2023 116:13
9:00 38:19
900 137:17
911 109:3, 11 140:13
141:20 142:4, 15
9-millimeter 93:6

<a href="#">< A ></a>
a.m 1:12 5:23 38:20
Aaron 1:6
abilities 104:16
ability 47:12 59:7 122:7
132:2
able 6:3 16:16 25:20
26:12 36:12 38:8 41:14
47:14 49:4 56:8 60:23
75:8, 23 86:5 96:8 110:4
126:3, 4 139:10
absolute 79:9
absolutely 6:17 38:14
87:14
accelerates 67:13 68:2

accelerating 79:7 81:4 102:24 104:4 acceleration 68:7 accept 93:21 accepted 17:4 26:1 111:25 112:1 118:16 access 36:22 54:24 126:3, 11 139:5, 6 accessed 114:6, 7, 12, 16, 18 115:2 126:10 accessible 114:11 accident 24:12 accidentally 139:6 accommodate 65:1, 22 67:18 68:8 Accord 31:21, 22 account 90:6 92:10 accounted 92:12 accounting 46:6 91:25 92:9 accumulate 9:2 accurate 36:6 85:6, 8 98:18 accurately 5:19 achieve 44:17 66:6 67:5 69:1 75:2 122:7 achieved 122:5 acquire 108:5 114:13 acquired 32:16 109:14 action 48:15 68:4 95:7 146:17, 18, 19 activated 123:22 activities 11:12 actual 10:8 14:1 29:8 30:5, 11, 13 36:22 37:5, 16 38:25 54:24 57:22 80:11 93:15 96:4 110:2 121:22, 23 122:1 126:12 **ADAM** 1:3 add 31:19 106:18 added 84:14, 15 additional 11:1, 12 26:17 69:6 84:17 92:25 address 117:25 131:22, *23* 133:23 140:*15* adjacent 31:2 72:8 87:4, 7 99:19 107:23 111:2, 3 114:15 121:17 adjusted 119:10 adjustment 89:3, 5 Administrator 1:3 **admit** 57:2 Adrienne 14:10 advance 81:21 112:7 advanced 98:9 104:5 109:3 advantage 134:7 affect 61:18 65:9 92:15

affiliated 146:20

PH: 216.241.3918

affixed 147:2 **aforesaid** 146:7, 11 afternoon 144:8 **age** 104:16 agency 10:7 13:25 agree 58:11 133:3 agreement 9:7 agrees 94:3 aha 92:14, 15 ahead 62:8 69:4 74:6, 24 80:22 81:1, 4, 7 102:15, 16, 21 103:3, 11, 24 aid 35:21 air 53:25 54:4 airbags 127:16 albeit 51:25 align 31:5 98:23 aligned 31:24 72:3 100:9 101:11 102:11 103:1 108:1 110:20 112:6 aligning 72:12 alignment 34:15 99:24 100:7 allow 25:2 66:12 78:10 120:25 allows 41:7 58:1 alongside 31:24 101:18 alter 96:13 97:9 altered 47:1 ambidextrous 47:9 138:16 **ammunition** 19:8, 11 amount 22:14 71:23 78:10 analysis 22:1, 6, 8, 9, 23 32:25 42:14 58:3 66:3, *15* 75:*11* 95:22 97:23 98:13, 16 105:13 107:19 117:15 135:8 analytical 104:2 119:3 120:10 analytically 98:10 anatomical 122:5 anatomically 44:19 122:6 and/or 12:3 87:23 angle 31:5, 10, 11 35:23 36:1 65:9, 25 66:1, 4, 15 69:2 71:16, 21 80:21 81:6 84:25 88:21 90:2, 3, 5, 7, 15, 21, 22 91:3, 15 94:15, 16, 21 99:8, 22 120:24 121:1, 22, 23 122:12 135:22 angled 84:10 85:3 90:7, 9 91:5 138:19 angles 25:11 32:10 35:8, 23 44:25 58:20 60:18, 21 61:14, 19 77:21 81:8

31:8, 12, 18, 24 32:2, 5, 7,

89:1, 7, 10 92:13 93:18 94:24 97:3 angular 77:11 90:14 91:1 95:6 answer 5:11 48:24 112:3 124:9 anticipate 12:2 anytime 5:5, 15 anyway 133:20 apart 37:18 79:3 89:5 apologize 22:11 appear 128:5, 7, 8, 9 appearance 50:2, 9 83:4, 12 84:18 91:21 99:24 101:9 126:8 128:*13* APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 79:21 **appearing** 1:13 100:8 appears 99:17, 18 127:15 appended 7:19 applicable 146:21 applied 23:13 24:23 35:24 47:15 63:22 apply 24:24 37:8 93:14 129:12 appointment 38:18 **appreciate** 5:25 14:23 96:6 approach 92:19 approached 60:3 approaching 17:11 **appropriate** 15:*1* 31:23 33:8 138:8 approximate 33:4, 24 62:13 118:18 approximately 71:5 100:10 101:23 119:22 130:7 approximates 31:10 approximation 29:10 64:10 approximations 32:4 35:3 60:9 area 21:25 39:7 44:6, 21 46:7, 17 63:9 64:9 65:17, 22 67:23 71:12 86:4 91:2 94:17 107:10 128:12 129:17 131:10, 12, 13 areas 8:19 22:5, 8, 17 50:4 53:7 55:12 116:3 126:7, 10 131:15, 18, 19 argue 121:19 **arising** 12:10 arm 45:8 119:5 121:15 armed 13:10 arms 120:5 122:16 arm's 44:17, 18 arrangement 135:21 arrival 64:5 107:9

arrive 15:13 66:19 94:22 118:18 126:20 **arrived** 26:9, 10 51:9 55:18, 23 57:20 102:18 122:12 126:19 127:22 133:4 arrives 62:4 119:7 arriving 51:12 55:22 56:6, 18 83:10 95:19 96:12 123:21 126:3 arrow 84:15, 16 arrows 50:23 asked 18:16 116:15, 19 asking 82:2 140:21 141:7 aspect 42:24 44:24 55:21 60:15 95:2 113:3 134:19 aspects 17:7 18:2 29:6 76:22 131:2*1* assess 78:22 79:5 139:13, 24 assessing 113:20 assessment 23:17 34:24 36:17 78:16, 17 79:4 97:25 98:11 assessments 80:18 assignment 108:2 assist 26:4 associated 13:24 56:15 57:1 assume 5:10 35:15, 16 111:18 136:7 141:6 assumed 86:15 Assuming 43:11 **assumption** 46:25 74:3 93:7 133:2 137:4, 10 assumptions 27:4 98:20 111:19 attempt 61:13 121:12 attempting 93:13 attorney 10:8 116:20 146:16, 17 attorneys 13:1 96:9 autopsv 56:14 57:1, 18 58:24 121:15 available 7:12 10:16 11:19 20:2 30:5, 9, 17 31:19 58:24 84:21, 22 97:16 101:4 Avenue 2:8 average 39:6, 13 104:10, aware 10:25 39:11 70:20 107:2 111:3 141:11, 12, 22 142:9, 15 143:7, 23, 24 <B> back 12:1 15:4 16:5

17:4 26:19 37:10 42:20,

PH: 216.241.3918

23 43:20, 25 45:10, 12 55:6 56:12 62:25 63:6, 17, 18, 20 64:6, 9 67:16, 20 68:10, 23, 25 69:1 72:12, 15, 23 74:2, 10, 18, 19, 20 75:2 76:9, 13 77:17, 23 82:11, 14, 20 84:19 85:18, 20, 21, 25 86:6 87:3 88:19 89:7, 23 90:1, 22, 24 91:14 101:2 105:22 107:10, 12, 17 119:10 128:6, 19, 23, 24 130:13 132:14 138:17 144:9 **backed** 39:20 **background** 16:21 17:5 27:3, 4, 7, 16 28:4 106:24 107:21 backtrack 25:20 61:11 62:12, 15 63:19 73:3 87:19 backtracking 57:25 63:10 65:23 **backup** 39:11 backups 39:6 **backward** 97:1 112:15 backwards 31:8 Badley 73:20 74:3 101:6 103:22 106:12 109:22 111:6 112:12 113:8, 15, *16*, 22 115:9 132:8, *11*, *15* 141:2, 7, 9 Badley's 75:5 101:14 113:5 **Balash** 118:24 122:3, 9, 23 123:14 124:10 133:10 134:12, 18 135:23 136:11 137:1, 19 143:19 **Balash's** 136:3 **ballistic** 20:22 26:15 35:7 40:5 129:11 ballistics 22:12, 18 23:11, 18 57:1 ballpark 20:19 **barrel** 19:15 barrier 130:15 barriers 129:3 base 27:20 based 27:17 36:3 37:4 44:4, 7 45:2 58:5, 11 66:23 70:22 76:6 77:8, 14, 24, 25 80:17 82:5 106:24 107:3 110:5 111:11, 16 112:1 114:8 115:19 117:2, 11, 23 118:10 119:15 120:10, 19 125:12, 23 126:18 128:3 129:7, 8, 10, 20, 21 131:14 137:3, 10 143:22 **bases** 27:5

basically 9:6 17:13, 22 23:20 58:2 66:9 86:3 117:5 135:8 basics 5:2 basis 18:7 37:2, 3, 15 41:20 42:6 72:22 81:12 83:2 99:11 104:12 106:9 117:6 120:10 124:1, 4, 6, 16 134:12 135:7 142:19 bear 24:7 25:24 bearing 60:14 113:10 133:14 began 84:8 101:15 108:1 110:3 125:6 140:14 beginning 28:9, 18 81:8 begins 57:10 102:11 **behalf** 2:2, 8 belabor 5:2 believe 6:5 11:24 42:2 44:8, 12 68:17 69:11, 16 77:2 82:5 83:16 101:21 102:2 111:11 115:5, 9 117:19 127:4 144:7 bench 8:21 9:3 bent 112:*14* best 55:20 74:15 77:13, 22 78:5 83:14 85:1 86:4 90:25 95:9 115:5 132:1 142:16 better 54:25 77:3, 10 90:8, 10 121:10 **beyond** 116:*3* big 21:25 77:20 92:13 bigger 38:6 billing 9:9, 10, 21 biomechanical 66:14 120:25 **bit** 24:14 39:3 50:2 64:18, 25 68:13, 24, 25 72:16 76:24 120:23 blank 34:11 blasted 53:8 **bleeding** 127:6, 13 **blocked** 45:24 48:2 56:6 **blood** 22:1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 18, 23 23:12, 17, 24 25:23 26:2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 16 49:17 50:2, 11 75:4, 9, 12, 15, 18, 19, 22 117:14, 16 126:3, 8, 11, 13, 16, 24 127:5, 8, 12, 25 130:23 131:5, 7, 9, 12, 15, 20, 24 132:3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 24 **bodies** 57:*3* **body** 46:16 52:3 57:24 60:1, 4 64:4 76:3 86:2, 5 105:22 123:5, 22, 23 **bold** 28:17 **bolded** 27:3

**Bonham** 2:4 4:6, 8 6:18, 23 76:11 116:5 book 15:20 **Boop** 10:6, 11 **bottom** 11:3, 6 56:12 79:15 87:6 89:11 **bounce** 43:5 box 50:17 **Boy** 94:11 **brandish** 13:*14* brandished 128:16 brands 19:8 break 5:4, 7 14:25 17:12 68:12 76:12 116:6 breaks 53:6 62:25 **Brief** 76:16 116:10 briefly 7:16 117:17 **bright** 99:15 bring 96:19 **bringing** 97:23 **broken** 57:5 103:18 **builds** 24:24 **built** 36:8 **bullet** 19:15, 21 24:10, 13, 15, 17, 20, 23, 25 25:1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21 26:17 28:25 29:1, 16, 18 30:19, 24 31:1, 7 32:10 35:7, 10, 12 36:3, 5, 13, 23 37:8 41:23, 24 42:3, 25 44:7 46:19 48:13 49:15 51:1, 18 52:1, 9, 16, 18, 21 53:2 56:9, 16, 17, 20, 21, 23 57:3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, *15*, *19*, *21*, *22*, *24* 58:*1*, *3*, *7*, 8, 9, 15, 20, 23 59:12, 17, 22, 24 60:8, 11, 12, 24 61:7, 9, 16 62:1, 4, 19 63:1, 10, 13, 21 64:5, 9, 10, 12, 13, 21, 23 65:3, 8, 12, 16 66:5, 6, 7, 10, 12, 18, 24 67:1, 15, 18 69:5, 23 70:5, 10 72:20 73:4, 6, 21, 22 74:5, 15, 17, 23, 24 75:3 76:19, 22, 25 77:16, 25 78:5, 21 79:16 80:13, 15, 20, 22, 25 82:23, 24 83:8, 9, 14, 16, 18, 21 84:5, 6, 21, 23, 24 85:1, 2, 3, 7, 13, 16 87:9, 13, 15, 16, 17, 23 88:3, 21 89:13, 14, 16, 24, 25 90:3, 4, 9, 13, 14, 15, 19, 21, 24 91:1, 2, 5, 11, 13, 14, 21, 23, 25 92:1, 9, 16, 17, 23 93:10 94:6 99:5 100:5 102:14 110:5 115:5, 7, 11 118:18 119:6, 8, 15, 22 122:12 137:16 **bullets** 20:21 21:21 22:13 24:3, 7, 22 25:10,

11 30:1 36:10 44:3, 4
45:9 59:3, 14, 23 72:1
80:5 83:8 90:5, 6, 17, 19,
23 91:22 92:21 93:16
94:6
bullet's 24:14 62:20
bumper 72:5
bushes 98:6, 8 103:12
104:8, 23 110:20
business 21:24 23:10
busy 37:18

<C>
cab 67:22
cabin 132:16
Cabral 2:8 76:15 142:5
144:11
cadence 78:15
calendar 12:2
call 10:10 16 17:25 20:3

cab 67:22 cabin 132:*16* Cabral 2:8 76:15 142:5 **cadence** 78:15 call 10:10, 16 17:25 20:3 22:10, 11 28:19 54:20 67:20 74:3 92:9 99:15 105:6 109:11 118:2 119:4 140:13 141:20 142:4 called 4:2 9:8 14:10 26:10 32:13, 22 47:9 51:3, 6, 24 80:13 90:17 94:13 119:2 131:10 140:11 calling 62:3

camera 46:16 60:1, 4 94:15, 24 95:20 97:19 123:5 cameras 123:1, 22 cams 123:23

**capabilities** 96:22 **capability** 97:11 **capacity** 51:8 114:19 **caption** 146:13 **capture** 34:25 38:4 99:25 123:5

**captured** 34:20 36:5, 9 37:19, 23 47:1 50:9 76:19 94:14 96:18 105:10 113:6 123:6, 23 141:19

141:19
captures 80:14 120:7
capturing 29:3 96:23
car 27:10 29:2, 4, 10, 11,
12, 15, 21, 24 30:20 31:11,
20 33:1, 2, 5, 15, 25 40:18
42:16, 20, 21, 23 43:1, 11
44:9, 23 45:3, 12, 14, 15,
19, 23 46:1, 10 53:3, 7, 8,
9, 12, 13, 20, 21 54:10, 11,
13, 19 55:1, 9, 11, 13
60:19 61:20, 25 64:21
66:24, 25 67:4 68:2, 3, 10,
14 69:3, 10, 12 70:6, 14

71:4, 24, 25 72:19 73:12 76:5 77:15, 16 78:1 79:14 80:9, 25 81:1, 6, 10, 13, 16, 19, 23 82:3, 11, 14 83:5, 11, 12, 13, 19 84:1, 2, 22, 25 85:20 86:20 88:6, 8 90:11, 23, 24 91:7 92:22 98:5 101:15, 24 102:7, 8, 23, 24 103:1, 2 104:3 109:2, 18 111:2 112:18, 23, 25 113:1, 2 115:15, 16 120:15 121:17 123:12, 14 125:14, 20, 25 126:*1* 128:*12*, *15*, *24* 129:1, 20 130:3, 13, 20 131:*1* 132:*16* 135:*15* 138:1 139:17 140:18, 20, 22, 24 141:7, 9, 11, 13, 14, 22 142:10, 12, 13, 15, 23, 25 143:2, 3, 6, 8, 10, 11 care 134:23 cared 134:21, 22 career 4:22 20:13 21:1, 2, 11, 13 careful 81:3 100:6 **carried** 123:*12* carry 129:13 139:4 carrying 45:6 cars 27:11 31:8 39:7 67:12, 13, 23 68:18, 19 69:2, 7 70:23 78:10, 17, 23 79:5, 7 81:6 82:6 84:8 93:24 95:13, 19 96:2 97:2 98:9 100:9 101:10, 23 102:2, 10, 23 103:10, 14, 25 104:24 107:12, 22, 25 110:11, 15, 20 111:3 112:5, 6, 8 **cartons** 75:14 cartridge 19:15, 17, 20 21:21 22:13 24:4 40:22, 23 41:5, 7 42:4, 13, 16, 20 43:8, 20 44:24 45:10 46:1 81:24 93:1, 2 cartridges 45:18 130:9 case 7:22 8:6, 7, 13 9:22, 25 10:4, 16, 17, 20, 23 11:2 14:2, 3, 12, 16 15:14, *15, 18* 16:*1* 18:*13, 17, 20* 19:17, 20 20:5, 23 23:13, 14, 16 24:9 25:4 26:18, 25 27:11, 22 28:6, 20 29:11 30:13 34:23 35:16 36:23 38:11 40:23 42:13 45:17 48:9 50:1 53:11

54:25 55:8, 25 57:3, 17

59:7 61:8 93:25 94:9

98:4, 10 115:25 116:17

117:22 118:15 133:24

143:23

PH: 216.241.3918

cases 11:21 12:10, 22 13:2, 5, 6, 19 14:21, 25 16:6 20:*15*, 24, 25 21:21 22:13 24:4 40:22, 23 41:5, 7 42:4, 16, 20 43:8, 20 44:4, 24 45:10 46:1 81:25 93:1, 2 96:8, 24 107:13 144:3 cat 68:2 catalog 17:24 19:9 20:1 26:2 cataloged 75:23 cataloging 19:4 catch 100:20, 23 101:4, 16, 18 102:6 categorized 75:24 category 26:5 caught 103:4, 23 cause 19:14, 19 91:7 111:13 127:15 146:7 caused 13:18 26:14 59:17 91:20 107:15 108:5, 10 110:1, 2, 10 causes 51:3 causing 25:3 57:8 108:*19* 119:*1* Cemetery 102:15, 18 103:1, 12 104:8 center 34:19 128:9 certain 71:23 95:14 100:*1* certainly 21:1 24:12 38:1 43:3, 8 76:2 85:17 93:4 100:7, 8 123:3, 13 125:*1* certainty 26:22 115:22 certified 4:4 **certify** 146:5, 12, 15 chairs 43:4 chalk 53:17 79:22 challenge 143:22 chamber 137:15 138:10 change 92:12 93:21 103:10 changed 50:1, 11 changes 57:11 changing 84:9 characteristics 49:7 82:6 **check** 13:4 14:20 47:25 48:5 137:13, 18 138:9 139:*11* chest 74:12 circle 62:22 70:6 **circled** 69:17 circular 70:4 circumstances 5:17 26:18 cited 104:18 105:2 CITY 2:8 10:6 12:14 13:19, 21, 22 14:11

civil 146:21 **claim** 134:5 claimed 41:8 43:22 107:14 claims 18:5 44:22 109:25 clarification 5:14 clarify 5:15 clear 40:16 99:20 113:4, 20 clearly 101:10 103:2 **Cleveland** 2:7, 8 10:7 12:14 38:8 57:22 145:21 147:2 client 9:8 10:2 client's 29:10 close 43:19 44:13 72:11 77:5, 19 79:13 **closed** 72:19 closer 70:7 close-up 70:2 **clothes** 75:19 clothing 75:5 **cock** 47:13 **coffee** 76:12 collect 25:14 134:24 **collected** 74:10 133:21 **collection** 26:7 40:22 50:10 58:12 133:12 Collectively 100:19 112:20 130:10 collision 73:6 125:20 127:14, 15 128:23 129:6 **color** 84:15 colors 97:10 Columbus 12:23 13:8, 13, 18, 20 14:11 **combination** 9:14 68:8 102:25 combine 40:11, 15 44:15 116:25 combined 143:5 **combines** 51:*15* **combining** 77:22 98:7 come 15:4 16:5 20:9 34:22 42:20 54:18 62:12 76:13 88:3 91:15 92:17 97:7 106:20 107:22 123:21 137:17 comes 27:21 32:14 53:12 54:11 57:6 59:11 61:5 62:14 68:15 70:3 92:2 123:17 143:20 coming 10:23 15:9 28:18 84:10 108:23 113:6 127:12 commensurate 25:12 Commission 147:8 commissioned 146:4 **commit** 77:6

common 19:1 96:16 97:20 104:20 107:1 120:14 132:3 commonly 17:3 26:1 139:*1* commotion 129:5 community 13:14 company 13:24 comparison 21:24 22:12 **compartment** 63:19 128:8 **compass** 60:13 compelled 131:24 **complete** 8:5 15:7 25:15 34:11 48:15 56:21 58:1 80:18 92:7, 8 **completely** 88:15 131:8 completeness 92:18 completes 58:3 **complicated** 75:7 131:23 component 22:3, 23 23:3, 15, 16, 18 24:12 26:13 components 20:22 22:14 23:14 24:5 comprehensive 28:15 compressed 73:11 comprised 60:12 computer 9:5, 17, 19 31:9 32:6 121:5, 7 conceivable 109:6 **concept** 9:1 41:16 43:23 119:11 concepts 8:25 105:18 concerned 134:19 135:3 conclude 58:8 65:25 72:18 73:22 81:16 82:2, 24 87:7 115:14 118:7 137:24 concluded 144:16 conclusion 42:6 58:14 70:23 80:25 83:3 99:2, 11 105:15 113:24 114:5 119:14 120:9, 11 129:7, 8 131:3 132:20 **conclusions** 76:18 78:2 80:4 91:10 94:22 95:9 97:8 101:21 105:6, 11, 19 106:6 110:8 114:8 115:5 125:13 conclusively 77:23 81:18 condition 61:15 135:25 conditional 49:6 conducted 8:17 104:14 105:13 106:15 conducting 4:15 36:16 cone 55:5 70:4 cone-shaped 65:21 confidence 85:6 confident 85:12 confined 46:5

confines 43:18 63:18 76:4 93:3 conflicts 94:4 confrontation 106:12 confronted 13:15 confusing 40:7 **conical** 65:21 conjunction 121:11 connect 62:11 63:9, 15 connected 14:15 26:6, 14 52:6 **connecting** 64:8 85:9 connection 106:16 107:11 connects 74:7 98:2 conservative 77:4 100:6 consider 16:2 22:4 23:22 25:10 33:20 39:9 42:15 44:2 59:21 65:2, 17 75:4 78:5 79:4 86:18 91:13, 15 103:21 106:25 117:7 128:11 considerably 52:1 consideration 89:6 considered 17:15 23:23 32:21 54:5 55:11 79:25 86:15 113:8 123:4 considering 33:22 consistent 44:25 92:24 102:4 console 34:19 128:9 consolidated 116:21 conspicuous 55:12 **construction** 39:14, 17 consultant 4:25 20:16, 18 21:18 consultation 22:9 23:7 consulted 28:13 contact 9:8 10:8, 11, 14, 19 54:19 **contacted** 9:9 10:6 contain 27:4 contained 10:23 40:1 50.6 context 27:12 38:13 40:19 107:21 140:15 **continuation** 31:6 83:15 87:5 continue 26:12 62:13 63:10 67:22 68:21 69:15 71:8 77:12 78:17 82:15, 17, 18 84:7 85:19 119:5 continued 81:13 101:24 continues 63:2 69:6, 9, 13 77:11 78:18 99:6 102:24 continuing 112:6 continuous 68:4 contract 146:21 contractor 21:3 contracts 14:1

PH: 216.241.3918

control 129:2 controlled 46:2 **convenience** 38:1 39:18 106:13 107:10, 17 110:12 Cool 32:23, 24 copy 6:15 8:5 10:24 11:9, 15, 19, 22 16:18 116:14 corner 62:24 correct 4:19 5:23 14:13 21:10 26:22 27:6 29:12 30:6, 7 32:6, 7 33:16 34:8 35:22 37:2, 12 38:12 41:13 42:2 44:10, 11, 14, 15 45:15, 16 46:11, 21, 22 49:22 50:16, 21 52:9, 22 53:14 54:2, 12, 20 56:2 58:25 59:5 60:1 62:23 64:15 66:15 68:15 70:11, 12, 16 72:20 75:22 76:9 80:6, 17 81:2 82:25 86:25 87:9 88:25 90:21 92:23 93:11 97:18 98:24 100:17 102:1, 4 104:1 105:17 106:2, 7, 8 107:22 108:2, 3 111:17 115:7, 12, *16*, *21* 117:*13*, *18*, *20*, *22* 118:4, 8 119:16, 17 120:2, 12, 21, 22 121:2, 3, 23 122:2, 17 123:19, 20 124:14 126:17, 25 127:1, 21 128:1 130:7, 16, 24 131:6 132:*19*, *24* 133:*1* 134:13, 14 137:11 138:8, 14 142:17 143:13 144:14 146:10 **Correction** 145:3, 4, 18 correctly 140:23 correspondence 9:24 10:2 counsel 4:8 6:2, 8 7:22 9:8, 24 10:6 11:16 18:17 144:4, 10 couple 11:11, 24 12:7 16:20 22:25 26:20 44:20 51:23 105:20 course 20:22 21:13 35:15 37:20 41:2 44:1, 18 45:25 59:19 60:19 61:15, 18 63:3 79:9 85:24 88:16 92:11 96:12 103:16 104:22 106:11 107:12 109:21 119:7 120:7 125:18 COURT 1:1 12:11, 24 20:23 35:17 145:20 146:20 covered 76:21 115:25 covers 49:8 cracking 52:2

crash 81:20 123:20 127:6 crashed 81:13 126:1 crashes 53:12, 22 109:3 **crashing** 51:21 78:19 crayon 79:23 create 25:11 26:16 29:14 30:2 35:21 52:4 92:13, 14 created 29:9 35:20 50:3 59:18 91:16 creates 51:5 creating 35:3 69:2 credibility 107:4, 5 **credit** 137:1 crediting 108:22 crime 22:21 50:24 61:4 114:22 134:24 criticism 120:7 criticisms 135:12 cross 74:17 119:22 crossed 56:23 100:22 CRR 1:14 147:6 crush 127:16 cues 57:4 **cup** 76:12 **current** 11:10, 13, 19 Curriculum 3:5 cut 35:10 CV 4:23 6:5 7:1 11:2, 9, 13, 17, 22 14:5, 8 15:5 16:4 cycle 42:11 cvlinder 83:10 **cylindrical** 83:*12*, *20* 

< D > damage 59:15, 19 83:5 85:11 89:2 **damaged** 57:13 **Dan** 1:6 dash 34:16 dashboard 43:4 data 8:12, 15, 19, 23 9:1, 3, 6, 17, 18 10:22 11:1 14:15 15:23 17:16, 19 20:4, 8 25:1 31:12 32:16 34:5, 20 35:24 36:9 41:19, 21 45:2 46:8 49:16 58:18 60:9, 19, 20 62:20 63:14, 25 66:23 68:2 70:22 77:10, 14, 24 78:6 80:6 81:11, 12, 19 89:5 92:8 94:14, 25 95:3 104:2 105:13 106:3 112:2 122:2*1*, 25 123:1, 10 124:19, 25 125:3, 4 126:14 133:6, 15 135:1, *11* 141:*4* 

**DATE** 1:12 15:7, 11 27:23 145:2, 7 dated 116:12 **Daubert** 143:22, 24 **David** 82:19 85:1 day 5:3 38:15, 21 39:16 65:4 147:3 daylight 38:23 daytime 38:22, 23 **dead** 72:5 death 13:17 decelerating 65:12 79:8 deceleration 66:5 68:7 **December** 1:12 145:2 147:3, 8 **decide** 133:23 decided 61:22 decision 119:8 deduce 115:22 deep 39:7 **defect** 29:16 **Defendant** 1:7 2:8 defendants 13:2 deferred 50:8 define 103:19, 20 **defined** 60:8 146:21 defining 20:7 **definitely** 51:11 95:8 deformation 66:5 **degraded** 50:2, 3 degree 26:22 degrees 66:11 delay 104:11 **deliver** 63:11 71:6 72:8 78:7, 12 81:23 88:13 104:10 105:4 114:16 **delivered** 19:25 54:8 58:22 63:24 69:4, 8 71:12 81:22 87:18 92:10, *13* 100:*11* 101:*11* 104:25 107:23 108:20 110:21 119:9 132:22 delivers 68:6 88:3 **delivery** 79:9 125:19 **demonstrate** 122:3, 17 123:2, 3 125:3 demonstrative 121:11 demonstratives 36:11 Department 13:20 **depending** 26:7 127:7 depends 91:12 depicted 103:9 **deposed** 4:4 14:17 20:24 **deposited** 131:17, 19 **DEPOSITION** 1:9 3:4 4:16, 19 6:3, 8, 25 7:16 15:5 16:4 48:5 116:12 143:14 144:16 145:2 146:12

depositions 4:21, 24 11:24 12:3, 7 131:15 deposits 126:18 132:6 **derived** 35:23 describe 35:15 40:12 65:21 102:22 106:19 **described** 14:3, 13 20:12 47:22 57:18 71:15 80:1 106:11 109:21 112:23 117:3 118:17 121:8 132:8, 12 138:14 **describes** 17:3 78:6 109:22 **describing** 10:16 59:10 95:4 **Description** 3:3 90:16 133:11 136:5 descriptions 35:7 80:19 107:1 121:12 132:2, 7 descriptive 114:10 descriptors 106:18 design 51:24 **designed** 24:17 25:15 **DESMOND** 1:3 29:11 46:7, 8 49:16 62:2 63:25 66:25 68:13 69:12 71:25 78:1 81:4 92:22 114:1, 2 115:6, 15 118:3, 7 119:14 125:14 130:2 132:18 135:15 137:25 **Desmond's** 33:1, 2, 25 46:10 49:17 61:25 63:13 64:12 70:14 72:19 77:15, 16 80:25 81:1, 6, 13, 16 82:3 101:24 102:7 120:20 121:1, 22 122:1 130:14 131:1 137:4 139:17 destabilize 83:9 destabilized 57:20 83:8, 18 85:2 destroyed 30:15, 16 detail 17:9 details 60:8 determination 78:4 **determine** 60:17 61:8 68:*1* 110:*4* 121:*23* 122:24 139:14 **determined** 115:1 133:5 **determining** 63:23 98:11 deviate 66:7 deviation 65:16 **Devin** 73:20 75:5 115:9 132:18 diagnostic 75:11, 17 76:1, diagonal 68:10 69:5, 7 77:12 82:14, 16 84:6, 7 85:23 86:6 90:22 104:6, 7

PH: 216.241.3918

diagonally 83:7, 17 84:20 101:3 diagrams 8:15 35:19 60:23 98:3, 5 121:9 dictate 63:19 dictates 67:10 differ 135:7 difference 56:11 110:3, 4 different 12:24, 25 26:3 36:14 37:9 38:21 51:24 77:21 93:20 94:21 104:15, 16 122:16 142:11 differentiate 79:14 difficult 43:2 85:10 131:18 digest 41:7 digging 13:25 dimension 37:25 dimensional 29:6 125:17 dimensions 25:12 29:4, 19 31:17 33:4, 11 34:1 35:1 36:8 37:17 diminish 133:21 direct 49:25 50:12 55:8 direction 44:4 45:9 55:15 109:25 119:1 120:6 121:16 122:10 disadvantage 134:7 disagreeing 134:11 disappear 10:18 discharge 19:11, 13 23:2 47:14, 15 52:6 114:25 136:18 137:22 138:4, 7 139:7 **discharged** 41:1, 4 43:18 141:14 discharging 104:21 140:4 disconnect 47:25 135:14 136:1, 6, 10, 12 140:11 discovered 112:24 125:15 126:25 discovery 7:25 18:11 94:19 discrediting 108:22 discrimination 66:13 discuss 16:5 35:11 105:8, 20 discussed 55:19 70:23 76:20 89:20 99:1 101:22 105:10 106:10 107:25 116:4 118:6 144:2 discussing 80:21 82:23 87:7 89:12 discussion 116:1 disengaging 40:6 dislodged 54:14 displaced 129:25 dispute 42:1, 2 118:14, 15 disputes 46:13, 23

dissipates 53:16 dissipation 55:4 distance 78:9, 22 103:13 104:22 distances 38:1 distracting 34:14 **DISTRICT** 1:1 disturbed 75:25 **DIVISION** 1:2 document 14:9 29:14 34:14 36:11 144:5 documentation 37:4 58:19 60:2 78:11 84:2, 3 93:18 100:24 102:20 documentations 40:17 **documented** 29:1, 13 30:24 47:3 59:19 62:6 70:19 81:9 91:9 92:5 93:14, 19 documenting 23:19 documents 7:20, 21 doing 18:9 20:1 31:5 94:25 113:20 138:2, 6 door 31:11 34:19 36:7 44:20 61:9 67:2, 3, 21 77:8 82:14, 17 87:4 91:8 doors 68:11 **dot** 49:3, 4, 5, 9 **dotted** 84:14 downward 47:10, 11 49:2, 7 83:7 138:20 dramatically 78:8 draw 41:14, 15 65:20 129:16 drawing 120:9 drawings 121:5 drawn 80:4 drew 108:13 114:8, 14 drip 26:10, 12 driver's 33:14 41:4 44:9, 16 45:8 46:11 62:11, 18 63:3, 18 64:1, 4, 8 67:23 68:22, 23 71:7 80:23 81:7 82:15, 18, 20 87:3, 4, 12 115:16 127:3 driving 29:15 42:5 43:22 44:9 108:11 120:15 drop 75:4 **Dropbox** 9:18 drop-downs 31:19 droplets 26:7 drops 51:19 drug 13:5 dual 40:3 ducking 76:8 duly 4:3 146:4, 6 dust 53:17 dust-like 52:14

Dvlan 2:8 dvnamic 60:4 95:7 < E > earlier 5:21 42:7 99:1 104:18 105:14, 16 106:3, 10 119:2 124:24 136:2 138:16 143:3, 7 early 7:21 easier 116:24 easily 20:20 East 2:8 60:14 145:21 EASTERN 1:2 easy 61:8 edge 73:9 77:8 88:10, 12, 13 **edited** 95:20 education 17:5 **Edward** 82:19 effective 137:18 **effort** 33:16 efforts 26:5 either 18:4 43:17 44:13 48:9, 25 49:12 52:21 53:1 67:4, 16 69:1 71:16 74:6 75:2 76:8 81:3, 4 112:24 133:17 143:18 eject 44:21 45:7, 10, 11 ejected 42:5, 16, 23 44:24 81:24 ejecting 43:1 ejection 42:13, 18, 24 43:2, 7, 10, 24 44:7 45:5 ejects 42:13 electronic 9:15 electronically 9:13 element 41:11 140:6 elements 19:18, 19 23:15, 24 40:13 103:19 112:10 113:15, 22 **Elena** 10:6 elevation 87:8 eliminate 25:3 74:18 95:25 113:*1* 126:9 **Elizabeth** 2:4 4:8 else's 123:6 **e-mail** 10:15 **e-mails** 10:*1* emanating 132:9 embedded 24:16 25:8 57:23 74:22 embrace 119:11 employed 19:8 **employee** 146:16, 17 **enable** 126:2 enables 38:3 43:10 44:5 enclosed 145:3 encompass 78:20 encompasses 23:20

encounter 106:16 130:15 encountered 59:15 ended 82:6 102:7 125:5, 21 127:18 129:20 ends 64:25 energy 26:6 42:12 51:4 52:1, 5 55:4 65:15 72:25 **enforcement** 13:18, 25 21:2 36:19 60:3 engaging 48:17 enhance 97:9 enhanced 99:18 enhancing 98:11 enormous 52:12 **ensued** 13:16 enter 6:13, 24 entered 63:2, 13 64:12, 13 entire 18:1 25:21 34:9 42:10 51:3 73:1 127:24 entities 54:6 entitled 28:17 125:1 **entrance** 119:15 **entries** 90:19 entry 56:14, 16 57:6, 18, 25 65:18, 22 85:9 132:10, 11, 14 **environment** 23:20 31:9 32:2, 5, 8 37:25 38:4 39:1 46:2 54:7 59:13 76:4 108:10 136:19 equally 89:23 equation 25:9 error 66:7, 9 **Esq** 2:4, 5, 8 essential 59:21 essentially 8:22 9:10 58:1 67:24 68:19 69:9 74:14 85:21 95:18 establish 40:13 41:13 **established** 64:10 106:17 Estate 1:3 estimate 20:14 39:5 60:25 88:7 estimating 38:19 evaluate 16:1 17:7 23:25 25:21, 24 30:19, 24 31:2 33:*13* 59:8 83:2*1* 115:*3* 122:20 130:19 133:18, 20 135:5 142:16 evaluated 36:23 84:6 106:22 **evaluating** 17:13 26:2 30:12 44:3 60:11 83:4 107:3 108:16 **evaluation** 22:13 40:4 114:21 134:3 143:12 event 8:20 17:8, 14, 23 18:*1* 25:*3* 27:22, 25 28:*3* 35:14 37:20 41:8 42:15 50:25 56:7 57:10 61:24

PH: 216.241.3918

63:23 68:16 71:14 74:4, 9 75:17 76:2 92:11 93:15 95:10 103:22 104:7 109:5 117:9, 13, 14 131:21 133:22 134:16 140:17 143:4 144:12 events 17:12 22:25 26:15 35:7 40:5 119:4 129:16 eventually 7:25 evidence 8:17, 25 17:24 19:25 24:1 25:14, 23 26:21 38:10, 18 41:3 43:24 50:1, 11 55:8 58:12 64:3, 11 70:19 75:4 93:11, 14 94:1, 2 101:9 102:5 103:4, 17 106:22 107:7, 8, 9, 13 108:9, 25 110:16 111:1, 5, 16 112:1, 7, 10, 20, 22 113:19 115:20 117:8 120:18, 19 122:11 124:11, 20, 21, 22 125:7, 10 126:19, 22 128:4 135:1 141:24 142:25 exact 51:9 74:25 126:12 exactly 43:12 70:24 71:3, *19* 72:*4* 108:2 124:*16* 126:18 exam 40:9 41:5, 6 75:9 **Examination** 4:3, 5 21:21 25:2 28:18 30:17 40:6, 25 41:10 90:12 110:25 examination/results 39:23, 25 examinations 8:17 60:5 examine 57:4 84:1 examined 50:5 57:21 74:8 examiner 46:3 **examiners** 50:5 135:3 examining 24:13, 22 **example** 8:20 15:20 18:5 21:23 22:22 24:15 25:4 26:5, 11 33:13 34:18 35:23 36:2, 9 37:18, 20 40:8, 17, 23 54:17 61:8 72:2 81:20 84:1 99:23 128:10 130:12 exclude 125:24 127:23 128:3 excluded 143:22 exclusive 119:4 exercise 65:3 92:3 exercises 31:12 **Exhibit** 3:3 6:6, 7, 25 7:1, 2, 4, 16 11:3 14:8 15:5 16:12, 17 26:19 30:11 71:16 116:12 121:*11* 

**EXHIBITS** 3:2 6:2 7:5, 8 16:4 exist 24:25 exit 25:5 56:16 58:23 65:13 85:9 expand 24:18, 19 expanding 67:7 expands 67:9 expect 5:3 45:10 52:21 53:20 54:3 57:6 58:6 61:2 127:14 128:6, 13, 19 129:3 130:14 132:11 expectation 130:16 **expelled** 19:17, 21 **experience** 80:8 117:16 120:15 125:3, 12, 23 129:8 137:11 experienced 127:14 experiencing 5:17 experiments 104:15 Expert 3:6 7:25 12:13, 16 22:20 116:18 123:8 124:18 125:2 134:6, 17 143:21 **expertise** 21:20 22:1 23:7 26:24 97:22 98:17 111:11 117:12 118:11 120:20, 25 experts 116:16 117:24 118:15 121:18 131:23 expires 147:8 explain 17:9 56:18 60:9 72:22 73:25 83:2 87:11 89:16 114:7 133:8 135:16 140:12 explained 94:4 explaining 135:16 explanation 77:22 export 97:9 **expose** 65:5 127:10 exposed 49:3 51:8 56:8 64:19 126:11, 12, 15, 21, 23 128:14 **exposes** 74:20 exposures 98:12 express 16:25 18:12 41:9 115:24 117:1 120:25 121:6, 21, 25 122:15 143:18 expressing 26:25 extend 31:1 85:25 extended 42:22 extending 45:7 extent 23:4 54:25 59:9 144:5 exterior 33:1 34:9 43:19 external 47:8 85:19 138:15 139:20 extract 8:14

extracts 8:19 42:12 < F > **fabric** 74:22 **fabricate** 141:*19* face 64:15 65:18 111:25 **faced** 61:21 facing 64:5, 15, 22 65:5, 19 118:11 119:19, 21 121:13, 24 fact 43:21 44:8 64:11 92:12 109:9, 14 110:7 123:6 142:25 facts 27:17 106:25 107:20 135:1 factual 27:4 fair 5:8, 11 14:2 22:14 31:16 41:12 48:9 73:23 98:17 fairly 53:19 133:11 fall 43:4 54:3, 9, 10 73:7, 11 128:11 129:9 **fallacy** 119:13 **fallen** 54:17 falls 52:17 73:7, 9 familiar 138:22 Fantastic 7:15 far 34:18 37:18 45:14 52:9 55:7 58:12 63:18 72:7 79:3 82:21 130:14 Faro 32:20, 22 F-a-r-o 32:20, 22 farther 79:12 88:18 farthest 70:20 **fast** 78:14, 20 103:13 104:15 **faster** 104:5 fastest 78:6 fatal 68:18 69:12, 17 98:23 99:3 115:11 fatalities 13:18 feather 130:6 feature 97:12, 13 138:25 federal 12:11, 24 feel 5:13 47:22 107:6 110:18 125:9 139:22, 25 140:2, 8 **feet** 44:20 46:10, 18 75:14, 15 115:15 125:22 127:18 128:7 129:4, 5, 18, 21, 23 130:15 137:17 142:10 **fell** 55:14 73:5 91:17 129:17, 22 130:14 **felt** 117:24 135:2 fence 37:21 38:3 51:21 fewer 20:18 fields 23:12 fifth 46:3, 5 80:25

PH: 216.241.3918

figure 19:20 34:17 50:20, 23 55:17 62:23 69:17, 25 70:5, 7 73:4, 19 79:16 84:11 88:20 95:15 98:4 99:14 103:2, 6, 9, 11 104:9 121:4 122:22 123:3 figures 70:9 figuring 20:7 file 8:6, 10, 13 9:11, 12, 15, 22, 25 10:24 11:1 35:16 96:4, 16 97:20 files 9:5, 19 **fill** 34:10 91:20 **fills** 98:1 final 56:13 59:12 115:4 **finally** 125:15 financially 146:19 find 8:25 17:15, 18, 19 19:22 29:19 31:9 37:21 40:4 46:9, 20, 24 59:3 93:25 94:4 98:22 99:6 121:10 124:21, 25 125:4 **finding** 41:25 72:22 73:20, 25 100:12 107:25 134:12 **findings** 41:20 56:19 62:20 73:25 105:9, 14, 16 106:*1* fine 5:16 6:17, 18 76:14 116:9 133:19 **finger** 48:11 fire 19:15 47:13 48:12 49:3, 4, 5, 14 110:2 112:4 136:8, 20 139:8, 9, 10 firearm 13:11, 15, 16 20:2 23:2 26:15 46:24 52:6 65:7 99:8, 12, 19, 21 108:5, 6, 12, 13, 16, 17 109:1 110:7 111:10 112:23 113:16 114:18 124:14 126:4 129:12 130:19 133:3 137:22 firearms 19:5 20:1, 21 21:21 **fired** 24:2 40:21, 23 42:1, 4, 23 46:1 50:4 51:1 52:11, 22 58:16 59:3 70:13 72:1 88:21, 22 89:14, 16 92:21 93:1 108:13 114:6 138:11 **firing** 110:1, 3 112:7 136:11 **firm** 146:20 first 4:3, 18 5:1 8:2, 5 10:11, 14 13:22 14:2 16:17, 19 26:20 37:4, 8 41:23, 24 46:8, 16 50:17 52:21 53:1 57:21 58:15, 17, 22 60:2 63:23, 24

67:11 68:1, 16, 17 69:11, 16 71:14 72:9, 10 74:5 76:19 77:1, 7 83:10 90:9 98:22 99:3 100:20 101:20 106:5, 21 112:2 115:6 119:8 132:5 133:4 135:23 146:6 fit 68:6 78:19 91:4 92:16 93:18 94:1 99:23 fits 41:2 77:3, 13 83:14 85:1 90:8, 9, 25 91:6 92:17 99:1 100:9 110:16 **fitting** 115:5 five 36:20 40:23 41:5 42:1, 4 43:8, 11 58:2 69:8 71:20 77:17, 21 79:9, 22 81:7 89:9, 18 92:20 104:10, 25 105:4 five-minute 76:12 fixed 61:17, 20 63:16 flash 100:4 **flat** 60:14 flattened 90:9 **flawed** 140:5 flew 43:20 **flip** 105:5 136:25 **flips** 139:21 **float** 53:17 Floor 2:8 34:13 43:5 130:*1* flops 48:1 flow 39:10 fly 56:3 73:15 flving 53:20 focused 52:1 folder 9:6, 16 **follow** 18:9 35:8 144:1, 4, 7 **following** 100:19 132:6 follows 4:4 18:10 **footwell** 127:*3* force 134:6 Ford 2:8 28:1, 3, 25 29:12, 18, 21, 22, 23 30:2, 23 31:7 34:3 36:2, 6 44:25 46:11 56:24 67:13 68:4, 5, 7, 9 69:3, 9 78:18 80:22 99:6, 9 100:20, 25 101:1, 4 102:14, 17, 21 103:2, 10 104:5 108:17 109:2, 8, 10, 13 133:5 foregoing 146:10, 13 forehead 119:24 forensic 17:4 117:12 forensically 51:7 **forgotten** 31:21 form 17:14 26:10 37:14 79:24 114:22 format 96:15, 16 97:20

formatting 116:23 **forming** 86:8, 18 **forms** 18:7 **forth** 103:18 forward 10:18 31:8 46:7 52:15 60:23 63:17, 18 64:13, 20, 21, 22, 23 65:5 67:19, 21 68:5, 9 69:10, 13, 15 70:6, 20 71:10, 22 73:18 74:12, 16 76:8 77:8 80:12 81:17 82:3 83:17 86:5, 25 89:4 96:25 99:8 100:21 101:3, 25 102:23 110:21 112:15 118:3 119:3, 6, 7, 10, 13, 21 120:13 121:13 128:24 129:3, 13, 14 found 28:24 31:20 38:2 40:23 43:12 45:18 46:3, 4, 5 91:1 94:5 95:4, 8, 9 115:15 116:24 fountain 132:8 four 6:2 23:21 36:20 39:11, 12 45:18, 25 46:4 71:20 77:17, 20 78:13 81:7 89:9, 10 104:19 130:9 fourth 6:6 80:24 107:24 **fractures** 73:2, *3* fragmentation 54:12 fragments 52:14 73:13 **frame** 12:6 49:1 54:5 61:10 67:2 82:13 96:25 97:13 98:1, 13 99:16, 24 104:25 123:22 frames 96:23 99:16 100:8 **FRANKLIN** 1:*3* 31:*4* 46:8, 10 49:16 56:18, 24 58:9 61:16, 19 62:17 63:4, 5 68:23 69:4 71:9 109:22 111:6 112:17 115:6 118:3, 7 119:*15* 127:7 132:10 135:24 136:4, 5, 6, 24 Franklin's 29:11, 22 56:15 66:25 68:14 69:12 70:20 71:25 72:4, 6, 13 73:12 74:8 78:1 93:4 103:2 114:24 115:15 125:14, 21 127:12 135:15 142:10 french 128:11 frequently 36:18 98:11 **FRIED** 1:*3* FRIEDMAN 2:3 fries 128:11 frivolous 133:25 **front** 7:17 16:18 53:7

72:2, 3, 6, 12, 15, 16 77:17, 23 84:19, 23 85:11 86:2 89:7 90:22 91:2 95:25 101:2 102:8 116:14 127:16 128:23 129:17, 18 137:18 fronts 68:19 71:4 full 60:7 fully 72:19 80:14 102:2 136:10 function 19:6 90:11 functions 19:7 48:25 further 5:14 20:8 25:7 30:17 40:14 44:15 60:22 84:8 146:12, 15 future 36:12

**future** 36:12 <G> **gained** 36:20 GALLAGHER 2:8 game 36:16 garage 46:2, 5 GARCIA 1:6 29:23 30:2 31:4 33:11, 12, 18 41:19, 21, 25 42:11 44:8 62:14 63:11, 20 65:23 67:14, 19 68:5, 7 69:1, 4 71:6, 22 72:5 78:18 81:4 86:9 93:6 98:23 99:7 101:17, *19*, 22 103:5, 22 106:*1*2 107:9, 14 108:4, 8, 10, 11, 23 109:13, 24 110:10, 18, 24 111:4, 8, 13, 22 112:16, 22 113:21 114:2, 6 115:2, 7 118:4 119:*1* 122:24 123:5, 12, 15, 18, 23 132:22 134:4 140:16 141:10, 21 142:9, 14, 21 Garcia's 18:6 29:20 30:1, 20 31:6 33:5 40:24 52:8 56:21 66:24 68:4, 14 69:10 71:24 72:3, 12, *19* 80:23 81:1, 8, 20 82:24 83:13 85:15 87:12 92:2*1* 100:2*1* 101:*1* 102:7, 8, 18 103:1 110:7 111:18, 24, 25 112:8, 11 119:19 124:8 134:7, 15 142:19 143:5, 12, 14 gate 81:14 general 15:24 22:21 23:21, 22 64:9 88:9 89:15 generally 18:10 24:8 25:24 34:23 55:2 64:22 65:5 77:18 82:18 83:17 87:3, 18 89:23 98:23 102:10 103:9 108:1

112:5 119:20 120:2

PH: 216.241.3918

142:8 **generate** 18:8 79:3 **generated** 37:3 55:20 121:7 122:3 **generic** 31:18 generically 32:20 94:13 **GERHARDSTEIN** 2:3 getting 50:15 58:5 75:21 77:2 80:15 88:24 90:19 96:6 118:22 144:4 **GILBERT** 2:3, 5 **gist** 51:13 give 8:9 103:12, 14 116:5 129:*13* given 4:21 79:13 90:2 104:22 137:1 146:8, 11 gives 29:5 37:17, 24 43:20 84:4 giving 83:18 **glad** 11:18 glass 24:16 49:17, 20, 23, 24 50:6, 11, 14, 22 51:2, 3, 6, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18, 19, 23, 24 52:2, 5, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 20 53:1, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 22, 24 54:9, 12, 17, 21 55:1, 4, 12, 14, 18, 19, 22, 23 56:3, 6, 9, 10, 22 57:5, 9, 14, 23, 25 58:6, 10 61:15, 16 62:13, 21, 25 63:20 64:14 65:4, 9, 22 67:5, 6, 8, 14 68:18, 21 69:19 70:2, 3, 5, 7 71:18 72:24, 25 73:3, 5, 6, 10, 13, 14, 15 74:2, 7, 9, 13, 17, 21, 25 75:3 76:6 77:5 88:2, 12 91:16, 17 126:5, 8, 11, 13, 15, 24 127:2, 20, 22, 25 131:5, 7, 13, 16 Glock 19:7 40:10 45:6 93:2 Glocks 92:24 **glove** 128:8 **go** 10:17 12:1 25:5, 7, 18 30:19 31:14 56:3 59:20 60:22 64:24 67:4 76:23 77:16 82:21 87:23 98:8 108:11 112:20 116:6 124:12 127:16 goes 19:10, 15 24:15 39:24 63:2 64:17 67:5 68:5, 8 73:2 89:6 91:25 99:22 133:14 going 5:3 6:24, 25 7:1, 2, 3, 10, 24 16:3, 13 17:16, 22 18:2 19:*13* 20:20, 23 27:9 29:25 34:22 35:16 42:21 44:4, 5 48:6 52:4, 18 53:13 56:12, 25 65:9, 19, 21 66:2, 3 67:6 68:14

78:18 84:19, 20 87:2 92:4 105:5 137:9 142:6 144:8 **Good** 4:7 **government** 12:17, 20 grab 123:16, 22 grabbed 35:1 gravity 54:7 129:9, 10, 22, 25 Great 6:23 7:12 14:22 16:19 76:15 96:11 greater 69:2 grip 131:12, 13 ground 34:13 127:11 **group** 72:10 groups 104:16 guess 73:18 74:3 110:22 140:23 141:4, 6, 14 guessed 112:24 113:2 guessing 109:6 140:23 142:1 143:10 gun 19:2, 11, 14 25:20 40:8, 17, 25 41:4 42:21, 25 43:10, 13, 18 44:4, 6, 12 45:2, 11, 13 46:14, 17 47:1, 2, 13, 14 48:10, 12, 24, 25 49:6, 7, 11, 21 50:1, 4, 6, 17, 18 51:6, 8, 11 52:8 55:15, 19, 21, 24, 25 56:4, 8, 22 59:11 64:16, 19 65:19 66:20 67:19 85:15, 20 86:1, 3, 11, 22 87:20, 21, 23, 25 89:3 92:2, 21 93:1, 4, 5 100:1 109:4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 12, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 25 110:10, 11, 24 111:1, 2, 4, 6, 13 112:2, 3, 4, 13, 17, 25 113:2, 12 114:2, 11, 12, 13, 19, 20, 23, 24 115:14, 19, 21 118:4, 11 119:1, 12, 19 120:*13* 121:24 122:*11* 123:7 124:23 125:5, 14, *16*, *25* 126:8, *10*, *15*, *18*, *20*, 21, 23 127:5, 8, 9, 13, 19, 22, 24 128:3, 6, 12, 18, 22 129:9, 17, 20, 21, 22 130:2, 8, 10 131:10, 13 132:13, 22 135:14, 17, 18, 21, 22 136:7, 11, 15, 18, 20, 21, 25 137:5, 9, 12, 15, 16 138:1, 5, 7, 11, 12, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23 139:1, 4, 7, 9, 10, 13, 16, 19, 20, 24 140:3, 9, 16, 18, 21, 22, 24 141:2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 15, 18, 22, 25 142:1, 9, 12, 13, 22, 25 143:2, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11 gunpowder 24:21

68:25 69:1, 3 70:14, 16

Guns 18:24 19:3, 8, 10 139:8 gun's 126:20 139:17 gunshot 26:9 56:14 65:6 74:6, 8 101:11 129:15 137:13 gunshots 68:6 101:10 103:15 107:23 108:19 110:14, 15 112:18 128:18 129:14 132:23 Guys 76:11 106:19 107:18 116:5

<H> Haag 15:21 16:2 H-a-a-g 15:21 **hammer** 47:13 hand 67:7 84:24 86:12 114:16 147:1 handed 109:24 **handgun** 52:12 handle 39:13 89:4 hands 120:20 129:15 **handwritten** 8:14, 22 9:15, 19 hang 86:3 87:19, 21 happened 30:13 71:1 72:9 106:6 happening 52:19 112:18 happens 123:24 happy 5:15 hard 16:18 35:8 57:13 61:22 91:4 116:14 **head** 52:3 56:24 58:9 63:4, 20 64:6, 12, 17, 19, 22, 25 65:2, 5, 6, 10, 14, 15, 18, 23, 24 66:4, 8, 15 67:15 71:9 89:20 90:4 118:17 119:10, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23 120:2, 24 121:1, 13, 22, 24 122:15 heading 40:3, 11 headlights 70:17 heard 113:*14* heavily 103:17 heavy 39:9 130:5, 15 height 33:8 held 47:18, 19 130:2 help 25:24 90:12 **helped** 103:18 helps 58:21 hereinafter 4:3 hereunto 147:1 hey 138:19 140:3 hidden 51:12 52:16 128:17 high 26:6 51:4 52:11 55:4 72:25 higher 29:24 52:5 88:17

highway 38:2 39:18, 20 111:9 hip 86:14 hired 9:25 10:3 12:13, 16, 20 13:20 116:20 history 24:15, 23 hit 24:3 25:5, 13 52:3, 9 67:1, 16, 17 68:21 72:8 79:12 83:9 88:1 89:3 90:14, 15 92:21 118:18 137:17 hits 57:15, 16 73:2 89:24 hitting 57:13 82:13, 20 holding 47:17, 21 48:10 86:11 122:11 hole 57:17 63:10 64:9 67:8 83:14 holes 25:11 36:5 59:17, 24 78:5 hollow 24:17 **holster** 86:13 123:8 133:12, 13, 18, 19 134:2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 15, 24 holster's 134:15 Honda 30:3 31:2, 14, 17, 21, 25 33:7, 8, 10 69:10 80:23 87:3, 4 99:7 100:21 101:1, 5, 16 102:15, 21 103:11 104:5 Honda's 72:3 **Hood** 14:10, 12 70:17 hope 136:19 141:15 **hopefully** 140:23 horizontal 35:8 60:8, 13, 15, 17, 20 61:12, 18 horizontal/vertical 61:1, 3 horrible 39:16 **hot** 49:4 hour 39:10 **hours** 5:4 huge 39:15 human 44:19 57:16 82:10 122:6 humps 129:1 **hundreds** 21:14 hypothesis 17:15, 19, 20 93:20 110:23 117:7 120:12, 17 142:22 hypothetical 137:3, 9, 10 < I >

<I>idea 37:24 ideas 93:21 identification 7:6 identified 53:18 79:17 95:9 98:3 identifier 96:5 identifies 27:9 125:6

PH: 216.241.3918

identify 37:7 58:21 79:17 93:1 96:4 131:25 132:21 133:6 identifying 144:3 image 54:3 103:8 123:11 images 85:10 99:18 121:19, 21, 25 immediately 71:18 impact 24:14, 18 51:25 62:5, 9, 10 70:20 73:1, 7 77:13 79:18 83:5 84:22 91:10 100:5 impacted 29:25 56:22 59:18 77:7 impacting 100:5 impacts 29:1, 18 57:2, 3 77:10 81:9 83:11 85:2 90:25 implication 118:2 implications 134:1 **implied** 133:12 implies 135:24 140:22 **imply** 141:*1* important 29:20 34:24 41:11, 12 63:13 134:1 impossible 72:17 **impression** 38:7 97:19 inadvertent 139:5 inappropriate 134:25 in-car 123:1 inches 71:10 88:7 incident 15:20 17:11 18:9, 19 19:1, 2 22:5, 7, 15, 16 23:15 143:7 incidents 13:1 include 27:7, 13 35:5, 6 71:2 79:5 106:14 108:14, 18 110:17 120:14 included 8:9 51:14 84:3 111:7 112:11 122:22 123:11 132:4 133:10 140:25 includes 81:12 including 40:7, 16 86:6 100:24 108:7 110:6 inconclusive 17:20 incorporate 90:16 **incorporated** 31:16, 17 70:1 incorporating 70:7 increase 81:9 increased 71:20 independent 20:16 104:2 105:25 106:14 140:2 independently 78:21 111:16 130:18 indicate 64:18 100:19 101:6 105:1 114:19 127:9

**indicated** 56:7 77:1 80:21 89:1, 8 120:24 indicates 64:23 86:14 90:5, 6, 21 121:15 indicating 45:18 67:7 indicia 140:8 individual 42:12 Inertia 129:10 inference 118:20 inform 26:8, 17 62:7 91:18 105:11 132:24 **information** 8:14 18:6 20:10 26:4, 18 27:8, 21 28:5, 16 37:6 41:15 60:21 95:3 96:6 143:4 informs 24:22 120:16 initial 10:8, 19 16:22 27:22, 25 45:23, 25 46:3 110:15 127:1 131:22 140:15 initiate 108:19 137:12 **injured** 26:11 **insert** 84:*3* inside 32:6 33:10, 14 34:1, 5 40:24 43:6, 9, 12, 15, 17, 20 44:13 45:18 52:16 53:2, 9, 13 54:13 130:20 136:7 142:23 inspect 135:20 138:17, 21 inspection 30:5, 9 140:20 instance 13:22 instances 12:19 60:17 instant 66:20 119:6 133:4 instrument 32:16, 18 insufficient 126:14 insurance 10:7 13:24 **intend** 136:16 intending 136:8, 15, 16 interaction 68:13 107:16 intercept 56:8 126:4 **intercepted** 43:3 75:15 interested 29:25 134:19 135:3 146:19 interfere 115:10 interim 132:13, 17 interior 33:2, 5, 6 34:3, 10, 12, 14 42:5 56:23 91:14 119:22 interpret 131:18 142:10 interpretation 24:3, 4 27:14 36:15 93:15 118:23 133:14, 15 134:22 141:11, 21 142:3, 4, 8, 19 143:*1* interpretations 141:4 142:16 interpreted 141:5 interrupt 22:11 interrupted 65:9

**highlighting** 95:21, 22

**intersection** 94:17, 18 100:22 101:12 **intervening** 56:17 57:9 interview 18:6 interviews 18:4 introduce 105:18 116:3 introduced 81:6 investigative 24:19 110:23 investigator 45:25 investigators 85:5 134:19 involve 18:23 19:13 23:1 27:9 involved 12:25 13:9 14:19 19:3, 11 22:2, 24 27:10, 11 109:5 143:23 irregular 56:16 57:11, 17, 24 65:11 75:24 83:6, 19 isolate 98:3 isolated 99:13, 16 issue 28:20 118:20 133:23 italicized 46:8 118:24 italics 41:19 item 89:16 90:17 items 33:14 its 19:15 50:1 57:11 65:9, 14 70:10 77:9 90:8 125:14, 19 129:10

<J>
J.R 2:8 6:19
jacket 74:8, 21 75:10
76:4, 7 122:24 123:3, 7,
13, 16, 24, 25 124:8
jam 39:15
JOSE 1:6
jotted 96:7
Judge 1:6
July 11:7 12:1 15:10
juxtaposed 78:10

< K > keep 8:6, 22 9:12, 21, 24 10:1 27:13 35:15 73:9 keeps 52:18 kept 114:14 key 8:19 18:25 22:8 117:24 killed 13:12 58:9 115:6 kind 17:24 19:10 20:6, 11 27:14 32:18 36:16, 17 39:4, 10 42:25 52:4, 16 70:3 71:12 79:1, 3 94:13 97:6 98:13 101:13 103:6 kinds 8:18 31:19 35:18 129:16 134:3 King 14:18, 19 knees 74:12 knew 109:9 132:8

142:12 143:1 knocked 130:14 **know** 4:18 5:2, 4, 21 6:21 7:23, 25 10:12 11:20, 23, 24 16:8 19:7, 10 22:12 24:25 26:15 29:22 30:15 31:7 34:15, 22 36:6 39:6, 25 41:11, 14 44:18 48:10, 12, 24, 25 49:6 50:25 51:14 54:16 55:10, 19 58:14 59:20 60:19 61:13, 15 62:18 63:1, 7 64:4 66:7 69:3, 14 71:4 73:16 75:14 78:2, 14 79:6, 8 81:20 82:12 86:8, 9, 10, 11, 13, *16*, *25* 88:7 91:22 92:*3* 94:18, 20 95:13, 25 98:7 103:13 104:4 109:20, 24 111:15 112:16 113:9, 19 114:14, 15 115:2 119:17, 18 122:13 123:12, 17 126:17 127:9 128:16 130:13 134:6, 20, 21 135:17, 21, 22 136:2, 9, 14 138:3, 18 139:10, 13, 19 140:21 141:5, 9, 10, 18 142:13 143:2 knowing 99:21 120:15 124:20, 21 knowledge 15:24 53:5 104:17

< L > **lab** 22:10 label 82:9 89:13 **labeled** 98:24 labeling 88:23 **laboratory** 22:11, 17 23:11 lack 133:12 Lakeside 2:8 laminated 51:24 land 53:9 54:15 55:5 144:9 landed 24:5 51:10 53:22, 23 54:22 129:5 **landmark** 41:11 landmarks 17:25 20:3, 7 37:22 40:13 41:14 62:3 71:13 93:13 94:5 103:12 lands 64:25 lane 39:11, 12 large 53:18

PH: 216.241.3918

known 19:25 27:15 93:5

135:24 136:4, 12 143:9

135:18 136:21 140:22

knows 61:6 109:5

141:8

laser 29:3 32:21 **Lauren** 1:14 146:3 147:6 **LAW** 2:8 13:18, 20, 24 21:2 36:19 60:3 lavperson 98:16 leads 24:18 lean 55:3 63:17 leaning 63:5, 17 74:12, 16, 19 112:15 learn 139:7 leave 57:16 133:25 leaves 57:17 59:11 64:7, 8 92:1 **left** 46:21 47:10 56:21 84:24 86:12 94:17 116:8 131:*11* left/right 60:13 left-handed 86:9 length 31:22 32:3 33:8 44:18, 19 lengthy 133:11 lesser 21:24 letter 9:8 letting 22:3 level 66:13 67:24 71:4 87:18, 22, 25 88:11 lever 46:20 47:8, 9, 10 49:1, 5, 8, 12, 13 135:20, 22 138:16 139:14, 21, 22 140:7, 10 levers 129:2 138:15 light 39:8 100:3 **limit** 44:17 62:12, 17 63:20 67:3 103:14 limitation 43:21 **Limited** 36:7 72:7 78:9 93:5 133:17 limits 78:9 81:21 85:23 131:25 132:*1* Line 3:3 31:3 65:1, 17, 20 66:8 73:21 82:14 84:14 86:3, 23 87:5 113:17 115:12 linear 65:20 lines 10:19 24:21 141:17 **liquid** 132:9 list 15:7 28:14, 15 listed 11:21 15:12 28:9 **listing** 15:10 lists 15:6 105:6 literature 104:14, 18 little 6:13 17:9 29:24 39:3 48:4 49:3, 4 52:13 64:17, 18, 25 65:2 68:13, 24, 25 72:16 76:24 78:19 120:23 LLP 2:8 **loaded** 19:5 40:8, 9 130:8 136:7 137:*15* 

138:10 140:4 localize 44:6 localized 63:9 **located** 26:8 29:1 45:25 62:15 location 38:9 42:19 54:25 63:12 75:17 77:9 88:21 103:15 124:14 128:3 lock 62:10 locked 47:14 locks 47:12 **long** 13:5 17:6 42:22 78:2 longer 67:14, 15 look 7:15 14:7, 14, 20 17:18 19:3 24:1 28:12 37:9 38:10 48:21 52:11 55:12 56:25 59:14 74:13 77:15 83:11 84:18 95:2, 3 96:4 97:1 99:13, 14 117:17 119:5 122:21 134:17 142:24 looked 29:9 38:11, 16 49:21 75:12 94:1, 2 looking 8:3 15:23 16:19, 22 18:25 19:18 23:18 25:16 26:19 27:2 28:17 33:12 57:4 59:2 60:6 64:13 73:19 76:17 77:14, 25 78:4, 21 82:22 83:13 84:11 88:19 89:11 94:19, 25 96:14 98:19 99:5 111:8 112:14, 15 114:4 116:11 118:1, 3, 21 119:3, 7, 9, 13, 15, 17 120:1, 4, 13 122:9, 19 124:22 130:22 132:20 133:7 139:*11* looks 27:4 28:19 34:11, 16 35:13 93:10 loses 65:14 lost 59:12 67:9 82:1 lot 8:22 10:1 13:11 20:25 36:19 38:5 51:5 53:4, 6 55:20 68:25 71:16 73:5 80:12 91:19, 20 93:17 95:18, 19 100:2 128:21 129:*1* **love** 60:23 **low** 74:13 88:2, 5 129:25 lower 29:24 63:2 87:8 88:11, 16 lowered 26:9 87:16, 23, 24 88:1 lowest 129:10 Lucien 15:21 lurch 128:24 129:3 **lurched** 128:25

118:12 120:21 122:12

< M > magazine 130:9 magnification 96:15 **magnify** 96:13 main 21:18 23:15 99:5 maintain 21:20, 25 maintained 9:7 making 35:2 46:25 66:14 98:21 141:19 man 13:9, 14, 15, 16 manila 9:16 manipulate 96:20 manipulation 97:14 **mannequin** 121:*13* manner 57:15 69:5 80:9 man's 13:17 manual 33:21 manufacturer 32:19 map 37:20 maps 38:4 march 16:13 marked 6:2, 14 7:6 16:11 116:11 marry 42:24 61:11 97:2 marrying 41:6 44:1, 2 mash-up 95:12 mass 54:6 129:12 match 90:25 91:11 matches 31:11 88:12 91:11 101:21 matching 90:18 material 16:1 27:19 28:9 41:20 materials 144:6 mathematically 65:20 matter 4:9 29:25 54:11 91:19 92:7 **MATTHEW** 1:9 4:11 7:1, 2, 3 145:7 M-a-t-t-h-e-w 4:11 mean 25:13 40:20 47:6 70:16 71:3 80:4 81:22 83:23 89:3 93:16 100:22 102:6, 16 109:7 114:13 128:5, 7 132:17, 25 133:9 139:19 140:5 meaning 75:23 meaningful 50:13 75:21 means 43:17 49:4 55:25 64:11 66:19 73:14 87:11, *15*, *16* 89:*17* 114:*7* 118:10 119:24 126:5 128:24 136:5 138:20 141:1, 21 meant 79:23 105:13, 15, 21 108:20 114:10, 18 121:17 122:8 142:14 measure 34:18 35:23 38:24 61:7 88:8 129:19 measured 60:14

measurements 34:17, 20, 24, 25 36:4 61:1, 3, 22 93:19 meat 106:21 mechanical 21:20, 22 22:9 27:23 117:9 134:7 mechanically 47:14 140:5 mechanics 24:6 48:5 mechanism 126:12, 13 129:2 meet 4:13 48:4 71:12 meeting 10:9 members 10:9 memory 15:1 48:6 mention 94:12 134:1 mentioned 11:25 23:9 42:7 134:18 merely 122:8 met 17:25 metal 59:16 91:7 139:21 method 17:7 18:9, 10 19:10 42:8 112:3 methodology 17:1, 3, 10, 22 18:25 19:23 117:3 methods 17:4, 6 92:19 microscope 21:23 microscopic 22:12 microscopically 57:22 microscopy 93:3 midair 84:24 middle 8:2 Midnight 94:14, 15 milliseconds 62:8, 9 mind 16:3 143:20 minimum 104:22 minor 17:2 23:2 89:4 minute 52:24 **minutes** 95:25 mirror 30:19 31:6, 10 82:25 83:13, 15, 22 84:5, 12, 17, 20, 21 85:11, 18, 19 86:3, 7 88:11, 13 99:20, 23 103:18 missed 23:6 missing 59:13 91:23, 24 mobility 61:17 model 19:4 28:25 29:2, 5, 17, 19 30:2 31:4, 14, 20, 23, 25 32:2, 3 33:6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 24 34:21 35:9, 10, *13*, *24*, *25* 36:2, *5*, *13* 37:8 121:12 **modeled** 30:23 modeling 30:4 32:17 36:17, 21 37:1 models 35:18, 20 121:7, 17 moment 7:13 52:8 64:12 92:14, 15 102:3 111:12

137:5, 8 moments 125:17 127:17 128:15 132:22 133:4 momentum 129:11, 13, 14 months 11:11 12:7 morning 4:7 5:19, 25 **motion** 54:10 mouse 68:2 move 16:3 31:8, 23 36:13 39:8 47:10 48:7 58:3 69:13, 15 97:1 101:12 moved 80:22 125:18 127:9, 10, 13, 17 movement 66:8 75:10, 25 81:25 98:2 101:10 125:19 moves 54:19 68:9 moving 41:18 46:7, 19 69:7 73:18 80:12 81:1, *17* 82:*3* 93:*8* 100:*18*, *21* 101:24 102:13, 23 103:14 107:24 115:4 135:13 140:12 **MP4** 96:19 multiple 19:24 26:7 27:11 36:3 118:23 municipality 12:17 mutually 119:4 muzzle 87:21 100:4 < N > name 4:10, 11 14:3, 16, 19 32:12 80:10 94:18, 19 96:4, 21 names 14:15 27:10 80:10 **nature** 90:14 near 41:4 43:15, 16 46:18 73:22 79:12 nearly 50:6 67:24 necessarily 34:7 37:19 54:14 55:5 62:7 89:9 105:24 need 5:4, 14 14:22 17:21 35:14 75:23 93:20 124:18 125:2 needed 35:19 117:24 needs 123:10 141:9 neighborhood 141:15 neither 30:4 never 16:2 54:5 59:3 68:2, *5* 73:15 83:25 86:15 111:25 112:12 130:17 143:9 new 12:4, 5 15:9 93:21 105:18 Nice 4:13 57:16 83:20 Ninth 145:21

PH: 216.241.3918

**NOEDEL** 1:9 4:1, 8, 11, 13, 18 7:2, 3, 10 144:11 145:7 N-o-e-d-e-l 4:12 Noedel's 7:1 nomenclature 26:1 79:25 80:*1* 132:7 non-shooting 22:25 normal 39:9, 16 44:19 57:11 122:4 132:7 north 60:14 102:15 NORTHERN 1:1 nose 57:21, 23 69:2 89:22 90:9 Notary 1:14 146:3 147:6 notations 8:16 **noted** 60:18 **notes** 8:14, 16, 18, 21, 22 9:3, 6, 20 Notice 3:4 4:18 6:8, 24 7:16, 20 16:4 **noting** 83:12 November 12:1 nowadays 36:19 number 27:23 60:24, 25 71:10 79:18 94:8 118:1 122:19 124:2, 5, 13, 17 134:9, 11 135:7 **numbered** 8:2 79:22 80:9 117:18 numbering 79:19 80:4 **numbers** 80:11 **numeric** 61:14 < () > object 25:6 54:18, 19, 23 56:17 57:9 68:21 100:8 129:13 130:5, 15 Objection 142:5 objects 6:12 9:15 25:6 75:13 107:15 **observation** 40:24, 25 44:2 51:13 129:21 132:12 **observations** 40:17 57:25 98:4 observe 37:7 **observed** 13:14 58:6 75:16 observing 55:7 obtaining 28:4 obvious 25:17 31:13 140:17 obviously 94:16 occupies 85:24 occupying 68:24 occur 67:19 104:23

occurred 27:15, 23 38:9

103:16 104:7 106:13

107:17 136:23

occurring 83:16 99:22 103:15 occurs 19:19 27:13 67:*11*, *23* 103:*21* 128:*23* October 29:1 offered 101:6 117:6 124:10 office 32:8 144:9 147:2 officer 12:25 13:9, 12 14:18 18:5 29:15 41:19, 21, 25 42:11 44:8 82:24 98:22 99:7 108:4, 8 109:*13* 110:*7* 111:*18* 112:11, 22 114:6 141:10 officers 13:14, 16 123:21 official 94:18 offset 66:9 72:16 Oftentimes 25:16 **oh** 55:6 **OHIO** 1:1 2:7, 8 12:10, *17*, *20*, *23*, *24* 13:*13* 16:*6* 96:8 145:20, 21 146:4 147:2, 7 Okay 5:1, 5, 6, 15, 17, 21, 24 6:1, 11, 18, 23 7:12, 15, *19* 8:5 15:3, *4* 16:10, 15, *19*, *25* 18:*16* 21:*17* 22:*7* 27:16 35:20 41:23 45:13 46:13, 19 47:6 50:13 52:24 53:24 54:9 55:24 59:6 62:16 63:25 66:17 68:12 70:9 75:19 76:6, 11, 23 82:2, 9, 22 98:7, 8, 15 101:20 106:5, 23 109:11, 17 112:13 114:21 116:5, 9, 15 122:14 131:7 133:7 137:24 138:6 139:12, 16, 17 144:7 **omitted** 28:13 onboard 97:4, 12 once 9:18 19:10 20:1 30:23 48:14 67:13 73:6 one-and-a-quarter 105:3 ones 25:10 50:14 93:21 one-thousandth 62:5, 6 opaque 33:9 open 57:6 67:5 91:17 114:24 128:18 opening 43:16 91:16 operate 120:15 operating 44:16 45:1 63:8 operations 139:20 opine 131:24 134:8, 9 opined 117:15 122:23 134:4 136:11 opines 134:18 **opinion** 21:23 23:3 27:14 37:3 50:10 58:11 82:7 86:8, 19 110:9

116:25 122:9, 18, 19, 20, 23 124:2, 5, 13, 17, 19 130:22 131:25 132:2 133:7, 9, 18 134:14, 16 135:2, 13 136:3 137:23, 25 138:3 140:12 142:2 opinions 10:23 15:14, 18 18:12 24:7 26:21, 25 37:2, 15 59:7 80:15 96:12 105:25 108:24 113:6 114:22 115:23, 24 116:16 117:6, 11, 22 122:3 143:17, 18 opportunity 34:8, 25 38:8 **opposing** 131:23 **opposition** 121:18 order 17:25 20:25 80:7 96:1 97:7 105:2 122:20 143:16 organization 9:3 organizations 21:22 organize 8:24 17:17 25:1 144:9 orientation 37:9 62:2 68:20 72:13 74:16 75:1, 6 120:3 126:17 127:22 orientations 36:14 oriented 61:19 86:19 original 8:12 30:25 37:23 50:8, 16 70:1 79:17, 19 84:3 85:5 93:21 117:10 131:14 originally 10:5 originated 29:22 outer 74:8, 21 outlined 113:25 outside 21:2 44:22 45:13, *14* 115:23 outward 73:2 oval 57:7, 8, 19 83:6 overall 70:6 134:15 **overlap** 116:24 overlay 35:10 overstated 132:1

<P>
p.m 144:16

package 50:17

Page 3:3 8:2 11:6 14:8

16:19 28:9, 13, 18, 19

37:10 39:24 50:19 56:13

59:2 60:7 69:18 70:1

73:18, 19 76:24 79:15

80:20 82:22 87:6 88:19,
20 89:12, 15 93:8 94:13

99:14 102:13, 14 103:2, 6

104:9 105:5 114:4 118:1

121:9 122:22 132:20

145:1, 17

page(s 145:3, 18 pages 76:17 80:14 145:4 paint 59:16 **paper** 130:6 paragraph 16:25 56:13, *14* 60:7 114:5 142:6 paragraphs 16:20, 22 26:20 27:3 105:20 115:4 parallel 49:1 67:12 70:24 71:3, 5 72:5 101:5, 23 110:12 **parking** 13:11 part 9:21, 25 18:24 21:24 25:18 32:25 34:11 35:18 36:10, 11 42:7 52:3 64:4 65:18 72:2, 3, 4, 12 73:4 81:11 86:18 91:17 92:5 103:19 125:8, *11* 129:9 135:5 143:22 **partial** 25:15 partially 45:13 particles 54:2 73:13 **particular** 9:1 10:17 17:10 19:23 34:2 40:8 41:16 42:10 44:6 47:20, 21 55:15 61:24 75:17 76:1 87:16 88:4, 5, 6 94:9 97:22 100:3 120:20 131:15 133:23 134:5 138:12, 23 particularly 101:6 parties 1:13 146:16, 18 parts 95:20 130:24 passage 74:23 passenger 51:2, 17 62:22 64:7 67:2, 3, 21 69:19, 23 70:11 71:7 72:18 74:4 76:9 115:10 passes 68:3 69:9 99:7 passing 68:4 104:7 path 19:21 25:21 26:17 42:25 44:3 52:15 56:20 58:3 60:8, 12 61:8 62:1 63:14, 19, 21 65:1, 21 66:24 67:1, 18 74:5 76:22, 25 79:16 80:13, 19, 20 82:23 84:6 85:1, 3, 7 87:9, 16 89:13, 14 90:13, 21 91:13 107:18 115:11 pathologist 57:2 pathology 56:25 paths 24:25 32:10 35:10, 12 36:13 44:8 59:22 78:11 82:10 110:5, 14 patrol 13:14 20:17 pattern 22:1, 6, 7, 23 23:17, 24 25:23 42:13, 24 43:7, 24 44:7 76:2

117:15 132:3

PH: 216.241.3918

patterns 22:19 23:12 26:3 43:2 54:13 75:16, 22 117:16 131:24 pause 79:1, 11 Pearl 38:16 39:2, 15 pending 5:8 **people** 27:10 61:3, 10 78:6 81:25 104:16 107:11 113:11 129:15 137:11 **perceived** 108:*13* **perfect** 83:10 **perforated** 51:1 70:5 73:17 perforating 52:2 **perforation** 74:13 77:5 **perform** 25:15, 19 130:18 134:5 performance 20:2, 21 23:18 24:1, 10, 24 25:2, 14 35:12 42:9, 10 58:19 59:14 66:12 72:23 90:13 94:6 **performed** 20:13 23:19 24:4, 7 25:10 124:7 performing 57:15 **performs** 24:13 period 8:1 permitted 47:3 person 25:8 26:8, 11 36:24 46:16 97:16 121:5 122:15 personal 104:15, 17 **perspective** 38:5 75:12 99:7 119:3 **phone** 10:16 **photo** 84:18 123:16 photograph 53:19 photographed 31:6 47:3, 5, 16 57:18 89:2 photographically 53:5 photographs 8:23, 25 28:1, 2, 3 30:25 36:4 37:4, 24 38:4 41:22 42:18 47:1 50:8, 13, 16 59:25 60:1 75:13 105:20 106:24 131:14 photos 50:18 70:1 76:6 84:17 94:8 103:16 phrase 17:3 physical 8:17, 25 9:19 18:*1* 20:*3* 22:*12* 24:*1* 34:24 35:6 36:4 38:18 41:3 43:24 50:1 54:5 55:8 60:4, 24 61:22 64:2 67:3 85:24 93:14, 18 94:1, 5 101:9 102:5 103:17 106:22 109:8 110:14, 16 111:1, 5 112:1, 10, 19, 22 113:18 115:19

117:8 120:19 122:1, 11 124:11, 20, 21, 22 125:7 128:4 135:1 139:21 physically 19:6 27:14 45:11, 24 47:18 48:2 49:10, 21 56:6 57:13 64:3 73:11 77:6 78:11 83:23 86:1 89:7 99:20 101:17 102:21 128:15 pick 48:3 59:15 **picture** 84:13 pictured 62:22 85:4 100:16 pictures 50:7 piece 55:8 62:21 69:18 130:6 139:21 pieces 17:24 103:18 126:19, 21 pillar 63:16 67:20 72:9 77:7 79:12 pillars 36:8 67:2, 16 **pinch** 6:21 **pistol** 19:7 40:10 42:11, 19 45:6 46:9, 20 47:6, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 48:22 49:10, 17, 24 78:7, 12 104:20, 21 125:21 130:23, 24 131:4 138:9 **pistols** 19:16 PLACE 1:13 38:2 66:24 69:23 115:19 146:13 **placed** 85:5 128:22 placement 85:6 places 95:14 **Plaintiff** 1:4 2:2 4:2, 9 **Plaintiff's** 7:5 116:16 plane 60:15 69:3 72:6 74:14, 16 75:1 play 18:1 34:22 players 8:20 playing 137:4, 6 **Plaza** 145:20 please 144:15 145:17 **plot** 60:22 **plume** 52:12, 16 53:25 55:4 pocket 56:5 126:6 128:8 **point** 19:12 24:17 35:3 41:23, 24 42:3 49:15 53:10, 11 59:12, 18 61:18, 20 62:20 63:14, 15 65:13 70:4, 15 72:17 85:13 88:12 96:6 98:9, 22 99:6 101:4 102:14 103:5 109:18, 23 110:11, 19 112:5 115:19 119:5 120:13 123:21 125:9 126:24 127:10, 13 129:10, 25 130:19 133:16, 20 134:9 141:20

pointed 49:2 66:19, 21 83:6 95:13 99:8, 12 108:5 109:19, 25 112:13 119:12, 25 121:15 124:24 128:15, 16 132:22, 25 137:16 141:13 142:22 143:6 **pointing** 45:9 47:11 50:23 83:7 114:1 118:4 119:*1* 120:*5* 122:*10* points 41:24 46:19 62:25 87:2 90:3 98:6 113:24 117:24 135:10 police 13:9, 11, 13 27:22, 24 41:22 42:17 45:17 79:17, 19 80:3 123:21 143:23 Polster 1:6 popularity 36:20 **port** 42:18 portion 25:16 40:1 64:20, 21 89:19 106:4 121:8 posed 18:4 137:3, 10 position 31:9 33:17 36:3 40:21 41:4 42:19 43:7, 13, 22, 25 44:3, 17 46:21 47:4, 7, 11 48:12, 22 53:12 58:7 61:25 62:6, 10, 25 63:3 65:6 66:20 67:22 68:20 69:17 70:10 73:15 75:5 76:3 80:23 81:5, 8 82:16 84:7, 9 85:22 86:22 87:3 89:3 90:10 91:6 100:7, 9 101:1, 11 102:17 103:13, 24 104:24 111:8 114:25 115:18, 21 118:17, 18 119:18 120:2, 4, 21 122:1 123:7, 13, 17, 19, 24, 25 124:8, 23 125:16, 20 127:13, 22 133:3 135:18, 20, 23 138:21 139:13 141:8 **positioned** 84:16 86:2 99:19 123:4 127:7 positions 43:1 61:11 75:3, 18 77:21 95:5, 23 96:2 97:1 103:10 118:23 122:5, 8 possibilities 125:24 128:2 possibility 72:14 109:7 127:23 **possible** 66:3 67:15 121:19, 20 123:9 Possibly 55:2 63:24 68:17 71:14 99:8, 12 100:13 potential 125:19 139:23

potentially 76:8 **pound** 130:11 pounds 130:8, 11 powdered 24:20 **PowerPoint** 8:23 9:2 17:18 practically 53:5 precisely 86:23 precludes 79:10 predictable 55:5 66:9 predictably 43:25 preexisting 56:10 **prefer** 30:10 preference 131:19 preferential 131:15 preferentially 131:16 **preliminary** 7:2 16:11 117:4 prepare 35:18 143:16 **prepared** 5:24 39:12 preparing 35:14 presence 109:12 111:5 129:21 133:13 146:9 present 8:24 10:24 19:5 **presentations** 12:5 15:6, 9 presented 27:24 120:17 presently 13:7 pressed 75:2 presume 132:9 144:11 pretty 53:16 54:4, 7, 9 90:22 prevent 5:18 previously 7:20 93:13 primarily 12:6 22:15 77:9 95:12 103:22 primary 21:19 28:4 56:9 **principles** 129:*11* prior 20:17, 24 38:17 50:5 56:17 95:19 106:1, 6, 17 114:25 124:8 125:14, 21, 25 128:18 140:16 144:2 private 4:25 20:18 **probably** 58:15 73:5 82:20 91:23 96:9 105:2 141:15 **problems** 139:*12* proceed 20:6 process 17:17, 21 18:3, 24 20:20 25:18 31:5 37:7 42:8 44:5 60:11 61:4 78:7 85:8 91:25 92:5 112:21 117:9 136:18, 23 processed 61:4 processes 26:2 processing 30:25 61:6 79:18, 19 80:3 135:3 **produce** 7:23 15:18 89:15

PH: 216.241.3918

**produced** 7:25 13:11 15:16 16:12 producing 26:16 **production** 7:20, 21 productions 144:5 profession 21:11 professional 21:22 professionally 21:8 profile 43:9 57:12 70:6 83:14, 20 84:6, 7 89:1 90:9 profiles 71:17 92:23 program 32:6, 12, 13, 14, 16 96:20 97:7 progression 68:6 77:11, 13 89:6 99:6 105:1 106:21 107:8 **projectile** 31:3 51:4, 5 72:25 89:18 90:8, 12 91:20 92:10 projectiles 23:19, 25 24:2 25:19 58:22 89:21 94:7 propelled 52:15 132:7, 15 **proper** 31:21 properly 48:17 136:25 **properties** 35:11 89:15 98:12 138:18 property 130:17 proposed 120:12 137:20 142:22 **propounded** 7:21 144:5 proprietary 32:14 96:17, 20 97:19 protect 126:7 protected 51:12 55:22, 25 56:2, 4 126:5, 10, 20 128:12 prove 77:24 **proves** 123:11 provide 9:17, 18 11:15, 18 21:25 22:8, 19 23:3, 4 96:8, 9 116:15 133:15 **provided** 8:12, 13, 15 14:24 26:21 95:8 97:18 112:17, 21 113:15 116:18 124:20 132:3 **provides** 27:12 142:23 providing 109:22 111:6 **Public** 1:14 2:6 146:3 147:6 **publications** 12:5 15:6, 9, 12, 16 published 15:25 104:13, **pull** 6:22 16:16 47:12 48:14, 18 129:15 136:3, 10, 13, 15, 19 137:7, 11 139:5 140:*1* 

**pulled** 19:12 42:9 101:15 102:14, 16 103:24 116:*13* 136:*5*, *21* 137:*25* **pulling** 19:14 48:4, 15, 20 114:17 136:6, 14, 17 137:8, 14, 22 140:10 pulverize 51:25 pulverized 49:16, 20, 24 51:6, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18 52:4, 7, 12, 15, 17 53:6, 8, 16, 22, 24 56:10, 22 74:2, 9, 17, 21, 25 pulverizing 65:4 **pure** 125:10 **purport** 121:6, 21, 25 purpose 101:13 113:24 139:*3* purposes 7:7 35:2 107:21 pursuant 4:17 **pursuit** 13:10 push 49:13 **pushed** 89:22 pushing 63:6 put 16:3 31:2 36:12 46:5 47:7 48:10 49:11 50:17, 23 60:24 61:14 71:3 76:7 107:17 113:23 120:20 127:24 131:4 143:8 puts 63:8, 25 **PX** 3:4, 5, 6, 7

< () > qualified 22:19 146:4 **qualify** 100:12 **qualitative** 71:11 88:9 90:2 129:20 qualitatively 88:14 **quantitative** 54:4 66:2 71:9 78:16 129:19 **quarter** 78:14 quarter-inch 49:14 **question** 5:8, 10, 13 17:21 18:18 25:17 31:16 56:22 67:9 82:1 92:20 110:23 112:2 questioning 72:14 questions 17:13, 14 20:9 24:19 91:22 92:4 quick 14:7 76:13 142:6 quickly 53:16, 19 54:4, 7, 9 76:24 105:22 quite 13:5 24:14 36:18 38:2 50:2 quote 132:21 134:18 137:2*1* **quotes** 118:25

< R > radiate 73:2 ramp 39:19 ran 45:15 141:23 **random** 75:24 randomly 108:9 112:7 range 62:12 63:21 66:6, 7 67:9, 25 87:1, 2 rare 22:24 rate 69:7 rates 98:1, 13 **ratio** 79:9 raw 95:18, 23, 24 reach 87:25 122:20 129:25 reached 10:15 37:2 reacted 110:24 reacting 140:25 reaction 110:1 129:14 read 142:6 143:14 144:12, 14 reader 106:19 107:21 ready 35:19 49:14 real 37:17 39:6 48:8 142:6 realize 140:3 realizes 136:11 really 16:13 23:22 36:19 39:15 42:1 43:7 54:1 75:10, 20 76:13 79:3 86:15 91:19 114:13 realtime 37:17 rear 45:7 48:15, 18 69:22 70:11 71:7 72:4 79:13 136:10 rearward 64:17 71:11 85:18 110:13 reason 40:3 110:17 140:25 141:12 reasonable 21:1 26:22 104:10 122:5, 6, 18 137:13 reasonably 57:7 122:6 reasons 51:23 111:6 **rebut** 116:19 118:2 124:11 131:25 136:13 **Rebuttal** 3:7 7:3 16:12 109:2 115:23 116:7, 12, *15*, *21* 117:2, *11*, *21* 118:2 121:4, 9 122:19, 20 124:2, 4, 13, 16 125:8 130:22 132:2 133:7, 9, 16, 22 134:9 135:13 140:12, 25 142:2 143:17, 18 rebuttals 117:18 rebutting 142:3 recall 13:3, 21 14:3, 16 15:8 16:6 30:16 33:22 38:7 39:4, 11, 17, 21

47:24 95:21 112:18 recalling 13:7 16:6 recalls 109:24 receive 10:15 126:21, 24 131:20 132:14 received 29:18 74:25 130:23 receiving 29:21 126:7 129:15 recess 76:16 116:10 recognize 48:16, 19 49:1 52:18 136:21 138:19 recognizes 137:1 recollection 10:5 38:17 95:17, 24 96:17 reconstruct 80:19 reconstructed 97:3 reconstructing 22:3 59:22 92:19 reconstruction 15:20, 21, 22 17:12 18:10, 14, 19, 20, 22 20:7, 11, 13 21:17 22:15, 16, 18, 22 23:5, 12, 16, 21 24:8, 13, 24 25:19, 25 26:5 27:9 29:7, 21 40:5 41:2, 12, 18 42:15 51:7, 16 58:20 59:8 91:4, 18 92:4, 16, 18 93:9, 12, 15, 22 98:17, 20 103:20 110:8 117:10, 13 125:13, reconstructions 19:1 20:18, 19 21:15 23:1 reconstructive 55:21 80:2 record 4:10, 15 6:14 60:20 82:6 93:23 94:9 106:11 recorded 4:16 11:13 14:11 37:5 80:7 97:20 recording 60:3 records 9:9, 10, 21 recovered 42:17 46:10, 14, 17 47:2 58:24 59:4 74:9 89:19, 21 90:17, 19 92:11 127:2 recovering 46:17 red 28:1, 3 49:4, 9 reduced 146:8 refer 4:23 6:15 7:8,9 8:16 14:4 69:25 reference 105:22 referenced 14:18 15:23 references 15:17 referencing 140:20 referred 15:19 28:8 136:1 138:16 referring 7:10 18:13 84:13 103:24 reflect 80:11 100:3

PH: 216.241.3918

reflected 11:25 reflection 123:13 refresh 15:1 refute 17:16 41:15 95:1 142:16 refuted 110:25 112:1 117:8 **regard** 98:14 reject 17:19 93:21 **related** 26:15 relation 93:24 98:5 relative 68:20 81:5 84:9 95:5 97:1 102:17 103:10, 25 146:15, 17 relatively 22:24 23:2 52:17 released 30:15 relevant 33:25 113:19 relied 15:13, 17 28:16 95:12 relies 93:17, 23 rely 15:25 58:18 94:10 103:17 117:15 relying 59:25 124:23 remain 53:25 remaining 68:9, 24 remains 73:3 remember 38:15 39:2 95:11 96:21 remembers 113:14 **REMOTE** 1:9, 13 render 122:7 rendered 121:5 renderings 122:14 repaired 37:21 38:3 replica 9:4 28:24 29:2, 10, 14, 24 30:11 31:15 88:8 replicas 28:20 replicate 30:18 33:17 **Report** 3:6, 7 7:2, 3 16:11, 12, 20 18:8 26:19 27:5 28:9, 10 35:2, 9 37:11 39:24 40:2, 15, 16 50:20 56:13, 14 59:2 60:6, 18 62:23 69:18 73:20 76:18 79:15 80:14 81:12 82:23 84:11 87:6 88:19 89:12 93:9 94:10 99:16 105:6, 14, 23 106:3, 4, 10 109:2 110:7 111:18 113:7, 10, 23 114:4 115:25 116:7, 12, 16 117:2, 4 118:2, 25 121:4 123:9 124:18, 22 125:2 131:22 133:10, 22 134:2 135:12 140:15 143:19 reported 46:17 108:4 109:18 REPORTER 1:14

reporting 77:4 145:20 146:20 reports 6:6 9:11 27:22, 24 28:1, 3 30:25 35:6 41:22 42:17 45:17 46:3 108:19 116:19, 22, 24 118:24 123:8 134:17 141:17 reposition 36:5 represent 29:19 representation 84:4 represented 19:17 31:11 32:11 54:3 representing 10:7 13:2 represents 29:8 103:7 104:21 124:24 130:10 reproduce 8:11 request 7:19, 21 112:17 requested 9:16 22:5 require 48:18, 20 requires 52:5 research 19:22 94:12 reserved 144:17 resistance 48:4 resolve 90:12 resolved 20:24 90:4 **resolves** 91:21 respect 7:22 24:9 33:14 66:24 75:5 124:13 135:7 rest 25:17 41:2 51:15 59:11 65:14 81:24 92:2, *18* 106:*3* 107:*18* **resting** 53:12 restriction 128:22 restrictions 129:1 143:24, result 17:20 26:17 40:6, 9, 21, 25 41:3, 7, 10 124:6 resulted 13:17 51:19 107:18 results 28:18 40:16, 19 94:5 105:21 retain 10:4 25:11 retained 10:13, 20 12:22 13:1, 23 18:17 retains 24:16 return 145:17 reveal 75:10 revealed 140:18 revelation 115:1 reverse 35:25 reversed 89:8 review 117:23 122:25 reviewed 10:22 81:11 reviewing 17:23 revision 11:7 revisit 93:20 reworked 17:21 ricochet 24:20

**right** 4:20 12:8 14:23 15:8 21:6, *15* 28:21 29:10 32:3 34:12 39:14, *15* 42:1, *3* 45:4, *7* 47:10 49:18 50:10, 15 56:15 58:5 59:1, 25 62:1 63:13 64:1, 6, 15, 19, 24 65:6 66:11, 22 74:10 75:21 77:2 80:16 81:14 86:9, 12, 13, 14 88:20, 24 90:20 96:7 100:18 101:25 104:9 113:21 115:9 118:8, 11, 22 119:5, 9, 18, 20 121:14, 22, 24 122:1 127:25 130:3, 6 131:5, 11, *17* 135:6, 24 137:5, 25 138:23 **right-handed** 86:14, 16 rightward 64:18 Riverside 102:15 road 37:18 38:16, 24 39:2, 12, 15 45:24 106:20 120:1, 5 roadway 98:5 **robbery** 13:10 **rod** 84:4, 12, 16, 19 85:4, 6, 20, 21, 25 87:20 rodeo 5:1 **role** 134:15 roll 43:6 rolling 98:2 99:25 roof 35:10 43:3 **room** 75:9 rotates 49:13 round 19:14 57:7, 8, 16 137:15 138:10 rounds 52:12 **RPR** 1:14 147:6 rule 146:21 run 8:1 97:6 running 13:10 141:9

<\$> safe 46:21 47:4, 7, 11, 22 48:1, 11, 22, 23 49:8, 11, 14 135:18, 22, 25 136:4, 22 138:1, 9, 11, 12, 14, 20 139:9, 12, 17, 24 140:5, 9 safely 139:18 safety 46:20, 24 47:4, 8, 15, 21 48:1, 22 49:8 135:14 136:8 137:14, 19 138:16, 19, 25 139:2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 14 140:7 sat 123:12

runs 32:17

rush 39:10

rusty 50:3

**Russell** 2:8 6:20

saturated 131:19 **Savings** 145:20 saw 108:12, 15 109:18, 23 110:24 111:10 112:2, *12, 23* 113:*13* 140:*16* 141:13 142:10, 22 143:5, saying 49:16 55:9 65:10 79:10 103:22 125:5 126:*16* 136:*13* 141:*1* savs 108:12 111:9 112:1, 12, 22 113:12, 15, 16 142:9 scale 29:7 31:24 32:2 35:13 36:9 37:24 38:5 scaled 31:20, 22 scan 9:16, 17 31:24 32:16, 25 34:12 36:17 scanned 29:13 34:9 scanner 29:3 32:15, 21, 22 scanning 9:4 scans 34:10 scenarios 18:3 scene 15:22 18:2, 14, 19, 20, 22 20:3, 11, 22 21:14, 17 22:21 23:1, 11, 21, 23 24:8 25:25 32:9, 13, 17 36:18, 21 37:11, 16, 23 38:5, 11, 16, 19 40:14 41:12, 18 42:18 45:23 50:8, 14, 24 61:4, 6 81:24, *25* 93:7 104:6 112:*10* 114:22 123:18, 24 125:12, 23 126:9 134:24 135:4 141:23 **S-c-e-n-e** 32:*13* scenes 23:4, 25 scientific 17:7, 14 18:10 26:22, 24, 25 112:3 124:4, 6,9 134:12 135:6 142:18 scientist 31:13 71:3 117:12 scope 117:12 screen 6:12, 16 123:4, 16 seal 147:2 sealed 73:9 seams 36:7 seat 33:14, 17 41:5 43:5 44:9, 16 46:11 53:7 54:17 62:11, 18 63:6 64:1, 3, 4, 7, 8 68:22, 23 74:4 75:12, 20 76:9 82:18, 20 85:25 86:19 90:24 91:2, 14 114:15 115:10 127:3, 7 128:6, 20, 23, 25 129:2, 18

seated 75:13 122:24

22 129:*1* 

PH: 216.241.3918

seats 56:4 67:4 128:10,

second 14:12 16:25 21:5, 23 42:3 52:21 53:2 58:15 60:7 61:18 62:5, 7 63:24 68:17 71:15 72:10 77:2, 3, 6, 9, 13 78:13, 14 98:21 102:13 104:19 137:17 secondarily 54:22 56:10 75:25 secondary 54:20 75:9 127:6 seconds 78:15 104:12 105:4 119:8 section 27:16, 20 39:22 41:9 93:12 94:10 96:1 103:23 105:15, 21 112:2 114:5 secured 46:4 sedan 31:18 see 8:3 14:5, 17 16:22 17:18 19:19 33:10 34:12 36:15 38:3 41:9 45:17 49:5 50:22 52:5, 12, 13 56:12 57:22 59:13 69:18 70:2, 5, 10 75:8 80:6 82:22 84:18 92:24, 25 94:17 96:24 100:7 105:24 109:1, 6, 9, 14 111:*1* 112:*13* 113:*11*, *12*, 13 122:25 124:18 133:24 138:20 139:1, 22 140:7 141:2 seeing 95:21 108:4 109:19, 21, 24 110:7 111:4 seen 38:9 89:16 99:17 100:1 108:17 112:3, 4, 25 129:15 140:24 141:25 143:3, 9 sees 135:12 semiautomatic 19:16 42:11 45:6 78:7, 12 104:20, 21 **send** 6:8 sense 41:8 107:16 120:10, 14 136:20 sent 6:1, 4 separate 78:23 separating 40:10 separation 77:20 sequence 77:23, 25 78:3 80:5, 11 103:7 110:22 sequences 103:8 sequencing 63:23 sequential 79:24 sequentially 76:25 77:16 series 79:1 104:14 **service** 98:16 set 27:8 56:10 92:8

107:21 147:1 sets 106:15 **setting** 27:12 settle 53:19 54:6, 13 Seventh 2:8 **Shammo** 1:14 146:3 147:6 **shape** 33:10 55:5 56:16 57:11 58:7 59:14 65:11 shaped 70:4 **shapes** 25:12 26:3 shards 51:5 52:13 53:18, 20 54:9, 12 55:20 57:23, 25 58:6 share 6:12, 16 **shares** 84:25 SHARP 2:8 shatter 51:3 **shattered** 51:17 52:8, 10, 20 53:1 54:22 58:10 70:2 74:18 87:12, 14 126:5 shattering 127:2 shatters 53:11 72:25 73:6 sheet 9:9 **shift** 33:21 shifting 54:11 **shoot** 48:13 88:2 104:17 108:6 110:10, 11, 12, 13 111:13 118:21 119:8 136:16 137:5, 9 138:2, 4 **shooter** 43:25 78:12 86:15 **shooting** 13:1 14:19 15:20, 21, 22 17:11 18:9, 13, 14, 20, 22 19:1, 2 20:6, 11 21:14, 17 22:5, 7, 15, 16, 18 23:15, 23, 25 24:8 25:25 37:11 38:9, 21 40:5 42:14 43:9, 13, 21 44:9, 24 45:1 68:16 69:6 71:1 74:4 76:8 78:14, 20 86:16 95:2, 5 101:8, 15, 23, 24 102:3, 11 103:7, 8, 21 106:7 108:1, 10, 11, 15 110:8, 19, 24 111:2, 3, 8 113:16 114:23 117:13 123:17 124:1, 8 125:12, 18, 23 143:23 shootings 22:2 **shootout** 13:15 **Shop** 94:14, 16 99:25 **short** 55:9, 17 61:9 **shortly** 103:5 **shot** 13:9, 12 19:23, 24 42:10, 12 44:13, 22 54:7 63:11, 24 67:14 68:8, 17, 18, 20 69:4, 11, 12, 16, 17 71:6, 15 72:8, 10, 17

73:17 77:1 80:24 81:17, 20 82:4 85:15 87:18, 19 88:4, 5, 14, 18, 23 90:8 91:1, 5, 6, 7 98:23, 24 99:3, 22 109:15 114:2 115:7, 11, 13 118:7, 8, 12 119:12 120:21 121:2 127:1 133:4 136:24 shots 19:24 29:22 44:25 67:11 68:1, 10, 24 69:6, 8 70:13 71:21, 24 72:11 77:1, 12 78:2, 3, 7, 13, 19, 23, 24 79:1, 9 81:22, 23 82:9 84:10, 23 88:22 89:18 95:6 98:22 99:3, 21 100:10, 11 101:2, 3 104:6, 7, 10, 11, 19, 23, 25 106:17 110:21 114:17 125:6, 19 **shoulder** 74:10, 18, 20 show 8:24 35:12 36:14 77:10 78:11 85:11 91:3 100:25 121:8, 13, 18, 20 122:8 123:6, 24 showing 81:12 93:23 107:8 shows 56:14 68:2 84:6 96:1 99:7, 15 101:10 102:20 107:9 121:5 123:25 **shut** 56:1 side 34:19 44:20 46:21 47:10 49:12 51:2, 17 62:22 63:4 64:6, 15, 19 65:6, 14 66:22 67:12, 13, 23, 24 68:10, 19 69:9, 19, 23 70:11 71:6, 7, 8, 21 72:18, 24 77:11 78:17, 18 79:23 82:25 83:5, 11, 13, 19, 22 84:19, 20, 22, 25 85:11, 19, 23 90:11 101:7, 15 102:11 103:9 104:6 108:1 110:15, 16 112:6 115:16 119:18, 20, 23 121:24 127:10, 11 131:11, 17 138:17 sides 50:18 89:23 126:3 127:8 131:9, 16 **side-view** 84:12 sideways 101:3 sign 102:19 103:1, 6, 12 104:8, 23 110:20, 21 112:19 113:17 144:15 **Signature** 144:17 145:1, 17 signed 145:17 **significance** 56:19 79:18 107:20 108:6 110:6

113:2*3* 133:8 135:*17* 

PH: 216.241.3918

140:13

**significant** 51:25 127:15 133:*13* significantly 112:15 signs 37:22 similar 9:3 71:17 77:21 84:25 88:25 89:1, 10 90:10 123:2 similarly 54:16 simply 8:24 31:16 33:7, 10 47:2 48:3, 7 49:12 58:19 61:23 79:21 97:11 113:1, 22 114:10 121:8 124:10 125:6 135:12 139:11 single 19:13 56:14 73:1 94:24 sit 11:20 14:23 15:8 123:*14* site 83:5 **sitting** 53:23 114:14 126:6 situation 112:8 119:24 142:23 situations 27:24 sixth 114:5 size 31:21 33:8 54:2 skull 64:17 slack 48:16 136:2, 22 140:2, 8 slice 83:19 slide 131:10, 17 slight 65:2 89:2 slightly 64:24 72:6 121:14 slow 96:22 slower 65:15 78:8, 15 **slowing** 65:12, 13 66:10 69:*1* 81:*5* 102:*24* 104:*3* slows 68:3 small 26:6 52:17 55:20 62:24 73:12, 13 smaller 38:6 smash 91:7 smashed 89:21, 25 90:1, 2 91:2, 5 Smoke 94:14, 15 99:25 **smushed** 54:18 snags 74:21 snapshot 62:4 soda 75:14 107:13 **soft** 57:15 software 32:17 96:18 97:7, 16 somebody 95:22 99:18 113:12, 13, 14 123:6 126:6 134:23 139:5 somebody's 100:3 somewhat 71:25

sorry 22:10 26:21 28:23 31:13 33:1 67:12 79:6 87:24 139:9 143:6 sort 8:23 23:12 26:14 46:9 85:18 95:12 106:23 sounds 73:22 source 27:19 28:4, 8 41:20 51:15, 18 119:19 south 60:14 space 57:6 63:16 67:6 78:3 85:24 114:24 125:17, 21 128:11, 18 132:13, 17, 18 **spaces** 56:3 sparking 100:5 **spatial** 37:17 spatter 26:6, 8 132:14 speak 58:13 107:5 109:1 119:6 127:18 speaking 24:8 77:18 88:14 89:23 93:11 133:11 142:8 specialized 97:7 specialty 21:19 specific 42:6 60:7, 20 76:2 93:1 94:16 126:13 130:17 131:18 specifically 11:23 15:13, 15, 17 16:1 34:17 48:6 94:12 specified 146:14 speculation 125:11 **speed** 52:11 96:22 speeds 69:12, 14 spell 4:9 **spoke** 41:17 120:23 spoken 93:9 **spot** 99:15 spotlights 95:13 spots 34:12 Square 2:6 squirt 132:11 stabbing 22:22 stable 57:4, 20 129:10 stack 39:7 stain 22:1, 5, 7, 18, 23 23:12, 17, 24 25:23 75:12, 22 117:14, 16 131:24 132:*3* stains 22:4 26:2, 3, 10 75:25 standing 26:9 start 7:16 8:1 16:21 17:23 19:3 103:8 110:19 111:8 started 101:7, 22 102:7 108:15 113:17 114:23 135:15 starting 39:19 107:10, 12 starts 39:23 67:8 69:8

state 4:9 12:10, 17, 20 20:17 146:4 147:7 stated 122:23 statement 17:15, 16 18:5 101:13, 14 107:4, 15 108:16, 21, 23 110:17 111:4, 24, 25 112:9, 11 113:4 120:16 124:10 125:4 134:25 142:21 143:5, 12 statements 8:19 18:4 20:5, 9 101:5 107:6 112:21 113:5 117:5 120:8 135:11 **STATES** 1:*1* **static** 37:19 **stationary** 57:5 102:*3* steep 90:22 steeper 81:9 **steering** 33:18 34:15 63:5 68:22 85:22 119:25 stenographically 4:17 stenotype 146:8 stepping 96:25 sticking 45:3 62:21 69:19 stimulus 110:1, 2, 9, 10, 18 111:12, 15, 20 119:2 **stood** 34:3 stop 110:20, 21 112:19 113:17 **stoplight** 101:7 113:18 stoplights 39:7 stopped 55:9, 17 81:21, 23 101:7 stops 78:18 103:5 storage 50:17 store 38:1 39:18 106:13 107:10, 17 110:13 stored 114:19 straight 57:11 64:24 65:1, 17 66:8 84:19 85:21 89:7, 23, 24 90:1, 4, 6, 10, 15 91:3, 5, 6, 7 strapped 64:3 street 81:13 103:17 145:2*1* strike 30:19 56:9 67:22 70:10 71:8 76:19 80:22 83:15, 22 84:24 91:11 119:23 strikes 25:5 30:24 36:3 57:9 61:9 63:3 77:16 78:1, 21 80:25 striking 82:11 strongest 22:4 struck 25:6, 13 31:7 45:9 51:4 55:15 56:17, 24 62:1, 19 67:16 69:24

70:14 72:20 74:15, 23 82:24 87:13 93:16 stuck 54:14 83:13 **study** 42:15 57:2 **stuffed** 128:*12* style 93:2 135:8 subbullet 98:21 subdiscipline 42:14 **subheading** 39:23 40:1 41:19 46:8 76:25 93:9 98:19 subheadings 40:11 submerged 88:15 subparagraph 49:15 107:24 subparagraphs 106:5 **subpoint** 100:20 subsection 16:21 28:17 46:9 subsections 16:21 27:2 subsequent 80:14 subsequently 43:6 136:24 substance 135:5 substantial 89:19 substantiate 17:19 substantiated 135:1 substantiates 99:2 sufficient 134:8 suggest 78:24 81:19 103:4 109:8, 13, 17 suggests 57:19 83:7 **Suite** 2:6, 8 summaries 27:24 105:25 summarize 105:21 summary 8:9 105:14, 16, 19 **Superior** 2:8 supplemented 27:25 **supply** 23:8 **support** 17:16 28:2 41:15 74:11 84:8 85:10 95:*1* 108:25 121:*10* 123:1, 2, 10 124:19, 25 125:5 **supported** 43:23 46:15 101:8 106:2 107:7 110:25 111:5 112:10, 19 113:18 117:8 120:17 122:4, 10 124:10 125:7, 10 132:12 135:11 141:23 **supports** 9:1 41:3, 25 42:4 44:23 56:17 80:22 88:21 141:24 Sure 5:9, 16 6:6 10:14 11:17, 20 13:8 14:1, 7, 16, 20 16:9 17:11 28:2 39:3 51:11 52:25 59:21 63:25 81:18 86:17 93:8 100:15 137:24 139:2

surface 24:16 25:8, 13 49:17 50:3, 6 53:23 54:14 57:13 84:17 85:18 86:7 surfaces 53:9, 21 55:18 surmising 65:24 surrogate 9:5 28:24 29:4 30:11 31:2, 25 33:1, 2, 5, 13 34:9, 21 37:6 84:2 susceptible 65:16 suspect 11:23 13:10, 12 switch 136:25 sworn 4:3 146:6 symmetrical 57:7 symmetrically 89:22 system 79:20 80:4 <T> table 27:8 TACKLA 145:20 take 5:7 7:15 14:7 23:21 25:7, 8 31:15 76:11 79:24 80:3 taken 50:14 105:19 146:13 takes 42:25 talk 16:13 49:16 52:24 62:3 79:16 104:2 106:12 118:25 talked 58:12 80:12 talking 89:13, 25 talks 124:14 target 82:10, 12 118:21 tasks 58:2 Taurus 29:12 36:7 teaching 22:14 team 10:10 technical 97:25 techniques 60:17 61:7 tell 12:19 13:6 24:14 30:22 42:6 43:12 45:21 48:23 50:24 54:25 55:14 56:11 75:20 85:14 89:5 127:21 128:17 138:13 139:17 146:6 tells 42:18 51:7 tempered 51:3 52:11 72:24, 25 temple 56:15 58:8 63:13 64:14 66:21, 22 118:8, 11 tend 74:11 77:4 tension 48:8 term 114:10 134:17 terms 23:22, 23 24:6 132:3, 4 terrestrial 29:3 32:21 Terry 2:5 test 18:3, 6 20:4 50:4 106:14 111:21 112:12, 14,

PH: 216.241.3918

21 113:3, 5, 11, 12, 13, 14, 23 114:1 117:6, 7 120:14 124:7, 9 142:14, 15, 21, 24 143:5 **testable** 142:23 tested 130:17 **Testified** 12:23 14:17 testify 5:24 testifying 5:18 testimonies 11:12, 21 12:3, 8, 9 14:8 testimony 14:24 22:20 143:14, 21, 25 146:8, 11 testing 20:8 101:14 112:8 140:16 tests 130:18 text 16:20, 23 27:3 123:11 textbooks 15:19, 21, 22 Thank 9:12 16:10 96:3, theft 106:13 107:14 theories 20:5 thing 11:20 21:18 55:5 92:19 122:15, 16 129:19 135:2*1*, 2*3* 138:1, *6* things 7:24 8:16 17:18 18:25 19:3, 6, 9 27:10, 22 35:5 37:18, 19 41:10 43:7 50:25 56:25 109:13, 17 125:9 128:24 140:17 144:2 think 4:21 6:21 10:7, 25 11:25 12:3, 4, 6, 8, 21, 24 13:21, 22 15:10 21:1 23:9 40:15 46:15 48:2, 3, 6 50:4, 9 53:15, 21 61:23 67:10 71:14 72:13 73:24 74:11, 15 76:21, 23 77:3, 9, 18, 22 79:2 82:7 83:14 85:1, 8 88:13 94:3, 14 98:18 99:17 101:8 102:20 108:25 109:1 110:3, 4 116:2 123:10 128:14 129:4, 17, 22, 24 130:9 131:22 133:11 135:15 136:22, 24 140:6 141:23, 24 thinking 33:22 third 13:4 16:7 22:9 49:15 80:24 99:5 **Thomas** 2:8 4:1 **thought** 80:7 133:25 136:23 thousand 62:8 threat 108:14 three 12:2, 21, 22 23:1, 20 24:5 39:7 71:20 77:17, 20 78:12 79:11 81:7 89:18 92:11 98:6

104:19, 23 106:5, 21, 23 110:20 115:4 125:16 130:9 **three-quarters** 88:15, 17 **thumb** 49:*13* ties 17:4 tilt 49:8 66:4 tilted 65:25 time 5:25 7:5 10:11, 17 11:18 12:6 13:5 15:1 19:12 38:15, 21 42:9, 22 47:2 50:8, 11 51:9 53:21 54:4 59:10 60:2 62:5, 10 71:1, 20 76:7 78:3, 10, 25 80:23, 24 82:3, 19 83:16 85:15 88:18 92:1, 2 100:3, 11 102:18, 25 104:10, 22, 25 106:20 110:11, 14, 15, 19 111:9 112:5 114:2 118:4 127:24 135:19 140:19 141:22 146:13 times 20:12, 14 42:1 68:13 143:8 timing 78:20 98:1, 12 126:*18* tiny 51:5 tissue 57:16 **title** 7:9 today 7:24 11:14 116:8 **told** 30:16 tools 31:18 97:4, 12, 15 top 37:11 42:21 73:19 88:11, 12, 13 94:13 131:9, 11, 13 topics 22:15 24:5 116:2 tops 131:16 totality 58:21 71:13 80:18 105:12 107:7 117:21 touched 83:25 touching 48:19 towed 46:1 trace 25:14 50:10 55:6 59:15 track 8:22 10:18 19:11, 23 20:21 26:12 36:10 42:8 56:21 58:1 59:10, 17 64:10, 16, 23 74:7 84:5 85:13 86:5 87:20 92:1, 17 tracking 10:20 56:20 92:1 104:15 tracks 31:1, 7 37:8 59:22 60:24 69:5 94:6 traditional 8:24 traffic 37:25 39:2, 4, 9 **trail** 26:13 trails 26:11 **training** 12:5 17:5

**trajectories** 35:7 36:23 62:15 80:15 93:10 trajectory 62:20 73:21, 23 84:4, 12 85:4, 14 transaction 109:23 transcribed 144:13 146:9 transcript 144:12 transcription 146:10 transfer 54:21 75:10 transferred 54:22 transfers 55:12 translated 37:6 trap 42:25 **trapped** 73:10 91:8 travel 26:12 31:3 78:9 traveled 19:22 25:22 55:6 traveling 63:22 65:15 83:17 triage 25:18 triages 43:11 trial 144:8 triangular 57:19 tricks 100:2 **tricky** 85:9 tried 29:17 tries 45:7 trigger 19:12, 14 42:9 47:13, 22 48:1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 11, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20 114:17 135:14, 25 136:1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 17, 19, 22 137:4, 6, 7, 8, 12, 14, 22 138:1 139:5, 6 140:1, 2, 8, 11 troubleshoot 32:10 40:14 true 36:25 73:24 77:18 82:5, 7, 8 99:4 111:19 115:8 118:13 120:16 125:4 134:3, 20 146:10 trunk 141:16 truth 146:6, 7 truthfully 5:18 try 17:12 19:22 24:25 27:7, 13 29:17 30:18 33:17 34:10, 17 40:11 42:8 61:22 77:4 81:3 90:25 92:9 96:24 97:2 98:4 100:5 105:17, 18 112:21 113:23 129:9, 13 132:4 133:21 136:16 142:24 trying 12:4 20:20 41:13 55:17 56:20 61:10 79:5 90:16 95:15 102:22 103:20 113:21 114:18 115:3 125:8, 9 132:5 136:18 137:5, 12, 22 138:2, 4, 7 141:19

tucked 123:7 128:10

PH: 216.241.3918

Tucker 118:24 122:4, 9 141:17 143:19 **Tucker's** 142:3 **tumble** 57:10 tumbling 57:12 65:4, 8 83:8, 18 turn 64:18 65:2 84:24 87:17 turned 64:24 66:11 turning 37:10 76:24 twist 66:4 twisted 74:20 76:9 121:*14* two 6:6 12:2, 24, 25 13:3, 6 16:5 22:4, 8, 25 27:3, 11 28:20 31:3 35:3 39:7 40:15 41:6, 10, 24 56:4, 11, 19 58:17, 22 59:3, 13, 21 60:12 61:11 71:17, 19, 22, 24 77:1, 17, 19 79:11, 12 81:5 84:8 88:22, 24 89:5 90:5 91:24 99:3 100:9, 11 103:3, 4 105:4 106:17 107:11, 12, 13, 14, *25* 109:*13* 110:*11*, *15* 116:18, 24 128:10 129:11 130:7 141:4 144:2 two-and-a-half 130:8, 11 two-minute 116:6 type 9:16 23:7 43:10 51:2 57:21 75:9 76:2 87:19 97:19 126:7 127:14 134:4 138:22 types 19:8, 9 75:24 typical 34:3 typically 8:11 10:1, 14 18:23 27:21, 25 29:6 49:12 61:2, 5 80:8 113:11 < U >

**Uh-huh** 111:23 **uh-oh** 92:14 ultimate 109:12 132:20 ultimately 18:7 25:21 35:17 42:17 46:1 62:14 73:5 78:16 81:12 91:9 94:23 128:17 129:18, 24, 25 134:4 unable 47:15 **unaware** 141:18 unbulleted 56:13 unclear 5:14 uncomfortable 61:10 uncontested 27:8 underdeveloped 24:11 underlying 124:19 **underneath** 34:13 77:8 **understand** 7:10, 23 30:12 132:5

understanding 25:19 30:14 **understood** 5:11 16:2 23:6 41:17 89:11 96:3 underutilized 24:11, 12 undisputed 27:15, 17 106:25 uniform 131:21 **UNITED** 1:1 universe 93:5, 7 unknown 111:7 unstable 57:14 unwieldily 6:13 **updated** 11:14, 15, 18 updates 12:5 **updating** 12:7, 10 **upper** 73:13 131:11, 17 **upward** 49:13 upwards 128:16 use 6:2, 7 8:16 20:4 25:4 27:19 32:6, 13, 15 36:18 40:11, 12 41:20 48:10 49:13 58:2 60:17 61:22 93:13 96:5, 17 97:3, 21 98:2 117:6 124:1 134:6 139:16 useful 17:13 29:7 36:2 60:22 95:4 user 135:17 users 139:1 uses 36:19 Usually 10:15, 18 78:12 utter 107:15 utterance 109:4 140:18 142:11, 19 143:1, 3 utterances 141:19 uttered 142:12 uttering 142:14

< V > valuable 55:3 value 23:5 36:15 55:7, 9, *10* 61:*12* 80:2 104:*18* 111:25 values 34:21, 22 60:12 61:14 variable 61:20 62:1 63:7 variables 61:21 65:3 66:4, 12 79:4, 14 various 8:15 17:5, 7 18:3 20:4 22:14 32:10 43:1 95:23 **vehicle** 9:5 29:6, 8, 20, 23 30:5 33:11, 13, 19, 20, 21 34:1, 4, 6, 9, 11 37:5, 6 40:24 41:1, 17 42:5 43:6, 9, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 44:13, 16 45:1 46:4 54:24 59:18 60:2, 5 61:17 63:8 68:4 70:21

72:6, 7 81:8, 21 87:20 101:17 102:18 103:3 107:13 110:13 122:25 133:5 136:7 vehicles 28:20, 22 30:8, *13* 31:4 32:5 35:4, 21 36:22, 24 43:2 95:6 103:3, 4 107:8 velocities 79:10 velocity 78:22, 23 79:5, 14 104:3 129:19 venue 8:24 versa 7:9 versus 14:11 vertical 35:8 60:8, 16, 18, 20 61:12, 19 **vice** 7:8 video 46:16 52:11 58:18 68:*1* 82:*5* 93:*11*, *17*, *23* 94:1, 13, 21, 23 95:11, 12, 14, 17, 18, 19, 22, 24 96:1, 5, 11, 13, 15, 18 97:5, 6, 14, *17*, *18*, *22*, *23*, *25* 98:*1*, *2*, *15*, *21* 99:25 101:20 102:20 105:*1* 107:8 123:5, 6 144:3 videos 93:11 94:8, 9 95:7, 8 100:2, 19, 24 101:9 view 22:19 24:10 27:16 34:14 38:18 41:11 51:22 52:7 70:7 94:24 95:9, 20 97:17 98:8 99:14 110:16 119:13 viewed 28:19 37:11 49:21 76:7 94:20 95:7 96:11 101:21 viewer 97:4, 21 121:16 viewing 41:18 49:25 50:12 98:15, 21 views 85:11 93:19 100:25 virtually 57:3 visible 49:7 121:16 **visited** 37:23 visiting 37:16 visual 35:21 49:2 78:17 84:4 121:10 139:7, 23, 25 140:2 visualize 36:12 38:25 visually 48:21, 23 122:18 135:19 138:13, 17, 21 139:1, 11, 12, 13, 17, 23 140:7, 10 visuals 35:6 Vitae 3:5 **volume** 39:13 vs 1:5

< W > wait 21:5 walked 38:1 **walking** 123:23 want 5:2 6:2, 7 8:1 14:5, 18, 20, 24, 25 16:16 19:7 40:13 48:5 49:20 77:6 106:18 113:20 117:*17* 125:*1* 133:*23* 142:24 143:18 144:9, 12 wanted 29:17 38:8 117:1 122:17 124:11 133:16 141:20 wants 141:10 warm 132:8 watch 100:3 watching 105:1 way 6:14 17:2 19:16 31:25 40:8 42:9, 22 45:3, *14* 47:2 53:*11* 60:*23* 62:24 69:22 70:10 73:8 79:25 82:7, 25 85:25 87:15 88:15, 18 92:6 96:3 104:5 107:10 108:23 114:11 118:19 130:8 134:5 136:9 137:13, 18 138:8 142:11 weak 73:7 **weapon** 114:6 wearing 74:22 123:18 weather-stripping 73:8, 10, 12, 14, 16 **wedge** 62:13 67:6, 8 weight 130:11 Well 5:24 15:19 17:6 28:6 34:14 40:9, 21 46:15 58:19 60:19 64:2 65:12 68:15 74:25 91:6 96:25 104:17 111:1, 21 113:13 117:16 127:10 128:5 129:14 134:20 135:4, 5 138:3 144:3 went 29:23 38:11, 15 51:*1* 52:8 53:2 58:8 61:16 63:1 85:12 95:5 we're 5:2 25:16 39:22 41:18 91:23, 24 west 60:14 we've 23:6, 9 55:19 58:12 71:20 73:18 76:20, 21 90:4 93:9 101:22 107:25 116:3 118:6 whatsoever 134:16 wheel 33:18 34:15 63:5 68:22 85:22 119:25 **WHEREOF** 147:*1* wholly 55:25 131:4 width 31:22 33:8 38:24 willing 93:6 wind 35:17

window 36:8 42:22 45:3, 8, 11 51:2, 3, 17 58:10 61:15 62:22 63:2, 16 64:14 67:16, 24 69:19, 20, 23, 24 70:11 71:8, 11 72:2, 3, 12, 13, 15, 16, 19, 24 73:1, 9, 17 74:14 75:1 78:19 85:23 86:6 87:8, 12, 22, 24 88:1, 2, 6, 9, 10, 12 winds 20:23 windshield 51:21, 23 52:2 wise 88:7 wishes 135:2 within-named 146:5 Witness 4:2, 17 12:13, 16 18:5 20:9 76:14 101:14 116:9 143:21 144:14 146:5, 9 147:1 witnessed 107:14 wobbling 57:12 word 40:13 100:13 words 11:6 96:18 97:15 102:3 121:11 122:14 127:11 wore 122:24 123:12 work 8:12 10:17 15:4 20:14, 15, 23 22:21 32:9, 15 35:16 81:24 92:25 93:3 136:25 worked 12:23 20:25 working 21:12 96:16 worn 60:1 worth 12:7 wound 10:9 26:14 43:8 56:15, 25 57:1, 2, 6, 18 58:23 65:22 74:6, 8 132:10, 11, 15 wounds 26:16 127:13 wreck 125:20 128:15 141:22 write 19:6 125:1 written 8:18 35:9 121:8 wrong 113:22 < X > **X330** 32:20

< Y >
yeah 11:17 13:8 14:7
15:8 21:7 32:20, 24
35:22 42:7 47:20 53:4, 7
54:21 62:2, 24 66:22
70:18 76:15 77:3 80:17
81:25 83:24, 25 87:2
93:17 94:3 105:17
123:20 142:7, 8 144:7
year 19:4 20:15 22:25
28:24 29:5 32:1, 3 33:9

PH: 216.241.3918

years 4:24 20:16, 17 36:20 120:14 yellow 79:22, 23 84:14 85:19 87:20 young 13:9

<Z>
zone 104:24
ZOOM 1:9, 13 4:16
6:12 50:7 96:21, 24 97:3,
12